APPENDIX I: SUPPLEMENTARY DISCUSSIONS

2DHETCOR without Δ_1

It is stated in Part I, Section 3.7, that in order to understand the role of the delay Δ_1 (see Figure I.2) we should carry on the calculations without it. We do this here.

We start by writing the density matrix before the combined 90xCH pulse:

$$D(5) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & F & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & G \\ F^* & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & G^* & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (I1)

If we have the delay $\Delta_{\rm l}$ = 1/2J, the expressions for F and G are taken from (I.32):

$$F = d_{13}(5) = -2\exp[-i\Omega_H(t_e + \Delta_1)]$$

$$G = d_{24}(5) = -F$$
(I2)

If Δ_1 is not used, the expressions for F and G, taken from (I.25) and (I.26), are:

$$F = d_{13}(4) = -2i \exp(-i\Omega_H t_e) = G$$
 (I3)

We apply the operator
$$R_{90xCH}$$
 given in (I.34) to D(5) in (I1).
$$D(5)R_{90xCH} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 3+iF & 3i-F & 3i+F & -3+iF \\ 2i-G & 2+iG & -2+iG & 2i+G \\ 3i+F* & -3+iF* & 3+iF* & 3i-F* \\ -2+iG* & 2i+G* & 2i-G* & 2+iG* \end{bmatrix}$$

Premultiplication with R_{90xCH}^{-1} gives the following expression for D(7)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10+i(F+G & 2i-F+G & F+G & i(F-G \\ -F*-G*) & +F*-G* & +F*+G* & +F*-G*) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-2i+F-G & 10+i(F+G & -i(F-G & F+G \\ -F*+G* & -F*-G*) & +F*-G*) & +F*+G*$$

$$\frac{1}{4}$$

$$F+G & i(F-G & 10-i(F+G & 2i+F-G \\ +F*+G* & +F*-G*) & -F*-G*) & -F*+G*$$

$$-i(F-G & F+G & -2i-F+G & 10-i(F+G \\ +F*-G*) & +F*+G* & +F*-G* & -F*-G*) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(14)$$

Now we can see why having G = F is counterproductive. The factors F and G contain the proton information [see (I3)] and, when they are equal, this information disappears from the observable singlequantum coherences d_{12} and d_{34} . In this case D(7) becomes

$$D(7) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 5 + iF - iF^* & 2i & F + F^* & 0 \\ -2i & 5 + iF - iF^* & 0 & F + F^* \\ F + F^* & 0 & 5 - iF - iF^* & 2i \\ 0 & F + F^* & -2i & 5 - iF - iF^* \end{bmatrix}$$

(I5)

No pulse follows after t(7) and each matrix element evolves in its own "slot." The matrix elements d_{12} and d_{34} . will be affected by the carbon evolution during the detection t_d (preceded or not by the coupled evolution Δ_2) but they will not be proton modulated. We will not have a 2D.

The DM calculations in Sections 3.6 to 3.9 have been carried on with the delay $\Delta_1 = 1/2J$, i.e, with G = -F [see (I2)]. In this case D(7) is

$$D(7) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & i-F+F* & 0 & i(F+F*) \\ -i+F-F* & 5 & -i(F+F*) & 0 \\ 0 & i(F+F*) & 5 & i+F-F* \\ -i(F+F*) & F+F* & -i-F+F* & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

(I6)

Taking F from (I2) and using the notations (I.31)

$$c = \cos[\Omega_H (t_e + \Delta_1)]$$

$$s = \sin[\Omega_H (t_e + \Delta_1)]$$

we obtain

$$F = -2(c - is)$$

$$F - F^* = 4is$$

$$F + F^* = -4c$$
(I7)

By introducing (I7) into (I6) we can verify the expression of D(7) given in (I.35). The carbon observables d_{12} and d_{34} contain now the factor s which carries the proton information. The role of the subsequent coupled evolution Δ_2 is explained in Section 3.9.

2DHETCOR without the 180xC pulse

We demonstrate here the result (I.51). The density matrix at t(1), taken from (I.12), is

$$D(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -2i & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & -2i \\ 2i & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2i & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (I8)

Since the 180xC pulse and the delay Δ_1 are suppressed, all we have between t(1) and t(5) is an evolution t_e . The density matrix just before the 90xCH pulse is then

$$D(5) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & C \\ B^* & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & C^* & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (I9)

with

$$B = -2i \exp(-i\Omega_{13}t_e)$$

$$C = -2i \exp(-i\Omega_{24}t_e)$$
(I10)

We apply the 180xCH operator to (I9).

$$D(5)R_{90xCH} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2+iB & 2i-B & 2i+B & -2+iB \\ 3i-C & 3+iC & -3+iC & 3i+C \\ 2i+B^* & -2+iB^* & 2+iB^* & 2i-B^* \\ -3+iC^* & 3i+C^* & 3i-C^* & 3+iC^* \end{bmatrix}$$

Premultiplication with R_{90xCH}^{-1} gives the following expression for D(7)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10+i(B+C & 2i-B+C & B+C & i(B-C \\ -B*-C*) & +B*-C* & +B*+C* & +B*-C*) \\ -2i+B-C & 10+i(B+C & -i(B-C & B+C \\ -B*+C* & -F*-C*) & +B*-C*) & +B*+C* \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10+i(B+C & 10+i(B+C & 2i+B-C \\ +B*+C* & +B*-C*) & -B*-C*) & -B*+C* \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10+i(B+C & 2i+B-C \\ +B*+C* & +B*-C*) & -B*-C* \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10+i(B+C & 2i+B-C \\ +B*-C*) & +B*-C* & -B*-C* \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10+i(B+C & 2i+B-C \\ +B*-C*) & +B*-C* & -B*-C* \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 111 \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
We follow now the evolution of the carbon single-quantum

We follow now the evolution of the carbon single-quantum coherences.

$$d_{12}(7) = \frac{1}{4}(-2i - B + C + B * - C *)$$

$$d_{34}(7) = \frac{1}{4}(-2i + B - C - B * + C *)$$

$$d_{12}(7) + d_{34}(7) = -i$$
(I12)

The terms B and C, which contain the proton information, are absent from the sum. If we start the acquisition at t(7), with the decoupler on, we will not have a 2D. This is why Δ_2 still is necessary.

Proceeding as in (I.36) to (I.43) we have:

$$d_{12}(8) = d_{12}(7) \exp(-i\Omega_C \Delta_2) \exp(-i\pi J \Delta_2)$$

$$= -id_{12}(7) \exp(-i\Omega_C \Delta_2)$$

$$d_{34}(8) = +id_{34}(7) \exp(-i\Omega_C \Delta_2)$$
(I13)

Treating the detection as in (I.44) to (I.47) we obtain

$$\begin{split} d_{12}(9) &= -id_{12}(7)\exp(-i\Omega_{C}\Delta_{2})\exp(-i\Omega_{C}t_{d}) \\ d_{34}(9) &= +id_{12}(7)\exp(-i\Omega_{C}\Delta_{2})\exp(-i\Omega_{C}t_{d}) \\ M_{TC}(9) &= M_{oC} \left[d_{12}^{*} \left(9 \right) + d_{34}^{*} \left(9 \right) \right] \\ &= -iM_{oC} \left[d_{12}^{*} \left(7 \right) - d_{34}^{*} \left(7 \right) \right] \exp(i\Omega_{C}\Delta_{2}) \exp(i\Omega_{C}t_{d}) \\ &= -i(M_{oC}/2)(B - B^{*} - C + C^{*}) \exp\left[i\Omega_{C}(t_{d} + \Delta_{2}) \right] \end{split} \tag{I15}$$

From (I10) we have

$$\begin{split} B - B^* &= -2i \left(\cos \Omega_{13} t_e - i \sin \Omega_{13} t_e \right) - 2i \left(\cos \Omega_{13} t_e + i \sin \Omega_{13} t_e \right) \\ &= -4i \cos \Omega_{13} t_e \end{split} \tag{I16}$$

$$C - C^* &= -4i \cos \Omega_{24} t_e \tag{I17}$$

$$\begin{split} M_{TC}(9) &= -i(M_{oC}/2)(-4i\cos\Omega_{13}t_e + 4i\cos\Omega_{24}t_e)\exp\left[i\Omega_C(t_d + \Delta_2)\right] \\ &= -2M_{oC}(\cos\Omega_{13}t_e - \cos\Omega_{24}t_e)\exp\left[i\Omega_C(t_d + \Delta_2)\right] \end{split}$$

which confirms (I.51).

Fully coupled 2DHETCOR

If the proton decoupler is not turned on during the detection, the matrix elements d_{12} and d_{34} , will evolve with different frequencies in the domain t_d . Each of them is proton modulated, even if their sum at time t(7) is not, and this renders the delay Δ_2 unnecessary. The detection starts at t(7) and we will have

$$M_{TC}(9) = -M_{oC} \left[d_{12}^* \left(7 \right) \exp(i\Omega_{12}t_d) + d_{34}^* \left(7 \right) \exp(i\Omega_{34}t_d) \right]$$

By introducing (I12), (I16), and (I17) in the expression above we obtain

$$M_{TC}(9) = -iM_{oC} (1/2 - \cos \Omega_{13} t_e + \cos \Omega_{24} t_e) \exp(i\Omega_{12} t_d)$$
$$-iM_{oC} (1/2 + \cos \Omega_{13} t_e - \cos \Omega_{24} t_e) \exp(i\Omega_{34} t_d)$$

which confirms (I.52).