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Understanding the functional role of coordinated neural activity

- A major challenge in current neuroscience is to understand the emergence of coherent complex activity from the interactions between neurons...

- ...and its role in normal and pathological brain function
Complex activity and network structure

The complex spatiotemporal patterns of brain dynamics must reflect the complexity of the underlying network structure, but HOW?
Coherent activity and network structure

\[ A_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} -10 & 10 & 0 \\ 5 & -15 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -12 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ C_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.55 & -0.01 \\ 0.55 & 1 & 0.00 \\ -0.01 & 0.00 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ x_1(t) \]

\[ \langle x_1(t)x_2(t) \rangle = 0.55 \]

\[ x_2(t) \]

\[ \langle x_2(t)x_3(t) \rangle = 0.00 \]

\[ x_3(t) \]

\[ \langle x_1(t)x_3(t) \rangle = -0.01 \]
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Complex activity and network structure

In analogy with the quantitative description of other complex systems (climate, stock market,…), the spontaneous activity of the brain can be conceived as a multivariate stochastic process.

\[
\frac{d\tilde{x}(t)}{dt} = A\tilde{x}(t) + \tilde{\eta}(t)
\]
Coherent activity and network structure

This model allows us to relate the connectivity matrix to the covariance matrix of the network, whose eigenvectors are the dominant spatial patterns of the spontaneous activity:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\langle \tilde{x}(t) \tilde{x}^T(t) \rangle \\
\langle \tilde{\eta}(t) \tilde{\eta}^T(t) \rangle
\end{bmatrix}
= A \begin{bmatrix}
\langle \tilde{x}(t) \tilde{x}^T(t) \rangle \\
\langle \tilde{\eta}(t) \tilde{\eta}^T(t) \rangle
\end{bmatrix} A^T
+ \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma^2 I
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Coherent activity and network structure

This model allows us to relate the connectivity matrix to the covariance matrix of the network, whose eigenvectors are the dominant spatial patterns of the spontaneous activity:

\[ C = ACA^T + \sigma^2 I \]
Connectivity determines activity patterns in cortical networks
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Connectivity kernel of the cortex:

Interleaved domains of excitation and inhibition as an example of center-surround inhibition.
Simulated spontaneous activity in cortical networks
predicted patterns

observed patterns

temporal modulation of the patterns

\[ \lambda_1 = 4.85 \ (3.20 \%) \]

\[ \lambda_2 = 4.04 \ (2.66 \%) \]

\[ \lambda_5 = 3.06 \ (2.01 \%) \]

\[ \lambda_{15} = 1.40 \ (0.92 \%) \]

\[ \lambda_{29} = 0.52 \ (0.34 \%) \]

0.7 mm

spontaneous activity movie
The dominant patterns represent attractors of the network dynamics.
An example from the real world
Coherent activity stores a memory of the last stimulus.
The dominant pattern of the spontaneous activity retrieves the last odor response.
Population data

The memory trace in the spontaneous activity fades out within a few minutes
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The synaptic connections between neurons determine the emergent patterns of spontaneous network activity.

The dominant patterns of the spontaneous activity represent attractors of the stochastic network dynamics.

Attractor, principal component and dominant pattern are therefore completely equivalent concepts in this context.

At least in some neuronal networks, these attractors represent a memory trace of recently experienced stimuli.
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Summary

- The synaptic connections between neurons determine the emergent patterns of spontaneous network activity.
- The dominant patterns of the spontaneous activity represent attractors of the stochastic network dynamics.
- Attractor, principal component and dominant pattern are therefore completely equivalent concepts in this context.
- At least in some neuronal networks, these attractors represent a memory trace of recently experienced stimuli.
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