From: The Construction of Authorship, ed. Martha Woodmansee & Peter Jaszi. Durham: Duke Up, 1994.

### TOUCHING WORDS: HELEN KELLER, PLAGIARISM, AUTHORSHIP

JIM SWAN\*

The originals are not original.

Ralph Waldo Emerson<sup>1</sup>

property. belong-to-me aspect of representations, so reminiscent of Something . . . may have eluded you in passing, namely, this

Jacques Lacan<sup>2</sup>

minds. My stories are ways of shutting my eyes. We photograph things in order to drive them out of our

Franz Kafka<sup>3</sup>

#### HANDS

of the English Unitarian clergyman and philosopher, James Maris suspected once again of plagiarism. A letter to an editor comcliffe College, cum laude in English, and already the author of two ing, it is difficult to trace the "fugitive sentences and paragraphs" ing that friends often read "interesting fragments" to her "in a blind since her second year—is forthright and sensible, explainplains that she has lifted a passage word for word from the work highly praised books, The Story of My Life and The World I Live In, 5 promiscuous manner," and that if she then uses them in her writtineau. The reply made by this remarkable woman-deaf and At age twenty-eight, Helen Keller, a recent graduate of Rad-

1 Ralph Waldo Emerson, Quotation and Originality, in 8 RALPH WALDO EMERSON, COL-

3 Gustav Janouch, Conversations with Kafka, quoled in Roland Barthes, Camera Lucida: Reflections on Photography 53 (Richard Howard trans., 1981). 4 HELEN KELLER, THE STORY OF MY LIFE (1954) (1903).

5 Helen Keller, The World I Live In (1908)

<sup>\*</sup> Associate Professor of English, Center for the Study of Psychoanalysis and Culture, State University of New York at Buffalo. Ph.D., 1974, Stanford University. I am grateful to friends and colleagues who read and commented on earlier drafts: Joan Copjec, Margreta de Grazia, Peter Jaszi, Claire Kahane, Deidre Lynch, Irving Massey, Fred See, Madelon Sprengnether, Elisabeth Weston, Martha Woodmansee. I also wish Fred See, Madelon Sprengnether, Elisabeth Weston, Martha Woodmansee. I also wish to thank Kenneth Stuckey, research librarian at the Perkins School for the Blind, for his skilled and cordial assistance.

LECTED WORKS 175, 180 (Centenary ed., 1979).

2 JACQUES LACAN, Of the Gaze as Objet Petit a, in The Four Fundamental Concepts of Psycho-Analysis 67, 81 (Jacques-Alain Miller ed., Alan Sheridan trans., 1981) [hereinafter, LACAN, Gaze

time that her writing has aroused suspicion. She herself says she which have been spelled into her hand. But this is not the first ought to stop writing altogether," she complains, "since I cannot has been troubled by several such cases. "Sometimes I think I those which I gather from books in raised print."6 tell surely which of my ideas are borrowed feathers, except for

erosity, the hands of others signing into hers a mixture of discurthe page. In the other, she is the object of a "promiscuous" genactively tracing and deciphering the braille code embossed on modes. In one mode, she is an autonomous reader, her hand sequence of signs across the ordered surface of a page, each an origin or a source. In one mode, her fingers trace a linear sive fragments, which she remembers but cannot place as having poral, with letters, words, and sentences all spelled one after the a sequence of tactile signs, a sequence that is not spatial but temhand is itself the surface on which someone else's fingers imprint word in its own place on its own line. In the other mode, her other onto the same surface. Helen Keller is aware that she reads books in two distinct

a book read to them, and in the United States the system of talkdo in the absence of sight and sound, and it matters immensely charge. Similarly, the deaf who have their sight can read books ing-book libraries furnishes the blind with recorded books free of medium of touch. The blind who have their hearing can still hear channeled almost entirely through the sensuous and intimate that her access to language—and to knowledge in general—is dialects of which are wonderfully rich and subtle in chirographic expressiveness.7 For the deaf-blind, however, the touch of the directly, and for conversation they also have sign language, some says, "In all my experiences and thoughts I am conscious of a touching another person's mouth. In The World I Live In, Keller by touching hands, or, as Helen Keller did very adroitly, by hand is the only way to read or converse, by touching a book, or hand. Whatever moves me, whatever thrills me, is as a hand that touches me in the dark."8 Of course, the sense of touch is not limited to the hand, and Keller describes herself as possessing a The hand becomes all-important to her. With it, she makes

See generally Oliver Sachs, Seeing Voices: A Journey into the World of the

Keller, The World I Live In, supra note 5, at 6

owes a great debt to Lash for this biography.

substance of my flesh were so many eyes looking out at will upon over the other senses: every moment is newly created and wholly spective, in and as a body unstructured by the hierarchy of sight a world new created every day." Thus conceived, the mind realdeliciously unbounded sensation of perceiving the world through izes itself in a world unstructured by the hierarchy of visual perthe whole surface of her body. "Sometimes it seems as if the very

representations, so reminiscent of property."10 Of course, for in the field of vision, what Lacan calls the "belong-to-me aspect of miscuous is "proper," signifying what is bounded and structured tant to her, the word that suggests itself as the opposite of proindeterminate object of her reading. For the other mode imporreading—it applies both to the person who reads and the mixed, whatever she wants."11 After a struggle in a locked dining room, when the dishes are passed, she grabs them and takes out ling." "She puts her hands in our plates and helps herself, and "battle royal." Helen's table manners, she says, were "appalto call the meum et teum) of that space. Sullivan calls the incident a me" but of the "belong-to-you" aspect (what English lawyers used livan, she is forced to acquire a sense not only of the "belong-to-Keller it is not a visual field, but the perceptual field which she there, in an incident very early in her relationship with Anne Sullearns to construct for herself out of the experience of her hands: ing and sight, boundaries defined by those absolutely fundamensense of the boundaries routinely respected by people with hearworld in order to "see" it makes it difficult for her to sustain a to teach her language, but her lifelong experience of touching the first step before Helen would be responsive to Annie's attempts from others', and to use a napkin-properly. This was a necessary Helen to eat with a spoon, to eat from her own plate and not lasting two, maybe three hours, Annie succeeded in getting yourself and don't touch what isn't yours. tal rules which we teach our children: keep your hands to "Promiscuous" is Keller's word for an important mode of

reading, then, that Helen Keller finds herself accused of plagia-It is in the space between "proper" and "promiscuous"

<sup>6</sup> Joseph P. Lash, Helen and Teacher: The Story of Helen Keller and Anne Sullivan Macy 342-43 (1980). Anyone who studies Helen Keller and Anne Sullivan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> *Id.* at 41. Compare John Milton's Samson, a blind poet's blind hero: "why was the sight / To such a tender ball as th' eye confin'd? / So obvious and so easy to be quench't, / And not like feeling, through all parts diffus'd, / That she might look at will through every pore?" John Milton, *Samson Agonistes*, lines 96-100, *in* John Milton: Complete Poems and Major Prose (Merritt Hughes, ed., 1957) (1671).

10 Lacan, *Gaze, supra* note 2, at 81.

11 Keller, Story of My Life, *supra* note 4, at 248.

ought to stop writing altogether. It is also here, in this space beis hers or someone else's, and where she feels at times that she rism, the space where she cannot tell for sure whether something

exploration of Helen Keller and the question of plagiarism. As I tween the proper and the promiscuous, that I want to locate my

sight and hearing, to understand what it is for someone deaf and retical discourse. I want to try, as best I can as someone with quiry, all of which feature strongly visual concepts in their theothe awareness of psychoanalytic as well as other modes of inhave suggested already, with an allusion to Lacan, I proceed in

to others but not to her?17

promiscuous is defined as such only from the standpoint of the asymmetrical semiotic relation: one term defines the other. The not as polar opposites constituting a structure, but as terms of an auditory connotation. They are also understood to be related, promiscuous, are chosen deliberately for their lack of a visual or is in-decent, un-seemly, im-proper. What the promiscuous actumiscuous is structured as a feared or censured lack of propriety, a proper. It bears the imprint of "proper" thinking, for the proa shattered self. The trauma of the original accusation of plagiaopposites, but in a narrative and conversionary space, where an "point" it "stands" on. Therefore, to say that it is in the space opposition to the promiscuous, constructing a difference as the is a questionable term here, since the proper knows itself only in posed only from a "proper" standpoint—although "standpoint" ally "is," is a question that cannot be answered, for it can be lack of boundaries and names and ordered relations. Promiscuity response to trauma in order to recuperate what is experienced as "I" structures itself by an act of appropriation, an act initiated in herself accused of plagiarism, is to locate her, not between polar between proper and promiscuous reading that Helen Keller finds unnecessary, but its ultimate effect is unavoidable. Like the "batrism, when she was eleven years old, may have been cruel and begin to be an author. her hands have been in other people's words: only then does she ing accused of plagiarism forces Helen to become aware of where keeping her hands out of other people's food, the trauma of betle roỳal" in which Annie forces Helen to eat a proper meal, These two terms of deaf-blind reading, the proper and the

gressive and proprietary.13 Or, what happens to the intensely

deaf-blind child experience a mirror stage? And, if she does, stage"14 or Winnicott's "mirror role of the mother?"15 Does a visual aspect of much psychoanalytic theory-Lacan's "mirror

what is the mirror and its significance? Is it just a metaphor? Or,

ticon-for him the quintessential mechanism of social disci-

for that matter, to the deaf-blind? Foucault says of the Panopto cite Foucault again: what is the Panopticon to the blind, or,

pline—that its major effect is to induce "a state of conscious and

writing are performed as touch, with its dual potential, both transual and auditory dimensions of writing-when reading and cault's concept of the "author-effect," when we factor out the visblind to be an author. 12 What happens, for instance, to Fou-

and elusive, not to be pinned down, not by the unaided eye.18 persons is a field of kinetic images—fluid, shifting, actively mobile tive for narrative in blind experience. The visual field for sighted tween blindness and autobiographical narrative, a virtual impera-Furthermore, her experience discloses a crucial relation be-

subjected to a field of visibility, does she know she is so subjected?

Is she aware of that visual dimension of herself which is available

ler in a social space theorized in this way? Assuming that she is the principle of his own subjection." Can we locate Helen Kel-

makes them play spontaneously upon himself; . . . he becomes knows it, assumes responsibility for the constraints of power; he power" that "[he] who is subjected to a field of visibility, and who permanent visibility that assures the automatic functioning of

is made visible: "[v]isibility is a trap."

ot cells open to a central observation tower, is not where one is shut away but where one Open Court Pub., 1916) (1749). But see Foucault, supra note 16, at 200, for whom the of being imprisoned in a dungeon. DENIS DIDEROT, Letter on the Blind for the Use of Those 17 Compare Diderot, who reports of the blind man of Puisaux, that the spectacle of power (les signes extérieurs de la puisance) is nothing to him, and that he scoffs at the threat power (les signes extérieurs de la puisance) is nothing to him, and that he scoffs at the threat power (les signes extérieurs de la puisance) is nothing to him, and that he scoffs at the threat power (les signes extérieurs de la puisance) is nothing to him, and that he scoffs at the threat power (les signes extérieurs de la puisance) is nothing to him, and that he scoffs at the threat power (les signes extérieurs de la puisance) is nothing to him, and that he scoffs at the threat power (les signes extérieurs de la puisance) is nothing to him, and that he scoffs at the threat power (les signes extérieurs de la puisance) is nothing to him, and that he scoffs at the threat power (les signes extérieurs de la puisance) is nothing to him, and that he scoffs at the threat power (les signes extérieurs de la puisance) is nothing to him, and that he scoffs at the threat power (les signes extérieurs de la puisance) is nothing to him, and that he scoffs at the scoff exterior (les signes exterior ext

dungeon is the opposite of the Panopticon: Bentham's design for the ideal prison, a ring Who See, in Diderot's Early Philosophical Works 77-78 (Margaret Jourdain trans.,

<sup>12</sup> It is quite a different matter to try, as a man with sight and hearing, to understand

nineteenth-century construction of discourse as property and an earlier construction of it as transgression: "In our culture... discourse was not originally a thing, a product, or a possession, but an action situated in a bipolar field of sacred and profane, lawful and what it is for a deaf-blind woman to be an author.

13 MICHEL FOUCAULT, What is an Author?, in LANGUAGE, COUNTER-MEMORY, PRACTICE unlawful, religious and blasphemous. It was a gesture charged with risks long before it became a possession caught in a circuit of property values. Id. at 124. 113 (Donald Bouchard ed., 1977). Foucault distinguishes between the eighteenth- and

A Selection (Alan Sheridan trans., 1977) [hereinafter Lacan, Mirror Stage] 14 JACQUES LACAN, The Mirror Stage as Formative of the Function of the I (1949), in ECRITS:

<sup>15</sup> D.W. WINNICOTT, Mirror Role of Mother and Family in Child Development (1967), in Playing and Reality 111-18 (1971) [hereinafter Winnicott, Mirror Role]. (Alan Sheridan trans., 1979). MICHEL FOUCAULT, DISCIPLINE AND PUNISH: THE BIRTH OF THE PRISON 201-03

extraordinary account of going blind, Hull finds that his way of remembering faces—even his own face—is not what he expected: "When I try to conjure up the memory of a 18 See John Hull, Touching the Rock: An Experience of Blindness (1990). In this

of ourselves from the position of the other, a position which we mensional map of ourselves in space, although we cannot see the visual that provides us with a continuously modulated three-diadjusted mapping of my own existence in the room, my relation see, but without being able to see that I see it, is the continuously see is not just the movement of the room's features: what I also can never occupy.  $^{19}$  I am in a room and turn my head, and what I "another way." As with Lacan's account of the gaze, it is the view process of this mapping, because it is not available to us to look Looked at another way, it is precisely this kinetic quality of the quence they become visible to me. But what is also happening is of the sofa. I want to say that I see these features, that I actively to the chair (and you in it), a table, a window, the cat on the back focus my eyes and my mind and look at them, and as a conseble where I am in the space of the room—although, again, this is that I am seeing myself continuously represented and made visi-

or after they happen to announce themselves as sound. Opening the door one evening, he hears the rain in the garden, and in its varying sound he can make out even the contours of the lawn, the rain "shaping out the curvature" for him. *Id.* at 30. It is "an loved face, I cannot seem to capture it, but the straight edges of [a] photograph seem to fix the mobile features firmly in my mind, so that I can imagine myself gazing at the suddenly disclosed itself to me. . . . I am no longer isolated, preoccupied with my thoughts . . . I am presented with a totality, a world that speaks to me." Id. at 31. At space where there was no space before. For a blind person in a space that is otherwise rains. In wind and rain, sound performs the role of light in shaping for him an audible understands acutely the effect on him of not being able to visualize space or visualize over the last century and a half to transform our conception of the visual field. Hull as well as blind experience, and the way the technology of the camera has intervened image." Id. at 19. This tells us a great deal about the place of the photograph in sighted another time, in early morning rain, he listens for what it tells him of the many textures, layers and shapes of the world within earshot. Thinking of the common opinion that the experience of great beauty .... I feel as if the world, which is veiled until I touch it, has disappear. There is no shape or continuity of space, no sense of things existing before silent, there are only intermittent sounds that seem to come from nowhere and then himself in space, and he comes to relish moments when the wind is blowing or when it gle, and become one audio-tactile, three-dimensional universe, within which and throughout the whole of which lies my awareness." Id at 133. This blind epiphany is his sense of the rain. The body too is multi-layered and multi-textured, and he apprehends it not as an image but as multiple "arrangements of sensitivities, a conscious space comparable to the patterns of the falling rain... My body and the rain intermination... surprisingly like Helen Keller's sense of perceiving through the whole surface of her body a world that is in a continuous process of becoming. What Hull underscores is the blind live in their bodies rather than in the world, he compares his sense of his body to its pleasure heightened by a feeling of recovered loss, and the very different experience of "the single-track line of consecutive speech which makes up [his] thoughts." ld. He sharp contrast between the simultaneity and totality of perception in a moment like this sive thought that Hull comes back to again and again in his narrative. perception in rare moments of fully realized acoustic space and the linearity of discurof thought-speech." Id. It is this difference between the three-dimensional totality of deprived even of his body sense, and he wonders at what point he becomes "only a line body. Then he goes a step further and imagines himself paralyzed from the neck down. imagines the rain stopping and his sense of the world shrinking to the surface of his own

See LACAN, Gaze, supra note 2, at 72-75.

something that Helen does not achieve without considerable pain and trouble. day. This ability to construct herself as narrative, though, is narrative memory to fashion the coherence of herself from day to to construct her narrative as a whole, just as she must rely on constructs his plans."20 Instead, Helen relies entirely on memory and so construct the whole work before the eye, as an architect pages, interline, rearrange, see how the paragraphs look in proof, Helen lacks the capacity to "go back over [her] work, shuffle the book, The Story of My Life, says that, unlike a sighted person, for Helen Keller as a writer. John Macy, the editor of her first at will and without notice. This has a very specific consequence tions (or, for Helen Keller, just the sensations) that come and go it means constructing a narrative around the sounds and sensaspace, it means getting other people's help to mark out a path, or continuous exercise of memory and prediction. In an unfamiliar intensely narrative activity. In a familiar space blindness means a such assurance, the blind woman's walk through the world is an moment of our own reality in the space of appearance. Without ble—the way, without our noticing, that it assures us moment by not something I can say I actually see. The blind tell us what it is like to live in the absence of this invisible dimension of the visi-

## II. My Words, Your Words

tumn landscape, coloring all the leaves crimson and gold. Anagnos was very pleased, calling the story a "precious gift," and original."21 A rather conventional piece of narrative scene paintshown a children's book by Margaret T. Canby, Birdie and His blind. Within just a week, however, the editor of the weekly was lished by The Goodson Gazette, a Virginia weekly for the deat and port several months later. From there it was picked up and pub-Mentor, rather than waiting for the appearance of the annual reimmediately had it printed in the Perkins alumni magazine, The ing, the story tells how frost fairies accidentally transform the au-King." Annie, in a cover letter said, "We thought it pretty and Michael Anagnos, head of the Perkins Institution (now "School") 1891, when she was eleven, she sent a birthday present to tutes a fundamental trauma for Helen Keller. In November, for the Blind. She had written a story for him titled "The Frost It is not the accusation of 1908 but an earlier one that consti-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Keller, Story of My Life, supra note 4, at 224 <sup>21</sup> Lash, supra note 6, at 132.

ies."22 The editor then published his findings along with parallel columns of matching phrases and paragraphs from the two stoclear them both of any dishonesty, he was apparently dissatisfied denied that Canby's story had ever been read to Helen. Anagnos off" the story as Helen's own, but Annie and Helen both firmly directed at Anne Sullivan as the one who had attempted to "palm ries. In the turmoil that followed, most of the accusations were Fairy Friends, in which one of the stories was "The Frost Fairwas embarrassed by the incident. Though he voted officially to and remained suspicious. Years later, Helen recalled learning that Anagnos privately continued to accuse both Annie and her-"a living lie." She and Annie were never to forgive him. self of deceit, and once he was quoted as saying that Helen was

the age of eight having Canby's story read into her palm by Mrs. Hopkins, Annie's friend from Perkins, 24 then forgetting it until episode for his dual biography of Helen and Annie, everyone "Frost King" episode is usually presented as a decisive event in copy of it. Typically, Helen's earlier biographers cite this exher early experience. However, until Joseph Lash researched the tended process of forgetting and later recall as a sign of Helen's tended to repeat Sullivan's 1892 version that portrays Helen at not bear this out. Distributed in several parts of The Story of My genius, "[h]er phenomenal power of concentration,"25 her "rethree years later when she writes her own story as an unconscious Life and Michael Anagnos's lengthy account of Helen in the Permarkable" or "astonishing" memory.26 But the evidence does kins Institution Annual Report for 1891,27 there are letters and eral possibilities: either Helen simply remembered "The Frost narrative passages which, when gathered together, suggest sev-Helen Keller's story has been told many times, and the

22 MARGARET T. CANBY, BIRDIE AND HIS FAIRY FRIENDS (Philadelphia, Wm. F. Fell &

year 1890-91) [hereinafter Perkins Report]. Sixtieth Annual Report, Perkins Institution for the Blind (1892) (reporting on academic

cent and frequent access to them, or she and Annie used key versing about landscapes and seasons. Perhaps her relationship passages and phrases from the stories as a shared code for conwhich Helen says inspired her story, she writes a letter vividly early August 1891, well before any autumn change in the leaves, when Helen says she wrote "The Frost King." For instance, in the whole period, from the summer of 1888 to the fall of 1891, to Canby's book was a combination of these, continuing through grily when they met, and sending bright flashes of lightning at "Teacher and I watched from our window the great black clouds Canby's story, "The Dew Fairies," almost word for word: describing a thunderstorm, and the description matches a part of Fairies" and several other stories in Canby's book, or she had reeach other like swords."28 (The key words here are "teacher and chasing one another swiftly across the sky, seeming to growl anmuch she enjoys the books that Annie reads to her and their September 29, she writes a letter to Anagnos, telling him how people's words, other people's hands.) Some weeks later, on walks together in the autumn landscape: I"-for Helen, watching is an activity always mediated by other

teacher said, "Yes, they are beautiful enough to comfort us for the flight of summer." 29 autumn robes. Oh, yes! I could imagine how beautiful the trees were, all aglow, and rustling in the sunlight. We thought We were especially happy when the trees began to put on their the leaves as pretty as flowers, and carried great bunches home to mother.

showed how saturated her mind was with Canby's language. In others of the same period, acknowledging that phrases in them ies."30 In her later account, Helen alludes to this letter and the phrases quoted together in the letter from different parts of example of how Helen goes about adapting her model, she draws and it also appears word-for-word in "The Frost King." As an rect from Miss Canby's story."31 It is in fact an exact quotation, the comfort given by autumn's beauty, and says it is "an idea diparticular, she quotes the sentence she attributes to Annie, about The italicized words come from Canby's story, "The Frost Fair-

<sup>24</sup> It was to Mrs. Sophia C. Hopkins, who had been her house mother at Perkins, that Annie addressed the letters she wrote from Alabama recording her early progress with Helen. In the summer of 1888, Helen and Annie visited Mrs. Hopkins at her home in Helen while she sought treatment and some rest. In her explanatory letter in 1892 to John Hitz, Annie says that it was during her absence that Mrs. Hopkins probably read stories from Canby's book into Helen's hand. Keller, Story of My Life, supra note 4, Brewster, Mass. Annie, still having trouble with her eyes, asked Mrs. Hopkins to care for 23 Lash, supra note 6, at 168.

<sup>25</sup> VAN WYCK BROOKS, HELEN KELLER: SKETCH FOR A PORTRAIT 35 (1956).

MACY 145 (1959); EILEEN BIGLAND, HELEN KELLER 92 (1967). 26 HELEN ELMIRA WAITE, VALIANT COMPANIONS: HELEN KELLER AND ANNE SULLIVAN

<sup>29</sup> Id. at 79 (emphasis added).

<sup>30</sup> See Keller, Story of My Life, supra note 4, at 349-50, 354. Anne Sullivan, in her statement about the incident, included by Macy as part of Keller's book, prints the two stories side by side in parallel columns. *Id.* 31 *Id.* at 67.

uses these phrases in virtually the same order as they appear in omits the comparisons with buttercups and roses. ing "pretty" with "lovely," but says nothing about "mother" and she includes the phrase about leaves as pretty as flowers, replac-Canby, but with variations of context and phrasing. For instance, Canby's story. Then, when she writes "The Frost King," she

memory and how much from more recent "promiscuous" read-Fairies" but other stories as well. How much is from long-term Helen adapts or copies from Canby's book-not just "The Frost ing is an open question and, I think, unresolvable. Surely, this visual dimension: she would have to learn about it as language According to Nella Braddy, who was Annie's biographer and would mean for Helen to learn about the world, particularly in its 1891, letter to Anagnos, it seems that Annie understood what it Helen's education. From the circumstances of the September process of imitation and adaptation was a fundamental part of talked with her about her methods: There are numerous, well documented instances where

they worked together—she asked Helen to write what she saw. She had to get Helen's lessons out of the material at handmake it more interesting. 32 scribed that they hadn't. Then they would add those details to read stories in Youth's Library and notice what these had de-Then Annie would give touches like color, then they would

more than for those with sight and/or hearing. Scenes are writes, which is the imagery and phrasing of nineteenth-century Coaxed to write what she "sees," she comes to "see" what she The world as language comes to Helen largely out of books.<sup>33</sup> auditory force of the language she adapts herself to. It is entirely world as touch, taste and smell is largely muted by the visual and remembered directly as language, and her experience of the landscape description. Language, for her, appears to be concrete popular children's stories written in the tradition of Romantic possible that when Helen writes "The Frost King," she is work-

> smell. But then the evidence is not reassuring. world beyond her intimate knowledge of it as touch, taste, and for-word, because word-for-word is how she comes to know the ing entirely from memory, that she remembers it largely word-

arisen is in the way people wanted to see Helen as an original relationship with Annie. Where the problem seems to have done innocently and has to be understood in the context of her quote verbatim from the book, and she frequently adapted else's intellectual property, then the answer has to be no. She did she was writing her own. Their conversation was interrupted by and Sullivan long after the crisis and right up to his death in who wrote it, and why it ended up in the Perkins archive, have a version of the incident. According to Lash, why it was written, ner and rather sarcastically mean-spirited in debunking Sullivan's an anonymous unpublished typescript in the archive at the Pertive" and "philosophic," her work as "original," at exactly those genius. Annie herself often describes Helen's mind as "sensiplagiarism a deliberate and conscious intent to steal someone nied what she had said earlier and seemed quite troubled.<sup>37</sup> to the teacher, one day during a late afternoon dinner Helen con-Anagnos to impanel a formal court of investigation. According ularly damaging statement by a Perkins teacher, which prompted lot to do with the bad feeling that continued between Anagnos the plagiarism crisis broke, Annie panicked and denied that Lash's chapter on the episode ends with the opinion that, when moments when Helen is repeating the language of another text. Canby's language to her own uses, but it all seems to have been Annie, who took Helen upstairs. When Helen returned, she defided to her that Annie had in fact read Canby's story to her when 1906. The anonymous indictment is the only source of a partickins School, "Miss Sullivan's Methods." It is legalistic in man-Helen had ever had Canby's stories read to her.<sup>34</sup> Lash is citing Did Helen plagiarize Margaret Canby's book? If we mean by

from what it was in the poem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Lash, *supra* note 6, at 150.

mission) [hereinafter Methods]. Lash speculates that it was written in 1906, after the death of Anagnos, by Julia Ward Howe's son-in-law, David Prescott Hall, countering that reflected Annie's ingratitude toward Anagnos. Lash, supra note 6, at 35 Miss Sullivan's Methods (n.d., anonymous unpublished typescript, bound in leather, on legal sized paper 7.50" x 12.75", 171 pages numbered by hand; stored in the Samuel P. Hayes Library at the Perkins School for the Blind, Watertown, Mass.) (used by perstatements that reflected Annie's ingratitude toward Anagnos. Lash, supra note 6,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Id. at 136-38 (quoting Methods, supra note 35, at 142-50). Helen alludes to the incident herself: "Something I said made her think she detected in my words a confession that I did remember Miss Canby's story of 'The Frost Fairies,' and she laid her conclusions before Mr. Anagnos . . . . . ." Keller, Story of My Life, supra note 4, at 64

<sup>32</sup> Lash, supra note 6, at 145.

<sup>33</sup> Anne Sullivan tells of an incident in the winter of 1891-92 when she took Helen outside during a light snow-fall. Helen was delighted to feel the cold flakes on her face and hands and, as they went back indoors, she said, "Out of the cloud-folds of his and hands and, as they went back indoors, she said, "Out of the cloud-folds of his and hands and, as they went back indoors, she said, "Out of the cloud-folds of his and hands and, as they went back indoors, she said, "Out of the cloud-folds of his and hands and, as they went back indoors, she said, "Out of the cloud-folds of his and hands and, as they went back indoors, she said, "Out of the cloud-folds of his and hands and, as they went back indoors, she said, "Out of the cloud-folds of his and hands and, as they went back indoors, she said, "Out of the cloud-folds of his and hands and, as they went back indoors, she said, "Out of the cloud-folds of his and hands and, as they went back indoors, she said, "Out of the cloud-folds of his and hands and, as they went back indoors, she said, "Out of the cloud-folds of his and hands and hands and hands and hands and hands and hands are said to the cloud-folds of his and hands and hands and hands are said to the cloud-folds of his and hands are said to the cloud-folds of his and hands are said to the cloud-folds of his and hands are said to the cloud-folds of his and hands are said to the cloud-folds of his and hands are said to the cloud-folds of his and hands are said to the cloud-folds of his and hands are said to the cloud-folds of his and hands are said to the cloud-folds of his and hands are said to the cloud-folds of his and hands are said to the cloud-folds of his and hands are said to the cloud-folds of his and hands are said to the cloud-folds of his are said to the from. No one at Perkins recognized it as coming from any of the available books in braille. One teacher did finally locate it in an ordinary printed book and identified it as coming from a poem of Longfellow's, but in Helen's memory it is quite transformed garments Winter shakes the snow." Keller, Story of My Life, supra note 4, at 338 n.\*. Annie recognized the sentence as obviously literary but had no idea where it came

Twain sought to comfort her, laughing at the incident as a farce recalled how panicked she felt in the face of determined quesgroup of "solemn donkeys" and "decayed human turnips."38 or written, except plagiarism!") and portraying the court as a tioning that seemed intended to make her confess. Later, Mark Helen was made to appear before the court, without Annie, and and wept bitterly in her bed that night, wishing she were dead.<sup>39</sup> deciding vote in Helen's favor. Helen was dazed by the ordeal ("As if there was much of anything in any human utterance, oral The court split, four to four, in its opinion, and Anagnos cast the

#### III. PROPERTY

ing the way it combines Helen's own narrative with Anne Sulliand suspicion about Helen's book, The Story of My Life, questionvan's letters and several other writings, all of it arranged with a commentary by the editor: "Miss Sullivan's Methods" ends on a note of serious doubt

One arises from a study of the Book in a questioning state of mind. He is inclined to ask himself, what in this book is Miss such [a] "composite reminiscence" of so much else. One feels as a Sullivan's, what is the Editor's, and what is Helen Keller's? He bank clerk must feel, who for many years has been falsifying feels as he does looking at a composite photograph—the book is which are wrong, which are the genuine figures, which are his accounts, and who says to himself, "which figures are right, those I have substituted? For the life of me I cannot tell."40

there is intellectual property: how does one decide what in the tograph, and the anxiety that this medium, still new in 1906, with the editor. Second, there is the photograph, a "composite" phobook belongs to Helen, what to Annie, and what to John Macy gives the impression of someone who desires strict accountabil are the real figures and which the false ones. Overall, this writer distinct advantage over the two women. And finally, there is the vulnerable in the very mode of perception in which he enjoys a might also have the power to trick the viewer; as if the writer felt its promise of an unprecedented fidelity of visual representation, Three very telling concepts inform the writer's judgment. First figure of the embezzler, who has become confused about which ity, a world of rigid distinctions between original and copy, au-

> of view and identifies with the deceiver rather than the deceived there is the curious moment at the end, when he shifts his point thentic and counterfeit, "genuine" and "substitute," although true circumstances have been obscured and covered up. viction that not only was "The Frost King" a plagiarism, but its even at the same moment when he emphatically declares his conconfusion and difficulty with the concept of intellectual property, identifies with an imagined embezzler, he is telling us of his own the writer imagines a potentially deceptive photograph, and then ("One feels as a bank clerk must feel"). My guess is that, when

rives from the Anglo-American tradition of thought about property. In the 1870s American law was modeled, as it is today, on the law of copyright at length, but he does so in the context of a lished in 1879, one year before Keller's birth.41 Drone expounds United States (also known as Drone on Copyright), which was pub-Law of Property in Intellectual Productions in Great Britain and the erty. A leading authority on the subject, at the time when Helen rulings, in both England and the United States, which declare an early eighteenth-century British statute and subsequent court fundamental argument about the meaning of intellectual prop-Keller is accused of plagiarism, is Eaton Drone's Treatise on the chief among them being John Locke.43 mately traces to the seventeenth-century social contract theorists sequently, he devotes an introductory section to delineating erty as a right prior to, and surviving, any copyright statute. Conto argue the case for the common law right to intellectual propthat applies. 42 Drone rejects this position, and sees it as his task thors in fact have no rights except under the particular statute lectual productions is superseded by copyright statutes; that authat the common law right of authors to property in their intel-"The Origin and Nature of Literary Property," which he ulti-Generally, our understanding of intellectual property de-

erty on the presumed natural right that a man has in his own ture before their agreement to form a civil society, bases propappropriation: for whatever a man creates or cultivates or imprivacy of the body, which Locke elaborates as a theory of private person. This is a theory of private possession, modeled on the Locke's theory, which concerns men existing in a state of na-

<sup>38</sup> Quoted by LASH, supra note 6, at 146-47.
39 Keller, Story of My Life, supra note 4, at 65.
40 Methods, supra note 35, at 171.

<sup>41</sup> Eaton. S. Drone, A Treatise on the Law of Property in Intellectual Productions in Great Britain and the United States (1879) [hereinafter Drone].

<sup>43</sup> John Locke, Of Property, Second Treatise of Government, ch. 5, §§ 25-51,  $\dot{m}$  Two Treatises of Government (Peter Laslett ed., 1960) (1690).

proves with the labor of his body becomes his property.44 There is a deep and complex irony in this theory, because in Locke's seventeenth-century, social contract theory performs a radical act stitutes in its stead a theory about the origin of property in the at least from the time of the Norman Conquest forward, and subthropology that erases the actual history of property in England, both base their accounts of the origin of civil society on an anof social and political amnesia. Locke, and Hobbes before him, prehistory of culture. With Locke, though, appropriation comes from a state of nature where everything is first "in common," so of private property. Still, the erasure is itself a sign that Locke the traditional idea of a commonwealth and the modern concept that Locke, more exactly, theorizes in a transitional zone between theorizes in order to prevail in a field of contested meaning; that the object of his theory is not so much nature as the still powerful, though altered, tradition of feudal property relations among tises of Government to demolishing the thesis of Robert James looked, for instance, that Locke devotes the first of his Two Trealords, and their tenants and servants. It is a fact often overwhich beheaded the English king and abolished the crown. Filmer's Patriarchia, 45 a text written at the height of the revolution children and household servants. This was an attempt to rationthe supposedly "natural" authority of the father over his wife, Filmer argued that all social and political authority derived from alize, by an appeal to origins, the feudal tradition of authority—a tradition which had already been dissolved from within by the dewas the institution of the legal contract, a device by which one velopment of a free market. The defining feature of this market own self-interest and is bound, not by an unwritten, supposedly man enters freely into agreement with another in pursuit of his agreed upon terms of the written contract. That the two parties "natural" bond between lord and servant, but by the mutually consequence of a prior unequal distribution of wealth, is a matter prior unequal distribution as a system of property rights. that Locke's theory is silent on, exactly as it works to secure that to a contract may in fact be unequal in property and power as a

every Man has a Property in his own Person. This no Body has any Right to but himself. soever then he removes out of the State that Nature hath provided, and left it in, he hath The Labour of his Body, and the Work of his Hands, we may say, are properly his. Whatmixed his Labour with, and joyned to it something that is his own, and thereby makes it 44 Id. § 27. "Though the Earth, and all inferior Creatures be common to all Men,

his Property." Id. (emphasis in original). 1680). (Filmer died in 1653, and the first posthumous edition appeared in 1680.) SIR ROBERT FILMER, PATRIARCHIA, OR, THE NATURAL POWER OF KINGS (London

> origin that is itself insufficiently theorized, and in doing so he square foot of land in England had been inventoried already, as state of nature is to think into nonexistence the present order of as a radical rewriting of the origin of property. To theorize a of justifying and protecting their disproportionate share of the already existing class of major property holders for the purpose cial contract; that civil society is created as an agreement by an already established a system of property relations prior to the socollective or cooperative venture, its existence as a commonwealth. alism and its inability to provide or even account for a society as a is the kind of question raised by the tradition of classical liberobligation, to whom are you tied by the bonds of exchange? This ture hath provided and left it in," then to whom do you owe any what you acquire exists first, as Locke says, in "the state that naproposes a concept of property without debt or obligation. If Book of William the Conqueror. Locke theorizes, then, upon an property, as far back as the eleventh century in the Domesday things in whose midst one theorizes. After all, virtually every world's natural and "common" wealth. 47 B. Macpherson, 46 to argue that in Locke's state of nature there is It is also the kind of question that has led Marxist readers, like C. Social contract theory thus performs not so much an erasure

gins. Even his contemporaries could see ironies in this kind of teenth century than when Locke writes his work on property thinking, which was pervasive a good deal earlier in the seventhat recognizes a political design in Locke's thinking about ori-Andrew Marvell, for instance, imagines in his long country-house However, it is not just Marxism or post-modernist theory

то Locke (1962) 46 C.B. Macpherson, The Political Theory of Possessive Individualism: Hobbes

tice of economists, with their focus on cost/benefit analysis, bears him out. Their key concern now is the "problem" of social cost. That is, does the cost to A of injury to her nomic history, he replied, "We can do economics without history," and the current pracpossible retrenchment. Asked why his graduate program offered no courses in ecoment which was getting added resources at a time when the rest of the university faced economic history in general. Recently, a new chair was hired for an economics departnegotiate without legal or regulatory intervention, then the outcome will tend to maxi-B of damages paid to A or of regulations restraining B from using her property in a way that injures A? According to the prevailing Coase theorem, if A and B are allowed to property (e.g., air or water pollution) from B's use of her property outweigh the cost to Kelman, Consumption Theory, Production Theory, and Ideology in the Coase Theorem, 52 S. Cal. L. Rev. 669 (1979). Coase, The Problem of Social Cost, 3 J. L. & Econ. 503 (1960). But see Duncan Kennedy, Cost-Benefit Analysis of Entitlement Problems: A Critique 33 Stan. L. Rev. 387 (1981); Mark continues to injure A. To do economics like this, of course you don't need history. See R.H. mize the social product and, consequently, minimize social cost-even if it means that 47 We are not much concerned with the history of property today, either—or with

deliberately flooded to increase its fertility, seems like both the poem, "Upon Appleton House," a moment in which the land, newly created world and Locke's original nature:

A levell'd space, as smooth and plain, As Clothes for Lilly strecht to stain. The world when first created sure Was such a table rase and pure. 48

rator as a scene of pure and original nature. This repeats exactly cal management of an estate is read ironically by the poem's nar-In the agricultural context, flooding used for deliberate ecologiing political agenda of the Levelers, whom Locke also rejected. 49 The ironies are manifold. "Leveled" glances at the democratizthe way in which most Europeans, without irony, read the New port the deer and other animals that they hunted, while the burns to create lush grasslands along forest edges so as to sup-England landscape, where the Indians made use of controlled the model of man's original acquisition of property.<sup>51</sup> "[I]n the beginning" says Locke, "all the world was *America*."<sup>52</sup> new world "savages" were blessed with. 50 Characteristically, Europeans saw the same landscape as an untouched nature that Locke uses the figure of a lone American Indian killing a deer as

concepts of psychological and aesthetic origins. For instance, the phrase, "Table rase," sounds a lot like Locke's notion of the newand ecological origins are coupled with important allusions to born mind as it awaits the advent of sense-experience to write upon it a knowledge of the world. Furthermore, the name "Lilly" in the line about cloth stretched for him to paint on, is These allusions in Marvell's poem to concepts of political

though before, it was the common right of every one. And amongst those who are counted the Civiliz'd part of Mankind, who have made and multiplied positive Laws to determine Property, this original Law of Nature for the beginning of Property, in what was before common, still takes place . . . . . Id. 51 Locke, supra note 43, § 30. "Thus this Law of reason makes the Deer, that Indian's

52 Id. § 49

"there is no purer, stronger, better title to property than that acquired by production."  $^{53}$ open for civilized man to inscribe himself onto it, is exactly the draws from his reading of Locke. For Drone all property-real, idea of the creation of intellectual property that Eaton Drone Peter Lely, the Dutch portrait painter who came to England in personal, or intellectual—is founded on the same principle: 1641: the idea of the blank canvas as an untouched nature, lying

one man's ownership of another man's labor. Similarly, when servant who is his servant, Locke assumes an already functioning right to them in common with others, become my property."54 the first place.56 original acquisition, which Locke's treatise sets out to explain in as acquiring it, an assumption that simply buries the question of argument which assumes that laboring on something is the same invested in it,55 Drone passes over the slippery patch in Locke's removes from the state of nature by reason of the labor he has Drone quotes Locke to the effect that a man acquires whatever he market economy based on a system of property that underwrites That is, in imagining a horse that is his horse and, significantly, a has cut, and the ore I have digged, in any place where I have a in the sentence, "The grass my horse has bit, the turfs my servant Locke suggest a system of property relations already in place, as It does not matter to Drone that the passages he quotes from

nores fundamental difficulties in Locke's theory. As a result, he mine it and make it his own. According to this view, a writer acchange, or obligation, and simply waiting for the writer's labor to material, untouched by any prior relation of production, exand literary property for which language exists as so much raw expounds what is essentially a free-market concept of intellectual derstood as something belonging exclusively to its author whose quires property in words by the distinctive order he bestows on them: that is what the law of copyright protects.<sup>57</sup> Writing is un-In following Locke as his authority on property, Drone ig-

most likely wrote *Upon Appleton House* around 1650, only a year or so after the beheading of Charles I, while serving as tutor for the daughter of Thomas Lord Fairfax, retired commander of the revolutionary "New Model" army, at the Fairfax estate of Nun 48 Andrew Marvell, Upon Appleton House, lines 443-46, in 1 THE POEMS AND LETTERS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL 76 (H. M. Margoliouth ed., 1971) [hereinafter MISCELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELL MARVELLANEOUS OF ANDREW MARVELLAN POEMS]. Marvell's Miscellaneous Poems were first published posthumously in 1681; he

ENGLISH REVOLUTION (1972); MACPHERSON, supra note 46, ch. 3. 49 See Christopher Hill, The World Turned Upside Down: Radical Ideas in the

OF NEW ENGLAND 47-51 (1983). who hath killed it; it is allowed to be his goods who hath bestowed his labour upon it, 50 WILLIAM CRONON, CHANGES IN THE LAND: INDIANS, COLONISTS, AND THE ECOLOGY

<sup>53</sup> Drone, supra note 41, at 4.

Locke, supra note 43, at § 28.

<sup>56</sup> This slippery patch is exactly where the modern industrial laborer discovers that See Locke, supra note 44; Drone, supra note 41, at 3 n.4.

the ideas expressed by those words, they existing in the mind alone, which is not capable of appropriation." Drone, supra note 41, at 5 n.3 (citing Jeffreys v. Boosey, 4 H.L.C. 814, 867 (1854)). analogous to the elements of matter, which are not appropriated unless combined, nor the order of words in the author's composition; not the words themselves, they being her labor does not entitle her to a property right in the product of her labor. 57 Drone quotes a judicial opinion in a key British case: " 'The subject of property is

erty relation, either before something is written or after. Such is

Drone's assumption when he argues against the doctrine that

unique production it is. No discursive practice affects this prop-

## IV. A GIFT FOR MR. ANAGNOS

nor is it given in expectation of something in return. In a gift stand the transformation which it undergoes from the time it which Helen sends to Michael Anagnos. It is important to underferent from private property. It starts out as a gift, a birthday gift, culture, the gift keeps its status as a gift only when the recipient the Perkins Annual Report. A gift, after all, is not a commodity, in turn gives it, or something of comparable worth, to another kins alumni magazine, then in the Goodson Gazette, and finally in leaves her hands until its publication, first in The Mentor, the Perand prevents the continued circulation of the gift is thought to be ding it to her stock or capital; in a gift culture whoever does this person. It ceases to be a gift when she keeps it as her own, adcapital, and commodity exchange. Hyde locates it on the marexists within our own world of advanced technology, industrial existed among rural folk and primitive tribes-people, but it also tum, but the gift keeps going."60 In its pure form, gift culture has property that stand still, that mark a boundary or resist momenessential is this: the gift must always move. There are other forms of immoral and in serious debt. As Lewis Hyde puts it, "The only creation and care of culture, the ministry, [and] teaching."61 as a "feminine" economy of "child care, social work, nursing, the gins of the mainstream economy, in what our culture constructs In fact, "The Frost King" starts out as something quite dif-

and photos feature children and the adult women who are their education of deaf-blind children at Perkins. Both the writer and School itself. Just recently, in the fall of 1990, Life magazine pubteachers and mothers. No men are present or even mentioned. the photographer are women and, without exception, the text lished an article, "To Reach the Unreachable Child,"62 on the acquired language and, frustrated at her inability to communitween a seven-year-old and her teachers. The girl has not yet Typical of the story is the way it describes the relationship be-A perfect example of the "feminine" economy is the Perkins

are "incorporeal" and therefore cannot bear "distinguishable proprietary marks." To refute this, Drone invokes the commonthere can be no property in intellectual productions because they not destroy or efface what is best in literature."58 Thus the mateplace notion that "corporeal possessions perish; but time does cultural purpose is served in nineteenth-century England by their present day. But it does not occur to Drone to question what their unique identities preserved through the ages down to the tinguishable, while the writings of Cicero and Horace endure, rial monuments of antiquity have decayed and are no longer distexts of an elitist educational practice. The way a culture exists, preservation, production, circulation, and consumption as the not prior to, but m and as its written productions, the way a text ten and something read-this is simply not available to Drone in functions as a site of contested meaning, as both something writproperty of its author in a free-market economy.<sup>59</sup> the way he thinks. For Drone, writing is the uniquely personal all the deep hurt and embarrassment that it causes her and Anne underlying the accusation of plagiarism against Helen Keller and When we remember that Helen is only eleven years old, with just Sullivan, as well as Michael Anagnos and the Perkins School. ourselves are acting like Twain's "solemn donkeys" and "dethat all this concern for property law is misplaced, and that we ines her about "The Frost King," we might understandably think cayed human turnips" who constituted the plagiarism court. four years experience of language, when a court of inquiry examplagiarism issue, we might actually question whether the concept forced the principle actors to assume a formal posture about the Were it not for the public nature of this sorry episode, which of property law has anything to do with it at all. This, then, is most likely the concept of intellectual property

62 Lou Ann Walker, To Reach the Unreachable Child, Life, Oct. 1990, at 88 (featuring photography by Mary Ellen Mark). nonetheless marks the essay as a gift.

author over her work even after publication. See Bernard Edelman, I.A propriété litteraire et artistique (1989). For the development of this right in Germany, see Martha Woodmansee, The Genius and the Copyright: Economic and Legal Conditions of the Emergence of the 'Author,' 17 Eighteenth-Century Stud. 425 (1984). 58 DRONE, supra note 41, at 1.
59 Drone is arguing against the Anglo-American tradition of copyright, by which an 59 Drone is arguing against the Anglo-American tradition of copyright have found author transfers her property right in her work to a publisher. He might have found author transfers her property right in her work to a publisher. He might have found author transfers broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the French tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves broad rights to the support in the french tradition of the droit d'auteur, which reserves the support in t Drone, supra note 41, at 7.

demic status and income—is still a gift, to be consumed and circulated in the gift culture of research and scholarship; no one will pay me for writing it, and I will not sell it—a fact that may lead to difficulties with the IRS about the deductibility of my expenses but lectual capital, my curriculum vilae, and hopefully will count toward enhancing my acaresearch. This essay of mine, though it will be added to the inventory of my own intellers to the inventory of my own intellers. 61 Id. at 106. Under the heading of culture comes writing, of course, and scholarly 60 Lewis Hyde, The Gift: Imagination and the Erotic Life of Property 4 (1983).

cate, she throws a tantrum. The teachers, said to be "extraordiending her agonizing frustration."63 This surely is the "femitirelessly, lovingly providing Lindsay with language, the key to out what has caused the fury, and then they go back to work, nary," "take the screaming and thrashing calmly, trying to figure stream market economy. " 'Sometimes I can't believe I get paid be powerful, are not measured in the same terms as in the mainnine" economy of gift culture in action; the rewards, often felt to girl of seventeen, is put at \$140,000 a year, with her home state were teaching at a public school. Still, the actual costs of educatirony,"64 and according to the article she is paid less than if she for this,' teacher's aide Ashley Pope says without a trace of ing deaf-blind children are very high. The care of one student, a exchange. The form in which I received it, on a recent visit to the article comes in, for it circulates in more than just one medium of of Indiana contributing half of it and Perkins absorbing the rest school, was a re-print that Perkins has packaged for mailings to through its endowment. Again, this is where the Life magazine current and potential supporters.65 In this form the article ingifts that make Perkins the school it is come in many forms and cludes a cover letter from the school's director, who happens to from the children."66 to Perkins also in some way receives a gift. A gift that comes from many sources. And it seems that everyone who gives a gift be a man, and who makes explicit what the article assumes: "The

A gift from one of the children is exactly what Helen Keller's

omy of a gift culture and the market economy of risk and competor's letter indicates, a director is an ambiguous figure on the story was, a gift for the school's director. As the current direcary across which wealth accumulated in commerce and capital inand other cultural institutions, the director stands at the boundboard directors for hospitals, museums, symphony orchestras, tition, profit and exploitation. Like university presidents and boundary between two cultures, between the "feminine" econrequires skill, tact, and considerable powers of persuasion. vestment is translated into the form of a gift. The position form of wealth and institutional property. boundary function, but in reverse-by translating a gift into a Michael Anagnos, in his handling of Helen's story, performs this

not Helen Keller who publishes it but Michael Anagnos. In pubstory begin to matter as intellectual property and, decisively, it is gift, but as a publication. Only in its published form does the intellectual property in particular, that apply to the story, not as a proceed on the basis of assumptions about property, and about again, is filled with enthusiastic praise, much of it-astonishingly—for what he sees as her intellectual independence and selfprestige for his school. To be sure, his long, glowing account of Anagnos transforms it from a gift to an ornament of institutional lishing the story in 1891, in the Perkins alumni magazine, Helen in the Annual Report for 1891, where he prints the story When Helen Keller is accused of plagiarism, her accusers

revive and modify perceptions; to analyze, sift, weigh and comtions with alacrity and efficacy. They enable her to receive, on the alert to serve it at its bidding and minister to its funcan extraordinary set of powers and capacities, which are ever restless way through a thousand obstacles. It is enriched with ing up under every disadvantage, and working its solitary but ness or pale moonlight but effulgent solar splendor.<sup>67</sup> pare impressions; and to produce ideas which reflect not dim-Helen's mind seems almost to have created itself, spring-

ance from any one, he or she certainly is a rare phenomenon."68 England who can write an original story like this, without assistpupil in any of the private or public grammar schools of New About "The Frost King" itself, Anagnos exclaims, "If there be a

ing with the young women who are their teachers. An added two page spread features Lindsay romping with her teachers in deep grass filled with dandelions, and the cover photo shows her in the same setting, her face lighted by an open smile. Inside, there is a photo shows her in the same setting. shows him curled up alone inside a large playroom container wearing a protective helmet. The caption reads: "Some deaf-blind children develop 'stims,' reflexive habits for extra stimulation, like banging their heads against walls. That's why Kenneth wears his helmet most of the time." Walker, supra note 62, at 91. In the Perkins brochure, this image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced, image, suggesting the isolation and loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced in the loneliness of a deaf-blind child, has been replaced in the loneliness of a deaf-blind child, article, supra note 62, as a brochure for publicity and fund raising) [hereinafter Perkins Reprint]. The extra pages that come with packaging the story as a Perkins publication make a lot more room for photographs, especially those that show cuddly children playand the same caption stands next to a photo that shows Kenneth playing with his teacher, his head in her lap, a smile on his face, the helmet cast aside in the background. significant substitution. In the Life article, a large photo of Kenneth, a ten year old, 65 "To Reach the Unreachable Child," PERKINS SCHOOL FOR THE BLIND (reprinting Life Id. at 98.

gifts. A figure of great wealth, King Frost is on the lookout for opportunities to bestow it on others. In Helen's words, "he does not keep his riches locked up all the time, but tries to do good and make others happy with them." What leads to the key incident of the best of the state of th Perkins Reprint, supra, at 7. the story is King Frost's decision to send his wealth-large vases of brightly colored 66 Perkins Reprint, supra note 65, at 3. Helen's story (and Canby's) is about making

gems—to Santa Claus, "who loves to do good, and who brings presents to the poor, and to nice little children at Christmas." Keller, Story of My Life, supra note 4, at 350.
67 Perkins Report, supra note 27, at 80.

blind girl, who is wholly dependent on her teachers and friends It is a measure of his extravagance that Anagnos can take a deafance from anyone." Still, even with such praise for Helen, his who writes a story in intensely visual language "without assistfor every visual and auditory perception, and describe her as one school and its educational program. Remarkably, there is no mind may seem self-creating, its liberation is a product of the tution. Helen wrote it, but she is a Perkins student. Though her publication of the story assimilates it to the property of the instiquite ironically, the praise installs Helen as a paragon of ining, caring for, and meeting the needs of a child. Instead, and mention of Anne Sullivan and the "feminine" economy of teachdependent mastery, self-creating and self-possessing, in the style ume of the Annual Report, where a signed note is inserted, apparof the narcissistic masculine ego, which is also the style of the ently just before the printed volume went to the bindery, in irony is one that Anagnos himself will complete in the same vol-Lockean and modern Anglo-American concept of property. The which Anagnos briefly describes the plagiarism and regrets the "mistake," though it is not clear whose mistake it is-Helen's in

writing the story, or his own in publishing it. 69 School, and Michael Anagnos its director? Or was it Helen alone often a matter of dispute. Was it Anne Sullivan? Or the Perkins school over this question flared up and even made it into the who should take the credit? During the year just before the "Frost King" episode, differences between Anne Sullivan and the newspapers. Sullivan, the half-blind Irish orphan girl who had enough. The Howe family in particular showed her their disdain. and its Boston Brahmin patrons, was, in their eyes, never grateful been rescued from the Massachusetts almshouse by the school for his success in 1838, when he taught language to the deaf-Samuel Gridley Howe, the founder of Perkins, was world famous just two months after she had led Helen Keller to the discovery blind girl, Laura Bridgman. Howe died in 1876, and Annie carearation for becoming Helen's teacher. But in a letter in 1887, of language, Annie confided to Mrs. Hopkins, "Something tells fully studied the record of his work with Laura Bridgman in prepme that I shall succeed beyond my dreams. Were it not for some absurd, I should think Helen's education would surpass in intercircumstances that make such an idea highly improbable, even Just who was to take the credit for Helen's education was

> sented her by making it appear that she should get all the credit solved by Anne Sullivan being obliged to make a retraction of her single question: who owned Helen Keller? This time it was reask Howe's widow, Julia Ward Howe, the celebrated poet, was educated there."<sup>74</sup> Institution," she wrote, "I am much more so, for as you know, I public statement in a letter to Dr. Samuel Eliot, president of the paper interview, in which she declared, "Helen is not a regular daughters. In 1890, Annie's aggression took the form of a newsshe would be "painfully aggressive" when she was with them. 72 whether it were possible "that the almshouse ha[d] trained a Gridley Howe's. But on one occasion when Annie ventured to for Helen's education. "And much as Helen is indebted to the her and my salary is paid by her father." 73 It all came down to a pupil at the Perkins Institution . . . . I have the whole charge of director of Perkins, that he was married to one of the Howe It also did not help her relationship with Michael Anagnos, the led that the Howes always made her feel uncomfortable, and that the vanity of an ill-mannered person."71 Years later, Annie recalteacher" Howe replied contemptuously that "it ha[d] nurtured fame, and Annie's too, did eclipse Laura Bridgman's and Samuel est and wonder Dr. Howe's achievement."70 In time Helen's Perkins board of trustees. The interview, she said, had misrepre-

### V. MIRRORED SELVES

the Perkins School repeats a conflict over the relation between Helen and her teacher going back to the moment of the "mira-This contest over Helen Keller between Anne Sullivan and

Boston American, July 18, 1920

Keller, Story of My Life, supra note 4, at 265-66 Lash, supra note 6, at 116.

Id. at 117

cate me. . . . He did not attempt to give me instruction in any subject, for he was never able to use the manual language fluently." *Id.* at 336. Apparently the Boston papers remained faithful to Anagnos and Perkins. A 1920 review of *Deliverance* in the *Boston* American shifts the site of the "miracle" from her Alabama home to Perkins: Bridgman. This prompted an indignant reply from Helen: "Mr. Anagnos did not edu-Tewksbury almshouse, wrote a memorial tribute in the Boston *Transcript*. He praised Anagnos as the educator of Helen Keller, likening him to Howe as the educator of Laura associate of Samuel Gridley Howe and played a central role in rescuing Annie from the 74 Id. at 119. When Michael Anagnos died in 1906, Frank Sanborn, who had been an

through the solicitude of her teachers, under the direction of Mr. Anagnos, son-in-law of Julia Ward Howe, the helpless child grew into attractive womanhood. She was not the only child delivered from cruel fate at the Perkins Institution, but she was without doubt the most remarkable The beginning of [her] career occurred here in Boston, . . and largely

cused, of attempting to keep Helen unnecessarily under her concle" and Helen's discovery of language. Joseph Lash has noted gist who wrote an important book in the 1930s on the education assessment of the relationship. Blind himself, he was a psycholotrol. An earlier writer, Thomas Cutsforth, was quite blunt in his the many times when Annie was suspected, and sometimes acculture's ideals of genteel literary expression, and its normative of the blind.75 He directly criticized Helen's education for having also specifically targeted the relationship between Helen and Anstandards of "auditory and visual respectability." 76 Cutsforth ignored and distorted her own experience in the service of the to her teacher's that continued throughout their lives together. 77 nie, seeing in it an infantile "capitulation" of Helen's personality ally masculine vision of a science of personality, with its ideals of His criticism has much merit, but it also represents a convention-"natural" and "independent" development, whereas Helen's experience is shaped largely by the conventions of the late nineteenth century women's culture of female friendships, shared

sentiment, and social reform. sexes, according to Carroll Smith-Rosenberg, provides the context for intense and passionate friendships among women, begun about her willing captive the silken hands of dependence, a de-"Friendship," wrote one woman to her friend, "is fast twining their lives, undeflected by marriage or geographical distance. in many cases early in adolescence and continuing throughout sufficiency."78 Such a sentiment seems altogether foreign to Cutsforth's ideals of rationalism and self-reliance. Though the archipendence so sweet who would renounce it for the apathy of selfval evidence analyzed by Smith-Rosenberg reaches only to the eth century. Still, the circumstances of Helen Keller and Anne did not continue, though in an altered form, into the early twenti-1880s, it is hard to believe that this style of feminine relationship Sullivan's relationship were largely unique: Annie was fourteen development when she was almost three times Helen's age. This years older than Helen, and she played her crucial role in Helen's would appear to distinguish their relationship from the friend-The nineteenth-century culture of separate spheres for the

75 Thomas D. Cutsforth, The Blind in School and Society: A Psychological

van, as well as others, understood their relationship. cultural tradition constructed a context in which Keller and Sulliroles of mother-daughter dyads, and it would seem likely that this their letters and diaries, these friendships often played out the ships recorded by Smith-Rosenberg. However, as expressed in

mother and child. This is particularly true of Lacanian psychoaularly in the context of such a dyadic relationship between since World War II, has sharpened our understanding of early and acquisition of language. Psychoanalytic theory, in its growth life unfolds, especially in the earlier stages of her development self, which is other?" does not arise. This understanding of the can), in which the infantile subject discovers itself reflected in the mother's mirror "role" (Winnicott) or as a mirror "stage" (Lanalysis and also British object-relations theory, as represented by human development and the role of language acquisition, particself has an important bearing on Helen Keller as accused plagia-"not speaking") self in "transitional" (Winnicott) or "imaginary" other. In this way both theorists locate the infantile (literally these issues in terms of an early moment characterized by the the work of D. W. Winnicott. Both Lacan and Winnicott address question: 'Did you conceive of this or was it presented to you from without?' . . . The question is not to be formulated."<sup>79</sup> of agreement between us and the baby that we will never ask the is very explicit about this aspect of the transitional: "it is a matter her, "Which are my words, which are yours?" Winnicott, in fact, traumatic accusation, when the question does not yet arise for (Lacan) relation with the other, such that the question, "Which is rist and "promiscuous" reader, at the moment just before the It is within her dyadic relationship with Annie that Helen's

idea of the mirror is a decisive feature, because so much of the on the mother's mirror role, says that "blind infants need to get dream or vision. But what of the blind? Winnicott, in his paper whether it be a primal scene, or the mirror stage, or some crucial and again to an inaugural scene—itself a site for essential looking theoretical argument about narrative origins comes back again For both Lacan and Winnicott, the visual emphasis in the

<sup>76</sup> Id. at 53.

<sup>78</sup> Carroll Smith-Rosenberg, The Female World of Love and Ritual: Relations between Women in Nineteenth Century America 1 Signs 1, 25-26 (1975).

ALTTY 1, 12 (1971) (emphasis omitted) [hereinafter, WINNICOTT, Transitional Objects]. One way in which Lacan and Winnicott differ is that Lacan takes the standpoint of the adult psyche inscribed in the domain of the "Symbolic," while Winnicott, a pediatrician as well as an analyst, takes the standpoint of the infant at the threshold of a "transitional" space that is in the process of becoming a semiotic domain. Perhaps this is why Winspace that is in the process of becoming a semiotic domain. nicott appears to be the least semiotic of analytic theorists. 79 D.W. WINNICOTT, Transitional Objects and Transitional Phenomena, in PLAYING AND RE-

and for Winnicott the other senses appear to be metaphors of the were taken for granted as truly mimetic, a privileged access to the visual: the infant gets reflected by other means, as if the visual themselves reflected through other senses than that of sight,"80 such an early age, adds a profoundly important difference. real. That Helen Keller is both blind and deaf, and stricken at what passes between mother and child in the mirror stage, but blind child the inaugural scene may be modeled relationally on language itself, her experience suggests that even for a deaf-Though she is cut off from visual and auditory signs and from to the play and force of the signifier. that it is finally a scene structured in and as language, according

ory.81 The tendency in film theory, says Copjec, has been to congraph," as in his statement that the gaze is "the instrument centrate on the first half of Lacan's hyphenated term, "photoby Joan Copjec to rethink the specularized subject of film theond half, the graph of photo-graph, and its implication that the through which . . . I am photo-graphed,"82 while ignoring the secvisual is graphic, a field of writing: In this argument, I am following an important recent effort

structure of the visual domain. Because it alone is capable of Semiotics, not optics, is the science that clarifies for us the lending things sense, the signifier alone makes vision possible. are opaque rather than translucent, because they refer to other signifiers rather than directly to a signified, the field of vision is neither clear nor easily traversable. 83 . And because signifiers are material, that is, because they

mirror stage. "This jubilant assumption of his specular image by thing to be read is already present in Lacan's early paper on the To an important extent, this understanding of the visual as somethe child at the infans stage . . . situates the agency of the ego,

> to play at assuming a coherent, unified image of an otherwise of development but also the stage on which the ego will continue is not once and for all: Lacan's mirror "stage" is not only a stage recognize the self-as-image or self-as-sign. But this recognition of a self-image already indicates an ability to read and therefore speech, it does not mean that she is without a basic competence rudimentary—to interpret. Though the child is infans, without anticipation implies thought, imagination, an ability-however coherence and unity of the bodily self that it presently lacks, and before its social determination, in a fictional direction. . . . "84 imaginary relation of the mirror, imparting to the ego its "ficescape the shadow which that dialectic already casts across the this moment of play "even before the social dialectic" s5 cannot fragmented body ego (corps morcelé). Lacan's attempt to locate for responding to visual signs. The infant's primal appropriation tional" direction. That is, the mirror-image assumed by the child anticipates a future

material objects. His questions, however, do not attribute an "Where is . . . ?" referring to the names of various people and One ten-year old boy repeats over and over again the question, an object, either in the "outer" world of people and material ments and appear to have no sense of self and other, no concept of Selma Fraiberg's work with congenitally blind infants. Some metaphor, but as a specific mode of perception, is borne out by communication. blindness with an abundance of auditory, tactile, and kinesthetic quires a conscious effort from parents to compensate for the later in their development than for sighted infants, and it reinfants do acquire a concept of an object, but it typically comes jects that are not so much absent as "lost."86 Of course, blind they function as incantatory demands for the reappearance of obexistence elsewhere to the people and things named. Instead, things or in the "inner" world of bodily function and feeling blind infants show signs of autism; typically, they form no attach-The importance of the visual in this experience, not just as a

## VI. WATER AND A BROKEN DOLL

ler's dramatic moment of acquiring language as an inaugural It is with this understanding that I want to read Helen Kel-

nicott's point of departure.
82 LACAN, Gaze, supra note 2, at 106.
83 Copjec, supra note 81, at 68.

<sup>84</sup> LACAN, Mirror Stage, supra note 14, at 2.

 $<sup>^{86}</sup>$  Selma Fraiberg, Insights from the Blind: Comparative Studies of Blind and Sighted Infants 37 (1977).

<sup>80</sup> WINNICOTT, Mirror Role, supra note 15, at 112.
81 Joan Copjec, The Orthopsychic Subject: Film Theory and the Reception of Lacan, 49 OctoBER 53 (1989). Much of today's film theory, even when it claims to be following Lacan, 
routinely uses the concept of the "gaze" as just the reverse of what Lacan intends. the critique of the masculine ego; whereas in Lacan, the gaze is fundamentally a superego concept. The gaze, as Lacan says, is "outside." In Freud's early structural model, the Copjec's essay is an extended critique of this reversal. In film theory the gaze shows up, for instance, in discussions of gendered spectatorship, as in the "male gaze," a term in ego and the id are intrapsychic functions. Freud adds the superego later, and conceives it as originating in a gesture that internalizes an object from "outside" the psyche, typitals originating in a gesture that internalizes an object from "outside" the psyche, typitals originating in a gesture that internalizes an object from "outside" the psyche, typitals originating in a gesture that internalizes an object from "outside" the psyche, typitals originately an object from "outside" the psyche, typitals or originating in a gesture that internalizes an object from "outside" the psyche, typitals originately originately or originating in a gesture that internalizes an object from "outside" the psyche, typitals originately originately originately originately or originately originately originately originately originately or originately orig cally the voice of parental prohibition and demand. The theory of the superego marks the beginning of "object relations" theory which, in its fuller development, is Win-

objects. Apparently, she was even aware of mirrors. Anne Sulliworking with her, at age seven, Helen had acquired a limited sign white darkness."87 Still, even before Anne Sullivan first started of silence and what she remembered years later as "a tangible from-the visual. After she was stricken, Helen lived in a world scene structured like-but necessarily and critically different van's first letter, three days after her arrival in Alabama in 1887, immediate wants like eating. She seemed able to negotiate her language, a system for pointing to present objects and indicating amused, as the girl tilted her head from side to side in front of a world quite well, and to distinguish among different people and a game of repeating the postures and gestures of those around mirror, "just as if she could see."88 This does not mean, howtells how she let Helen put on her bonnet and then watched, her, with no understanding of them as sight or sound or  $sign.^{89}$ of the mirror, even before she learned language. It was mimicry, ever, that she had learned to conceptualize the visual experience

adequately that unconscious, yet conscious time of nothingor desired. I had neither will nor intellect. I was carried along ness. I did not know that I knew aught, or that I lived or acted lived in a world that was a no-world. I cannot hope to describe Before my teacher came to me, I did not know that I am. I to objects and acts by a certain blind natural impetus.90

grasp of language as a conceptual medium, not until the famous cannot recall any feelings that went with them.<sup>91</sup> Maybe this is her pre-verbal days-"shedding tears, screaming, kicking"-but why she does not recall the early "battle royal" with Annie over her eating habits. Cut off from sight and sound, Helen has no In a letter to William James, she says she can remember acts from covery that water is a word, 92 she turns jubilantly to the objects hand and spells the word "w-a-t-e-r" in the other. With the dismoment in the well-house when Annie pumps water over one

94 Keller, Story of My Life, supra note 4, at 36-37.

guage. An exhilarating moment of discovery and liberation, it is, around her, demanding their names, consuming the world as lanhowever, a good deal more complex than I have indicated so far.

given her on her arrival in Tuscumbia, and it shattered into many first time I felt repentance and sorrow."94 "Then," Helen says, "my eyes filled with tears; . . . and for the the doll, felt her way to it, and tried to piece it back together. led with her discovery of language, she immediately remembered feet. However, when she returned from the well-house scene, filtime was the sadistic pleasure of sensing the fragments at her to get her to understand the word "water," and she angrily threw fragments.93 All that Helen says she remembers feeling at the her doll on the floor. It was a porcelain doll, one that Annie hac house, she grew frustrated at Annie's repeated attempts that day Helen remembers that, just before the scene in the well-

words that day, among them mother, father, sister, teacher; and ceives—her past. Helen remembers in fact that she learned many guage is what enables her to feel loss and sorrow understood as a often sentimental, she does not say that a sense of loss was the forward into narrative as she revises—or, more exactly, conprecipitated at that moment as a speaking subject and propelled primally destructive act and the "I" that remembers it, an "I" ing, language abruptly restructures both the memory of a not mourning a fall into language from the realm of the Lacanian price she paid as a child to acquire the power of language. She is recognize how Helen herself does not read it. Though she is positive, civilizing experience. In a movement of deferred mean-"imaginary." On the contrary, according to her narrative, lan-As one thinks of how to read this scene, it is important to

Keller, Story of My Life, supra note 4, at 35.

side to side with a hat on in imitation of her aunt Ev. LASH, supra note 6, at 51. tion a mirror. Instead, she says what she learned later: that Helen tilted her head from 89 In a letter written a year later, Annie repeats the same incident but does not men-

<sup>90</sup> Keller, World I Live In, supra note 5, at 113.

LASH, supra note 6, at 346.

before her illness. "I continued to make some sound for that word after all other speech was lost. I ceased making the sound 'wah-wah' only when I learned to spell the word." Keller, Story of My Life, supra note 4, at 25. Significantly, she remembered the word have the contraction of the supra state. but not its force as language 92 Actually, "water" is one of a few words that Helen remembers having learned

sewing instructor at Perkins in her late fifties in 1887; she made the doll's dress and sent a note with it "to my sister in Christ." Lash, *supra* note 6, at 49. only in Helen's published account, it seems appropriate to take it as a significant element in her experience. If it is the doll she says it is, the one that Annie gives her when she arrives in Tuscumbia, then it serves to link Helen Keller with the young girls at does not mention breaking the doll there.) Still, even though the broken doll appears since Annie wrote hers immediately as events happened, while Helen wrote hers some account of the whole episode is quite brief compared to Annie's in her letters to Mrs. sketch which appeared in the January 4, 1894, issue of The Youth's Companion, but she thirteen to fourteen years later in 1900-01. (Helen did write an earlier autobiographical almost fourteen pages. So, Helen's account may not be as reliable as Annie's, especially Perkins, whose gift it was. It also serves to link Helen with Laura Bridgman, who was a tive in her letters, from the moment of her arrival to the miracle in the well house, fills dining room and condenses a month of intense work into just a few days. Annie's narra-Hopkins. Her three-page chapter in *The Story of My Life* omits the "battle-royal" in the 93 The doll appears only in Helen's version of the episode, not in Annie's. Helen's

ing, for the first time, the arrival of the next day. she remembers, too, that she went to bed that evening anticipat-

child's capacity to symbolize continued union with the (m)other interpret it as a Winnicottean transitional object, a sign of the while that union is in the process of being broken. But if this doll it seems as if at the age of six or seven, Helen, because she lacks functions as a transitional object, it does so in a special way. For cannot move beyond the primitive phase of the transitional. access to the signifying and conceptual properties of language, understand, she nevertheless tried moving her own lips but withher. Years later, she remembers how she would stand between And, indeed, her lack of language is an enormous frustration to two persons who were conversing and touch their lips. Unable to out effect, and she would end up kicking and screaming until she was exhausted. 95 And what about the broken doll? It seems a likely strategy to

to be discarded: "It is not forgotten and it is not mourned. It ena have become diffused, have become spread out . . . over the [just] loses meaning, and this is because the transitional phenomwhole cultural field."96 For Winnicott, the development out of another way to understand the process in his paper, "The Use of the domain of the Symbolic). Nevertheless, Winnicott does offer disruption, no third term (which Lacan locates in language and mother's face, remain entirely dyadic in form: there is no gap, no nomena, as they continue to play out the mirror role of the the mirror stage is a direct line of growth, and transitional pheopment from relating to objects, to the use of an object, a step an Object." There he proposes a critical step in the child's develing means the destruction of the object, a destruction occurring ject's omnipotent control. ... . ... Significantly, such repositionthat means repositioning the object "outside the area of the subon the boundary between fantasy and reality, which the object either does or does not survive. As for Lacan, in his later statethe mirror stage (or recognize, perhaps, a neglected dimension ments about the gaze, he appears to revise his earlier theory of of it). The idea of the "photo-graph", as something at once visual and written, indicates that the imaginary is already configured The fate of the transitional object, says Winnicott, is simply

in the shadow of the symbolic, and the mirror already traversed

give, go, come."99 acknowledge for the first time that this most elemental substance, ery was drop to the ground and ask for its name, as if moved to and, in loss, irrecoverably—a transitional object. Grief and rerecognizes it as a sign, does the doll then become—retroactively and tries to piece it back together. Only when she recognizes its other object that acts as a comforter in the mother's absence but truly transitional object, which is always "more important than something, or someone, existing in relation to her, from beyond water, then earth: one almost expects air and fire to follow from her and have a name—or exist because it had a name. First the ground as material and concept, might actually exist apart records that the first thing Helen did after the moment of discovguage. Annie, in a letter written the same day, in April 1887, gret become possible, then, in a space made transitional by lanbrokenness as a sign of her brokenness, that is, only when she not function as a transitional object, at least not until she returns is readily discarded on her return. Helen's doll, I believe, does the mother, an almost inseparable part of the infant,"98 and antional object. Winnicott makes a distinction, in fact, between the the perimeter of her paranoid isolation, but it is not a truly transiturns to mourn it—the doll, I think, presents the possibility of boundaries and ways of negotiating them: "[d]oor, open, shut What does follow, according to Annie, are words denoting Before Helen smashes the doll—and certainly before she re-

after an act of violence akin to Winnicott's destruction of the oband bring Annie out through a window. It seems as it only when rived, Helen locked her in her room and hid the key, refusing to outside on the porch steps laughing as she felt herself being pantry. No one was nearby, and Helen remembers sitting discovered the use of a key and one day locked her mother in the dents before the well-house scene. When she was about four, she ers and openings, Helen records important memories of two incitell anyone where it was. Finally her father had to get a ladder lasted for three hours. Then, again, soon after Anne Sullivan arjarred by her mother's pounding on the door. The incident Helen enters the world as language, which she does immediately Regarding doors and their liminal properties, as both barri-

<sup>95</sup> Id. at 27-28

<sup>96</sup> WINNICOIT, Transitional Objects, supra note 79, at 5.

and Reality 86, 89 (1969). 97 D.W. WINNICOTT, The Use of an Object and Relating through Identifications, in PlayING

<sup>98</sup> WINNICOTT, Transitional Objects, supra note 79, at 7.
99 KELLER, STORY OF MY LIFE, supra note 4, at 257 (alteration in original).

ject and Lacan's castration of the subject, that she then gains access to others, as other, and thus also enters the terrain of desire. 100 In a note added to her letter the next day, Annie her room and "stole into [her] arms of her own accord and kissed records that when she got in bed that evening, Helen came into [her] for the first time....

## VII. THE STORY OF MY LIFE

accused of plagiarism and compelled to appear before a court of Keller tells how she has been changed by the trauma of being younger efforts at authorship as exercises in "assimilation" and investigation. Several years after the event, she can describe her midst of a "not yet completed" process, between the traumatic "imitation." As she writes, she locates herself in time, in the gion of words which come thronging through every byway of the become a writer, someone who has learned to "marshall the lepast and a moment in the indefinite future when she might really In writing her chapter on "The Frost King" episode, Helen

stance and texture of my mind. Consequently, in nearly all from those I read, because what I read becomes the very sub-It is certain that I cannot always distinguish my own thoughts nese puzzle together. We have a pattern in mind which we sew.... Trying to write is very much like trying to put a Chithe crazy patchwork I used to make when I first learned to that I write, I produce something which very much resembles trying because we know that others have succeeded, and we are not willing to acknowledge defeat. 102 wish to work out in words; but the words will not fit the spaces, or, if they do, they will not match the design. But we keep on

gaining a perfect fit. Not only is what she writes a "patchwork," Writing has become difficult, and Helen has come to understand work whose substance is language. Insofar as she grasps this but so is the text and "texture" of her mind: it too is the patchhow slippery and stubborn words can be, the impossibility of truth, Helen Keller subverts Foucault's panoptic model of knowl-

> edge, is something that Helen's assent to the difficulty of lanidea of being able to see everything, to achieve a totality of knowltral tower, one sees everything without ever being seen."103 The machine for dissociating the see/being seen dyad: in the peredge and discipline. "The Panopticon," says Foucault, "is a subject, she must discover and acknowledge her difference and now."104 Once again, in order to discover herself as a thinking that her writing is suspect, Helen writes, "I thought everybody diary entry for January 30, 1892, when Annie has just told her guage exposes as a characteristic Foucauldian hyperbole. In a ipheric ring, one is totally seen, without ever seeing; in the cengin and a future perfection, between fall and recovery. It is on she constructs a narrativized self located between a traumatic orihad the same thought about the leaves, but I do not know tion of doubt, although in response to the pain and unease of it, her incompleteness. For Helen this amounts to a liberating posithis basis that she constructs herself as a narrative subject, a sub-

just written is "all the facts as they appear to me," 105 and after disclaiming any desire to defend herself, Helen begins a new At the end of her chapter, after affirming that what she has

home-going. Everything had budded and blossomed. I was happy. "The Frost King" was forgotten. spent with my family in Alabama. I recall with delight that The summer and winter following the "Frost King" incident I

brown in the sunshine, I began to write a sketch of my life—a year after I had written "The Frost King." 106 the arbour at the end of the garden were turning golden leaves of autumn, and the musk-scented grapes that covered When the ground was strewn with the crimson and golden

expressive gesture, Helen appropriates the language of the purwrite what will be the text that the reader is in the process of loined text, impossibly visual in its signification, 107 and begins to Of course, "The Frost King" is not forgotten at all. In a typically speaking and writing subject, is the threshold moment of her enreading. What she makes her own, and makes of herself as

104 Keller, Story of My Life, supra note 4, at 356 103 DISCIPLINE AND PUNISH, supra note 16, at 201-02

Compare Ovid's Narcissus and his lament about his image in the water:

To make it worse, no sea, no road, no mountain,

No city wall, no gate, no barrier, parts us

But a thin film of water. (3. 448-450)

There is no way from the "I" to the beloved because there is nothing between them. Ovid, Metamorphoses 71 (R. Humphries trans., Indiana UP, 1955).

101 Keller, Story of My Life, supra note 4, at 257.

<sup>102</sup> Id. at 67-68.

<sup>105</sup> Id. at 69.

the sun) 107 Except for the one detail: the musk scent of the grapes (and possibly the warmth of

trance into language. That is "the story of [her] life." In fact, the first version of her autobiography, which she publishes in the January, 1894, number of *The Youth's Companion*, just two years after the "Frost King" incident, is given a very simple but definitive title: "My Story." In the process, "Helen Keller" becomes the name for a liminal moment of transition from darkness to light, silence to speech, bondage to liberation, repeated and commemorated in both what she writes and what others write

ment, acknowledgement and denial, in her project of authorial The decade or so of Helen's early experience with publication, of clarity is fundamental to the construction of "Helen Keller." and what others write about her is not always clear, and this lack existence in writing her autobiography. Tellingly, the editor of The Youth's Companion declares that the story was "[w]ritten tion of plagiarism and her passionate denial. Then comes the self-inscription. First, there is the involuntary publication of from 1892 to 1903, traces a narrative of disclosure and concealis her "self," which, paradoxically, is the self that she writes into subject, the only subject no one could accuse her of plagiarizing, recuperatively titled "My Story," as if declaring that her only real publication of the brief autobiographical sketch, aggressively and "The Frost King" in early 1892 followed by the traumatic accusawholly without help of any sort . . . and printed without change." 109 Next, after writing several further sketches as freshman compositions at Radcliffe for Professor Charles Copeland in troduces as "Helen Keller's Own Story Of Her Life, Written ments in the Ladies Home Journal in 1902, 110 which the Journal insame title, The Story of My Life. But the book is a composite, and vision, this is published in book form the following year with the Entirely by the Wonderful Girl Herself."111 Then, with some re-1900-01, Helen publishes "The Story of My Life" in six installautobiography, the book has a section containing her letters and between biography and autobiography. 112 In addition to Helen's the full story is a collaboration, such that the lines are blurred The relation, though, between what she writes about herself

> thing more complex: a story of her but one for which the article "the" in the phrase, "The Story," fails to disclose an author. story of her and a story by her. The second title announces somechange in Helen's claim of authorial autonomy. The first title as She Really Is."113 So, the change in titles, from "My Story" in two installments he contributed to Ladies Home Journal as a supanother section put together by John Macy, the editor, including achievement of an author's status in the first place "composite reminiscence,"115 but most readers, eager to see "Miss Sullivan's Methods," makes much of the book's status as a on the title page. 114 Of course, the writer of the accusatory text to take at face value the inscription of "Helen Keller" as author even by Helen and Annie themselves, for the public has tended This change was no doubt too subtle to be noticed, perhaps not announces a story that belongs doubly to Helen Keller, as both a plement to Helen's autobiography, under the title, "Helen Keller kins, plus Macy's own account of Helen. This is an expansion of Anne Sullivan's all-important 1887 and 1888 letters to Mrs. Hopfirming her status as author of the book that narrates her look this and think of the whole book as Helen's own, thus con-Helen as the heroine of her own narrative, have tended to over-1894 to The Story of My Life in 1903 traces an important but subtle

# III. DEAF-BLIND WRITING AND THE PRODUCTION OF "HELEN KELLER"

In early 1905, anticipating her marriage to John Macy, Annie wrote a letter to a friend, in which she sums up the finances that supported Helen and herself. Besides a trust fund yielding them \$840 a year, there was the income from Helen's books and articles. On this score, Annie feels it necessary to set the record straight:

Of course you know that whatever Helen writes represents my labor as well as hers. The genius is hers, but much of the drudgery is mine. The conditions are such that she could not prepare a paper for publication without my help. The difficulties under which she works are insurmountable. Someone

ized memory of what she has learned later from her teacher and others." Keller, Story

detail how she was taught, and her memory of her childhood is in some cases an ideal-

113 John Albert Macy, *Helen Keller as She Really Is* (pt. 1), Ladies' Home J., Oct. 1902, at 11.
114 John Macy, though, is quite clear about the implications: "She cannot know in

<sup>108</sup> Helen Keller, My Story, Youth's Companion, Jan. 4, 1984, at 3

<sup>110</sup> Helen Keller, The Story of My Life (pts. 1-5) LADIES' HOME J., Apr.-Sept. 1902.

<sup>112</sup> Joseph Lash, originally commissioned to write a biography of Helen Keller timed for the 100th anniversary of her birth in 1980, quickly determined that "[i]t is impossible to write a book about Helen Keller that is not also a book about Annie Sullivan. . . . "

of My Life, *supra* note 4, at 224.

115 *Methods*, *supra* text accompanying note 40.

contracts were made, she insisted that they should revert to me on her death. It is also her wish to divide equally with me, son at least has hinted that financially she might be better off thought her earning capacity independent of me, and one perwhat the relations between her and me really are. They have ment because Helen's friends have not always understood during her life, all the money that comes to her as our joint earnings. I am willing to accept one third. 116 without me. Helen feels very differently and when the book she would do herself if she had her sight. I make this stateand look up words for her, and to do the many things which writer in order, to read over her manuscript, make corrections must always be at her side to read to her, to keep her type-

"drudge," and a world that is bent on valuing them accordingly verse implications: there is Helen the "genius," Annie the and to stand unyieldingly by her denial that Helen was reading prompted Annie to idealize Helen's youthful abilities as a writer, Her own estimate of Helen's genius is, in large part, what Helen and herself together, underscoring what for her are its ad-In this passage, Annie interprets the primary triangle that binds stood how they were collaborators in the production of "Helen Annie, and Annie's deferential willingness to accept only a third ward one another: Helen's wish to divide her income equally with in Annie's account, though, is the generosity of both women to-What also comes through is the sense that both women under-("Does this seem a just arrangement?" she asks in the letter). 117 Canby when she wrote "The Frost King." What comes through tably in question. Keller," although the relative importance of each one was inevi-

alert to correct any lack of recognition for Annie. When they met gotiating the way they were perceived, and Helen was always her by Annie's genius."118 The two women were constantly ne-"only Annie's puppet, speaking and writing lines that are fed to fame on Helen's genius," while the other half believes Helen is lieves Annie Sullivan is just a governess and interpreter, riding to men, John Macy sarcastically joked that one half the world "bequickly replied that the compliment should have been paid to An-Dr. Maria Montessori in 1914, and she told Helen that she had learned from her "as [a] pupil learns from [a] master," Helen Responding to the public's variable estimate of the two wo-

audiences at the final curtain barely noticed her after the first Ann Bancroft, who played Annie, became distressed by the way was such that its title should have been The Miracle "Workee." 122 years later, Gibson remarked ironically that the play's reception lic eager to cast Helen as the heroine of her own drama. Many playing the roles of Annie and Helen. Of course, the title of the Miracle Worker, 121 this dynamic had its effect even on the actresses Years later, in the stage production of William Gibson's play, The accept hers, complaining that she was unworthy of the honor. 120 play refers to Annie, not Helen, but this was often lost on a puborary degrees by Temple University, Annie stubbornly refused to nie. 119 Then, in 1931, when they were both to be awarded hon-

served as editor and compiler of The Story of My Life, he saw in Annie a special skill: when he was already a collaborator in their enterprise, having "the foundation of Helen Keller's career." 125 Writing in 1902, tion of rivalry, and identifies "the unanalyzable kinship" that was Again, it is John Macy who cuts through the public's percep-

sympathized with Bancroft, telling her how "people would tram-

ple [Annie] so as to get at Helen."124

round of applause, while they continued to cheer Patty Duke for

her performance as Helen. 123 Nella Braddy, Annie's biographer,

ence, for just the turn of thought that Miss Keller needs at the inessential; her feeling, which is now a matter of long experithe busy fingers of her pupil; her instinct in striking out the does not try to retain herself, but allows to pass through her to [Sullivan's] skill in presenting material, some of which she

needs "at the moment," thus shaping and translating the world description of the mother's mirror role in meeting and reflecting already been in love with Annie, is the way it recalls Winnicott's the "inessential" and instinctively provides Helen with what she the infant's needs. This is evidenced by the way Annie filters out What is striking about this description, by a man who may have

LASH, supra note 6, at 329

<sup>118</sup> Id. at 319-20

<sup>119</sup> Id. at 418.

<sup>120</sup> Id. at 596.

<sup>122</sup> William Gibson, Monday after the Miracle: A Play in Three Acts viii (1983). 121 WILLIAM GIBSON, THE MIRACLE WORKER: A PLAY FOR TELEVISION (1957).

<sup>123</sup> Lash, *supra* note 6, at 762

<sup>125</sup> Macy, supra note 113, at 12.

<sup>126</sup> Id. See also, Lash, supra note 6, at 295-96. Macy omitted this passage from the version published in Helen's 1903 book, The Story of My Life, see supra note 4.

unique perceptual capacity and her desire. to correspond with the shape of it already implicit in Helen's

seeing herself in her mother's face, because what the mother nicott's focus on the sameness of the specular image—the baby full recognition for Annie. On the other hand, it is as if Windeference and Helen's reciprocal (and reparative) insistence on rivalry that finds resolution only in Annie's repeated gestures of sitions him to account for the rivalry between Helen and Annie, a age, its contribution to the paranoid development of the ego, pohaps, rather than a rivalry, a complementarity. 127 On the one ways of reading this moment: Winnicott's and Lacan's. Or perdependence," or generally, her "organic insufficiency." 130 touched or held by her mother. Lacan alludes to this mode of thought and experience, the hand that touches her in the dark. 129 placed by touch, what Helen describes as mediating all her tensely intimate and dyadic relationship between the two women. there"128—positions Winnicott to account for the enduring, inlooks like when she looks at the baby is related to "what she sees hand, it is as if Lacan's focus on the otherness of the specular imto the extent that it can be described as pre-Symbolic, 132 and this about the imaginary, pre-Symbolic character of the mirror stage, mother's face is "the precursor of the mirror." 131 Still, Lacan is clear Maybe it is a question of developmental timing. Writing after fant's perceptual precocity: her "motor incapacity and nursling mother-infant relating only as the contrasting ground for the inthe mirror stage, never describes the infant as touching or being phenomenon, where they differ is that Lacan, in his account of Although Winnicott and Lacan are describing virtually the same This is a relationship in which the absent visual modality is rewould situate Lacan's and Winnicott's theories as both dealing Lacan, Winnicott acknowledges his influence but says that the with the same developmental phase. But it is Winnicott who ex-What is in question here is a rivalry between two different

tions only to sighted infants. mother's mirror role. And, significantly, he limits his observathe infant, and presenting objects to her, as he addresses the plicitly focuses on the mother's function in holding and handling

ence. Madelon Sprengnether, for instance, sees it as implying decisively different relationships between mother and infant. already well understood, as are the implications of this differ-Winnicott's theory and her virtual absence in Lacan's theory is The difference between the bodily presence of the mother in

image she provides. Such a circumstance, according to Wintracted, or withdrawn-the less her infant is able to use the more she resembles a [Lacanian] mirror, in fact-passive, disprofound influence on its subsequent development. The flection means that her responsiveness to her infant has a mother's [Winnicottean] role as an agent in the process of reshe barely seems to exist in a corporeal sense. . . . The downplays the role of the biological mother to the point where plenitude) through the concept of mother-infant fusion, Lacan nicott, fosters the emergence of pathology. 133 Whereas [Winnicott's] theory stresses maternal presence (and

relationship becomes alienating and paranoid. rial otherness of its surface, then for Winnicott the mother-infant flect her'infant "like" a mirror, but also resembles the cold, matecan's. The critical difference is between the reflective function of the mirror and its material being. If the mother does not just refies the extent to which Winnicott's theory is a critique of La-An important aspect of Sprengnether's argument is that she clari-

sensation that it excites on the surface of the body. 135 In conworld, to emphasize touch and downplay sight. Typically, such their example: what is perceived is not so much the object as the theories use the scratch of a blade or the tickle of a feather as theories of perception, which deny the reality of the phenomenal fants). 134 Philosophically, it has always been the habit of skeptical infantile perception and knowledge (it is crucial for blind intactile, of mutual touching and holding, for the development of derstood how important in Lacan's theory is the absence of the However, as far as I can tell, it has not been adequately un-

concrete functioning of his own language. That is to say that they are both effects of the knowledge of their own languages." Shoshana Felman, The Literary Speech Act. Don-Juan with J. L. Austin, or Seduction in Two Languages (Catherine Porter trans... 127 An alternative way to configure the relation between Winnicott and Lacan is, in Shoshana Felman's phrase, a "missed encounter" between languages. They are both " "products of their respective languages. Each works with, and takes into account, the

WINNICOTT, Mirror Role, supra note 15, at 112 (emphasis omitted)

<sup>129</sup> See supra text accompanying note 8.

<sup>130</sup> LACAN, Mirror Stage, supra note 14, at 2, 4.

See supra text accompanying notes 81-85 WINNICOTT, Mirror Role, supra note 15, at 111 (emphasis in original).

<sup>133</sup> MADELON SPRENGNETHER, THE SPECTRAL MOTHER: FREUD, FEMINISM, AND PSYCHO-

ANALYSIS 183, 185 (1990).

134 See supra text accompanying note 85.

It is not just any instance of the sense of touch that a skeptic uses to disprove the reality of the perceived world, but the special instance in which the sensation of touch excites or pains the body to the extent that the mind is distracted from the object in question 135 See Hannah Arendt, The Human Condition 114 n. 63 (1958). Arendt argues that

97

gaze and the mirror stage, and he is apparently indifferent to trast, Lacan emphasizes the visual in his central concepts of the touch and smell (which virtually excludes Helen Keller from his bodily modes of perception, the "proximity" senses of taste, for a post-modern philosophy of paranoia. theory). In this way, he exchanges a modern philosophy of doubt

child, the word "dog" (or rather, "doggie") comes to be grasped arms. Lacan, it seems, reads Saussure from the vantage of his the kinesthetic pleasures of being held, rocked, and carried in her comes combined with the touch, taste, and smell of her body, and of this family, a child of this mother—whose voice, worded or not, to herself as a subject of this culture and not another, a member images, textures, tastes, and smells within which a child awakens and repeated from out of the surrounding envelope of sounds, theory of the sign does not account for. For the developing tween sight and touch that the post-Saussurean—and Lacanian earlier association with surrealist artists and photographers. natural connection with the signified, since what the sign unites is perro, depending on the language, shows that the signifier has no Consequently, his theory of the sign or, more exactly, the signifier of the linguistic sign. 136 The value of the sign is understood as ever, the more consequential principle in Saussure is the "value" fier and signified). As Mikkel Borch-Jacobsen points out, hownot a word and a thing, but a sound-image and a concept (signihas four legs and wags its tail is named dog or chien or hund or familiar "arbitrariness" of the sign. That the furry animal which by a paranoid positionality. There is, in Saussure's theory, the fect), seems to be based on a visual aesthetics strongly inflected (with the signified not as the referent of the signifier but its efthe sum of its divergences from other signs: meaning is determined by difference. What this suggests, I think, is a fundamental relationship be-

and the signified, and if this is so, it is because, in accord with tem with which they are in solidarity (hence the despair of the theory of value, they vary in concert within a linguistic sys-[Moreover,] . . . there is strict adherence between the signifier

exactly the same meaning as [beef], even if they refer to the translators, who know only too well that [boef] will never have

at the very least, tactile as well as visual. or self-as-sign. The beginnings of language and knowledge are, other's eyes, portrays a simultaneously visual and tactile percepinfant begins to exercise a capacity to recognize the self-as-image tion of the object. This is where language begins, where the the infant in her mother's arms, the two gazing into one anwith all the same specificity of the senses. Winnicott's model of of the mother, as if in a mirror, but her body too, experienced recognition, according to Winnicott, there is not just the image and named by the developing subject. At the beginning of such gular play of light and dark and color, that come to be recognized speaks. Such despair points to the unique materiality of the culture, the voices and fragrances, textures and flavors, and the sinof language—the weight and specificity of the culture that it The despair of the translator marks both the limit and the power

words of others. acknowledge similar boundaries between her words and the will take the trauma of being accused of plagiarism to get her to other that her blind groping continually transgresses. Later, it alingual child, to acknowledge the boundaries between self and and specifically for the deaf-blind, like Helen, touch and percepgrounding of knowledge, perception, and desire. For the blind, sighted means a fading of the connection between the tactile and awakens to the fact and power of language, as she feels water that for Helen it will take a "battle-royal" to get her, as an tion remain strongly related: touch is perception; which means the visual, with the tactile remaining as an unfelt but active ing the word for water. My guess is that maturation for the tion"). 138 In this we are not far from the moment when Helen blind, he might, I think, have hugged himself for such a definiflowing in one hand while in the other hand she feels Annie spelltous intuition that it is an instrument that enables us to touch our often speaks of mirrors. Asked to define one, he offers the felicifaces at a distance (says Diderot: "Had Descartes been born In Diderot's account of the blind man of Puisaux, the man

If Winnicott is the theorist of the moment when touch and

sword. And it is certain that this sensation of pain is not less different from the motion that causes it . . . than are the sensation[s] we have of color, sound, odor, or taste.' Id. (quoting Descartes, *Principals, Part 4*, in Philosophical Works (Haldane & Ross, trans., pain but does not on that account make us aware of the motion or the figure of the and focused instead on the sensation itself. So, she quotes Descartes, on the unreality of "secondary" qualities: " 'The motion merely of a sword cutting a part of our skin causes

<sup>136</sup> M. Borch-Jacobsen, Lacan: The Absolute Master (Douglas Brick trans., 1991)

<sup>138</sup> Diderot, supra note 17, at 71-72. See also HULL, supra note 18, at 65-66 ("I am often surprised that my sighted friends know something when it is still so far off. The blind have to remember that it is just as if the sighted were touching their faces all the time.").

speech-but mediated always by tactile signs, by touch. This is mastery. Hence also the fundamental theme of her writing, the insufficiency to anticipation." Hence Helen's sense of herself mirror stage is a drama whose internal thrust is precipitated from cisively projects the formation of the individual into history. The writing subject. It "is experienced as a temporal dialectic that dement applies directly to Helen's development as a speaking and liminal moment of liberation, from darkness to light, silence to as a writer located between present incompleteness and future mirror image. What Lacan says of this stage of infantile developincompleteness and the formal completeness anticipated in the experience the disparity between her perceptual and motor carelationship, then Lacan is the theorist of the moment when sight are merged in the dual unity of the mother-infant mirror her writing and public appearances. the "Helen Keller" that Helen and her collaborators produce in pacities, 139 discovers herself divided between her physiological touch and sight are divided and the subject, left on her own to

ers that "its possibilities far exceeded the Birth of a Nation." 141 cis Trevelyan Miller, a man who was part historian, part P. T. movie, entitled Deliverance, which was produced in 1919 by Franumph at the Palace Theater in New York. She also starred in a cle" on the vaudeville circuit all across America, scoring a tri-Annie spent a year and more performing the well house "mirapressed her hopes for the film: Helen enthusiastically agreed with his conception as she ex-Barnum. Early in the planning stages, he assured potential backforms. Besides her fifty-year career as a public lecturer, she and Helen's own performance as "Helen Keller" took many

broken by love and faith, so I desire to open wide all the prison-doors of the world. 142 who go forth sorrowing and struggling shall bring their and poverty. As the dungeon of sense in which I once lay was that shall find its way to all who are bound by circumstances golden sheaves home with them in joy. I dream of a liberty brighter, happier future for all men. I dream of a day when all burned in my heart—a message of courage, a message of a It will help me carry farther the message that has so long

ers, people of all nations, toward 'deliverance'." 143 a trumpet and leading thousands of shipyard and factory workcapped by a final spectacle with Helen on a white horse, "blowing later scenes, many of them offered as inspirational tableaux, house is played by a child actress. Helen appears as herself in the In the movie, the young Helen's miracle moment in the well

century-with the crucial difference that E.T. can see and hear way as Helen Keller did for audiences in the first decades of the tween Anne Sullivan and the deaf-blind otherness of Helen Kelvan in particular (notably in Teacher, the clearly reparative biograshe always credits the aid and love of others, and of Anne Sulliof "Helen Keller," the subject of her own liberation. However, conversion narrative, which continually reaffirms her in the role and a half. 146 Lacanian theory is especially well suited to underwhich has transformed modern social life during the last century tion our thinking about the cultural consequences of the camera and hearing. Her lack, on the contrary, puts directly into quescontemporary theory is not at all diminished by her lack of sight resentation of her. 145 But the importance of Helen Keller for she was cut off absolutely from experiencing the film and its repplayed herself before the camera, must seem strange if only because Spielberg's E.T. functions for audiences today in much the same ler, that audiences and readers have warmed to. In a way, Steven moment, symbolized by the touch of one hand to another bephy that she published in 1955). 144 It is always the collaborative That Helen, in *Deliverance*, was not only the subject of a film but Clearly, what Helen writes herself into is the discourse of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> Another crucial difference: for Winnicott there are no infants per se, only infants

<sup>140</sup> LACAN, Mirror Stage, supra note 14, at 4 (emphasis in original)

<sup>141</sup> Lash, supra note 6, at 473.

 $<sup>^{142}</sup>$  Id. With my attention concentrated on perception and language, I have had to neglect in this essay the fact that Helen was an active socialist during this period. See

generally Helen Keller, Out of the Dark: Essays, Letters, and Addresses on Physical and Social Vision (1913).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> Lash, *supra* note 6, at 481.

<sup>144</sup> See generally, Helen Keller, Teacher: Anne Sullivan Macy (1955)

torming for a camera. 145 Even more of a puzzle, perhaps, is how she thought of herself as posing or per-

could not see the result, tried gamely to fulfill his wishes. . . Frequent flickunable to hold back his tears as he tapped out 'be natural' and Helen, who effect they were trying for . . . The director [George Foster Platt] often was was a laborious process. ers and starts of feeling seemed to be registering little inner electric shocks. Her gestures were equally expressive. But to synchronize gesture and feeling her hand what she was supposed to do in the next series of 'takes' and the to convey his instructions to her. First Polly Thomson or Annie spelled into The director together with Annie devised a system of stamping on the floor

Lash, supra note 6, at 480.

146 The cinematic camera now combines the technology of sight and sound. For the occasion of this essay, however, the emphasis is on sight, even though this tends to do less than justice both to Helen Keller's experience and to our own experience of a culture immersed in film and video. See generally KAJA SILVERMAN, THE ACOUSTIC MIRROR: The Female Voice in Psychoanalysis and Cinema (1988)

ego (what is the difference, on TV, between George Bush on the gloss figurations of an alienated, alienating, and paranoid ideal its political and commercial exploitation, its production of highstanding a culture saturated by the technology of the visual and as a tool for revising the paranoid structure of contemporary culnews and Joe Montana in an ad for Nuprin?). But Lacan's inmore directly bodily forms of perception and knowledge, the tense focus on the visual, and his relative indifference to the eyes and, under the circumstances, that may be a reasonable ture. Helen Keller's experience as a deaf-blind writer offers a dif-"proximity" senses typified by touch, make his theory less useful ferent perspective. As Kafka says, writing is a way of shutting our thing to do.