

f -Divergence for convex bodies ^{*}

Elisabeth M. Werner [†]

Abstract

We introduce f -divergence, a concept from information theory and statistics, for convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . We prove that f -divergences are $SL(n)$ invariant valuations and we establish an affine isoperimetric inequality for these quantities. We show that generalized affine surface area and in particular the L_p affine surface area from the L_p Brunn Minkowski theory are special cases of f -divergences.

1 Introduction.

In information theory, probability theory and statistics, an f -divergence is a function $D_f(P, Q)$ that measures the difference between two probability distributions P and Q . The divergence is intuitively an average, weighted by the function f , of the odds ratio given by P and Q . These divergences were introduced independently by Csiszár [2], Morimoto [37] and Ali & Silvey [1]. Special cases of f -divergences are the Kullback Leibler divergence or relative entropy and the Rényi divergences (see Section 1).

Due to a number of highly influential works (see, e.g., [4] - [11], [14], [15], [19], [20], [22] - [27], [29], [31], [34] - [36], [38], [42], [43] - [54], [56] - [58]), the L_p -Brunn-Minkowski theory is now a central part of modern convex geometry. A fundamental notion within this theory is L_p affine surface area, introduced by Lutwak in the ground breaking paper [26].

It was shown in [52] that L_p affine surface areas are entropy powers of Rényi divergences of the cone measures of a convex body and its polar,

^{*}Keywords: f -divergence, relative entropy, affine surface area. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 52A20, 53A15

[†]Partially supported by an NSF grant, a FRG-NSF grant and a BSF grant

thus establishing further connections between information theory and convex geometric analysis. Further examples of such connections are e.g. several papers by Lutwak, Yang, and Zhang [28, 30, 32, 33] and the recent article [39] where it is shown how relative entropy appears in convex geometry.

In this paper we introduce f -divergences to the theory of convex bodies and thus strengthen the already existing ties between information theory and convex geometric analysis. We show that generalizations of the L_p affine surface areas, the L_ϕ and L_ψ affine surface areas introduced in [23] and [21], are in fact f -divergences for special functions f . We show that f -divergences are $SL(n)$ invariant valuations and establish an affine isoperimetric inequality for these quantities. Finally, we give geometric characterizations of f -divergences.

Usually, in the literature, f -divergences are considered for convex functions f . A similar theory with the obvious modifications can be developed for concave functions. Here, we restrict ourselves to consider the convex setting.

Further Notation.

We work in \mathbb{R}^n , which is equipped with a Euclidean structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We write B_2^n for the Euclidean unit ball centered at 0 and S^{n-1} for the unit sphere. Volume is denoted by $|\cdot|$ or, if we want to emphasize the dimension, by $\text{vol}_d(A)$ for a d -dimensional set A .

Let \mathcal{K}_0 be the space of convex bodies K in \mathbb{R}^n that contain the origin in their interiors. Throughout the paper, we will only consider such K . For $K \in \mathcal{K}_0$, $K^\circ = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in K\}$ is the polar body of K . For a point $x \in \partial K$, the boundary of K , $N_K(x)$ is the outer unit normal in x to K and $\kappa_K(x)$, or, in short κ , is the (generalized) Gauss curvature in x . We write $K \in C_+^2$, if K has C^2 boundary ∂K with everywhere strictly positive Gaussian curvature κ_K . By μ or μ_K we denote the usual surface area measure on ∂K and by σ the usual surface area measure on S^{n-1} .

Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n and let $u \in S^{n-1}$. Then $h_K(u)$ is the support function of K in direction $u \in S^{n-1}$, and $f_K(u)$ is the curvature function, i.e. the reciprocal of the Gaussian curvature $\kappa_K(x)$ at the point $x \in \partial K$ that has u as outer normal.

2 f -divergences.

Let (X, μ) be a measure space and let $dP = p d\mu$ and $dQ = q d\mu$ be probability measures on X that are absolutely continuous with respect to the measure μ . Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. The $*$ -adjoint function $f^* : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of f is defined by (e.g. [17])

$$f^*(t) = t f(1/t), \quad t \in (0, \infty). \quad (1)$$

It is obvious that $(f^*)^* = f$ and that f^* is again convex if f is convex. Csiszár [2], and independently Morimoto [37] and Ali & Silvery [1] introduced the f -divergence $D_f(P, Q)$ of the measures P and Q which, for a convex function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be defined as (see [17])

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(P, Q) &= \int_{\{pq>0\}} f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) q d\mu + f(0) Q(\{x \in X : p(x) = 0\}) \\ &+ f^*(0) P(\{x \in X : q(x) = 0\}), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where

$$f(0) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad f^*(0) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} f^*(t). \quad (3)$$

We make the convention that $0 \cdot \infty = 0$.

Please note that

$$D_f(P, Q) = D_{f^*}(Q, P). \quad (4)$$

With (3) and as

$$f^*(0) P(\{x \in X : q(x) = 0\}) = \int_{\{q=0\}} f^*\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) p d\mu = \int_{\{q=0\}} f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) q d\mu,$$

we can write in short

$$D_f(P, Q) = \int_X f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) q d\mu. \quad (5)$$

For particular choices of f we get many common divergences. E.g. for $f(t) = t \ln t$ with $*$ -adjoint function $f^*(t) = -\ln t$, the f -divergence is the classical *information divergence*, also called *Kullback-Leibler divergence* or *relative entropy* from P to Q (see [3])

$$D_{KL}(P\|Q) = \int_X p \ln \frac{p}{q} d\mu. \quad (6)$$

For the convex or concave functions $f(t) = t^\alpha$ we obtain the *Hellinger integrals* (e.g. [17])

$$H_\alpha(P, Q) = \int_X p^\alpha q^{1-\alpha} d\mu. \quad (7)$$

Those are related to the Rényi divergence of order α , $\alpha \neq 1$, introduced by Rényi [41] (for $\alpha > 0$) as

$$D_\alpha(P\|Q) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \ln \left(\int_X p^\alpha q^{1-\alpha} d\mu \right) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \ln (H_\alpha(P, Q)). \quad (8)$$

The case $\alpha = 1$ is the relative entropy $D_{KL}(P\|Q)$.

3 f -divergences for convex bodies.

We will now consider f -divergences for convex bodies $K \in \mathcal{K}_0$. Let

$$p_K(x) = \frac{\kappa_K(x)}{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^n n |K^\circ|}, \quad q_K(x) = \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{n |K|}. \quad (9)$$

Usually, in the literature, the measures under consideration are probability measures. Therefore we have normalized the densities. Thus

$$P_K = p_K \mu_K \quad \text{and} \quad Q_K = q_K \mu_K \quad (10)$$

are measures on ∂K that are absolutely continuous with respect to μ_K . Q_K is a probability measure and P_K is one if K is in C_+^2 .

Recall that the normalized cone measure cm_K on ∂K is defined as follows: For every measurable set $A \subseteq \partial K$

$$cm_K(A) = \frac{1}{|K|} \left| \{ta : a \in A, t \in [0, 1]\} \right|. \quad (11)$$

The next proposition is well known. See e.g. [39] for a proof. It shows that the measures P_K and Q_K defined in (10) are the cone measures of K and K° . $N_K : \partial K \rightarrow S^{n-1}$, $x \rightarrow N_K(x)$ is the Gauss map.

Proposition 3.1. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Let P_K and Q_K be the probability measures on ∂K defined by (10). Then*

$$Q_K = cm_K,$$

or, equivalently, for every measurable subset A in ∂K $Q_K(A) = cm_K(A)$.
If K is in addition in C_+^2 , then

$$P_K = N_K^{-1} N_{K^\circ} cm_{K^\circ}$$

or, equivalently, for every measurable subset A in ∂K

$$P_K(A) = cm_{K^\circ} \left(N_{K^\circ}^{-1} (N_K(A)) \right). \quad (12)$$

It is in the sense (12) that we understand P_K to be the ‘‘cone measure’’ of K° and we write $P_K = cm_{K^\circ}$.

We now define the f -divergences of $K \in \mathcal{K}_0$. Note that $\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle > 0$ for all $x \in \partial K$ and therefore $\{x \in \partial K : q_K(x) = 0\} = \emptyset$. Hence, possibly also using our convention $0 \cdot \infty = 0$,

$$f^*(0) P_K (\{x \in \partial K : q_K(x) = 0\}) = 0.$$

Definition 3.2. Let K be a convex body in \mathcal{K}_0 and let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. The f -divergence of K with respect to the cone measures P_K and Q_K is

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(P_K, Q_K) &= \int_{\partial K} f \left(\frac{p_K}{q_K} \right) q_K d\mu_K \\ &= \int_{\partial K} f \left(\frac{|K| \kappa_K(x)}{|K^\circ| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \right) \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{n|K|} d\mu_K. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Remarks.

By (4) and (13)

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(Q_K, P_K) &= \int_{\partial K} f \left(\frac{q_K}{p_K} \right) p_K d\mu_K = D_{f^*}(P_K, Q_K) \\ &= \int_{\partial K} f^* \left(\frac{p_K}{q_K} \right) q_K d\mu_K \\ &= \int_{\partial K} f \left(\frac{|K^\circ| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}}{|K| \kappa_K(x)} \right) \frac{\kappa_K(x) d\mu_K}{n|K^\circ| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^n}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

f -divergences can also be expressed as integrals over S^{n-1} ,

$$D_f(P_K, Q_K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f \left(\frac{|K|}{|K^\circ| f_K(u) h_K(u)^{n+1}} \right) \frac{h_K(u) f_K(u)}{n|K|} d\sigma \quad (15)$$

and

$$D_f(Q_K, P_K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f \left(\frac{|K^\circ| f_K(u) h_K(u)^{n+1}}{|K|} \right) \frac{d\sigma_K}{n|K^\circ| h_K(u)^n}. \quad (16)$$

Examples.

If K is a polytope, the Gauss curvature κ_K of K is 0 a.e. on ∂K . Hence

$$D_f(P_K, Q_K) = f(0) \quad \text{and} \quad D_f(Q_K, P_K) = f^*(0). \quad (17)$$

For every ellipsoid \mathcal{E} ,

$$D_f(P_{\mathcal{E}}, Q_{\mathcal{E}}) = D_f(Q_{\mathcal{E}}, P_{\mathcal{E}}) = f(1) = f^*(1). \quad (18)$$

Denote by $Conv(0, \infty)$ the set of functions $\psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that ψ is convex, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \psi(t) = \infty$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t) = 0$. For $\psi \in Conv(0, \infty)$, Ludwig [21] introduces the L_ψ affine surface area for a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n

$$\Omega_\Psi(K) = \int_{\partial K} \psi \left(\frac{\kappa_K(x)}{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \right) \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle d\mu_K. \quad (19)$$

Thus, L_ψ affine surface areas are special cases of (non-normalized) f -divergences for $f = \psi$.

For $\psi \in Conv(0, \infty)$, the $*$ -adjoint function ψ^* is convex, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \psi(t) = 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t) = \infty$. Thus ψ^* is an *Orlicz function* (see [18]), and gives rise to the corresponding *Orlicz-divergences* $D_{\psi^*}(P_K, Q_K)$ and $D_{\psi^*}(Q_K, P_K)$.

Let $p \leq 0$. Then the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $f(t) = t^{\frac{p}{n+p}}$, is convex. The corresponding (non-normalized) f -divergence (which is also an Orlicz-divergence) is the L_p affine surface area, introduced by Lutwak [26] for $p > 1$ and by Schütt and Werner [47] for $p < 1, p \neq -n$. See also [12].

It was shown in [52] that all L_p affine surface areas are entropy powers of Rényi divergences.

For $p \geq 0$, the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $f(t) = t^{\frac{p}{n+p}}$ is concave. The corresponding L_p affine surface areas $\int_{\partial K} \frac{\kappa_K^{\frac{p}{n+p}} d\mu_K}{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{\frac{n(p-1)}{n+p}}}$ are examples of L_ϕ affine surface areas which were considered in [23] and [21]. Those, in turn are special cases of (non-normalized) f -divergences for *concave* functions f .

Let $f(t) = t \ln t$. Then the $*$ -adjoint function is $f^*(t) = -\ln t$. The corresponding f -divergence is the *Kullback Leibler divergence* or *relative entropy* $D_{KL}(P_K \| Q_K)$ from P_K to Q_K

$$D_{KL}(P_K \| Q_K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{\kappa_K(x)}{n|K^\circ| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^n} \ln \left(\frac{|K| \kappa_K(x)}{|K^\circ| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \right) d\mu_K. \quad (20)$$

The relative entropy $D_{KL}(Q_K \| P_K)$ from Q_K to P_K is

$$D_{KL}(Q_K \| P_K) = D_{f^*}(P_K, Q_K) \quad (21)$$

$$= \int_{\partial K} \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{n|K|} \log \left(\frac{|K^\circ| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}}{|K| \kappa_K(x)} \right) d\mu_K. \quad (22)$$

Those were studied in detail in [39].

Equations (15) and (16) of the above remark lead us to define f -divergences for several convex bodies, or mixed f -divergences.

Let K_1, \dots, K_n be convex bodies in \mathcal{K}_0 . Let $u \in S^{n-1}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, define

$$p_{K_i}(u) = \frac{1}{n|K_i^\circ| h_{K_i}(u)}, \quad q_{K_i}(u) = \frac{f_{K_i}(u) h_{K_i}(u)}{n|K_i|}. \quad (23)$$

and measures on S^{n-1} by

$$P_{K_i} = p_{K_i} \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{K_i} = q_{K_i} \sigma. \quad (24)$$

Let $f_i : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be convex functions. Then we define the *mixed f -divergences* for convex bodies K_1, \dots, K_n in \mathcal{K}_0 by

Definition 3.3.

$$D_{f_1 \dots f_n}(P_{K_1} \times \dots \times P_{K_n}, Q_{K_1} \times \dots \times Q_{K_n}) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left[f_i \left(\frac{p_{K_i}}{q_{K_i}} \right) q_{K_i} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} d\sigma$$

and

$$D_{f_1 \dots f_n}(Q_{K_1} \times \dots \times Q_{K_n}, P_{K_1} \times \dots \times P_{K_n}) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left[f_i \left(\frac{q_{K_i}}{p_{K_i}} \right) p_{K_i} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} d\sigma.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{f_1^* \dots f_n^*}(P_{K_1} \times \dots \times P_{K_n}, Q_{K_1} \times \dots \times Q_{K_n}) \\ = D_{f_1 \dots f_n}(Q_{K_1} \times \dots \times Q_{K_n}, P_{K_1} \times \dots \times P_{K_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we concentrate on f -divergence for one convex body. Mixed f -divergences are treated similarly. We also refer to [55], where they have been investigated for functions in $Conv(0, \infty)$.

The observation (17) about polytopes holds more generally.

Proposition 3.4. *Let K be a convex body in \mathcal{K}_0 and let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. If K is such that $\mu_K(\{p_K > 0\}) = 0$, then*

$$D_f(P_K, Q_K) = f(0) \quad \text{and} \quad D_f(Q_K, P_K) = f^*(0).$$

Proof. $\mu_K(\{p_K > 0\}) = 0$ iff $Q_K(\{p_K > 0\}) = 0$. Hence the assumption implies that $Q_K(\{p_K = 0\}) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(P_K, Q_K) &= \int_{\partial K} f\left(\frac{p_K}{q_K}\right) q_K d\mu_K \\ &= \int_{\{p_K > 0\}} f\left(\frac{p_K}{q_K}\right) q_K d\mu_K + \int_{\{p_K = 0\}} f\left(\frac{p_K}{q_K}\right) q_K d\mu_K \\ &= f(0). \end{aligned}$$

By (4), $D_f(Q_K, P_K) = D_{f^*}(P_K, Q_K) = f^*(0)$.

The next proposition complements the previous one. In view of (18) and (27), it corresponds to the affine isoperimetric inequality for f -divergences. It was proved in [17] in a different setting and in the special case of $f \in Conv(0, \infty)$ by Ludwig [21]. We include a proof for completeness.

Proposition 3.5. *Let K be a convex body in \mathcal{K}_0 and let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. If K is such that $\mu_K(\{p_K > 0\}) > 0$, then*

$$D_f(P_K, Q_K) \geq f\left(\frac{P_K(\{p_K > 0\})}{Q_K(\{p_K > 0\})}\right) Q_K(\{p_K > 0\}) + f(0) Q_K(\{p_K = 0\}) \quad (25)$$

and

$$D_f(Q_K, P_K) \geq f^*\left(\frac{P_K(\{p_K > 0\})}{Q_K(\{p_K > 0\})}\right) Q_K(\{p_K > 0\}) + f^*(0) Q_K(\{p_K = 0\}). \quad (26)$$

If K is in C_+^2 , or if f is decreasing, then

$$D_f(P_K, Q_K) \geq f(1) \quad \text{and} \quad D_f(Q_K, P_K) \geq f^*(1) = f(1). \quad (27)$$

Equality holds in (25) and (26) iff f is linear or K is an ellipsoid. If K is in C_+^2 , equality holds in both inequalities (27) iff f is linear or K is an ellipsoid. If f is decreasing, equality holds in both inequalities (27) iff K is an ellipsoid.

Remark. It is possible for f to be decreasing and linear without having equality in (27). To see that, let $f(t) = at + b$, $a < 0$, $b > 0$. Then, for polytopes K (for which $\mu_K(\{p_K > 0\}) = 0$), $D_f(P_K, Q_K) = f(0) = b > f(1) = a + b$. But, also in the case when $0 < \mu_K(\{p_K > 0\}) < 1$, strict inequality may hold.

Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small and let $K = B_\infty^n(\varepsilon)$ be a “rounded” cube, where we have “rounded” the corners of the cube B_∞^n with sidelength 2 centered at 0 by replacing each corner with εB_2^n Euclidean balls. Then $D_f(P_K, Q_K) = b + a P_K(\{p_K > 0\}) > b + a = f(1)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.5. Let K be such that $\mu_K(\{p_K > 0\}) > 0$, which is equivalent to $Q_K(\{p_K > 0\}) > 0$. Then, by Jensen’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(P_K, Q_K) &= Q_K(\{p_K > 0\}) \int_{\{p_K > 0\}} f\left(\frac{p_K}{q_K}\right) \frac{q_K d\mu_K}{Q_K(\{p_K > 0\})} \\ &\quad + f(0) Q_K(\{p_K = 0\}) \\ &\geq Q_K(\{p_K > 0\}) f\left(\frac{P_K(\{p_K > 0\})}{Q_K(\{p_K > 0\})}\right) + f(0) Q_K(\{p_K = 0\}). \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (26) follows by (4), as $D_f(Q_K, P_K) = D_{f^*}(P_K, Q_K)$.

If K is in C_+^2 , $Q_K(\{p_K > 0\}) = 1$, $Q_K(\{p_K = 0\}) = 0$, $P_K(\{p_K > 0\}) = 1$ and $P_K(\{p_K = 0\}) = 0$. Thus we get that $D_f(P_K, Q_K) \geq f(1)$ and $D_f(Q_K, P_K) \geq f^*(1) = f(1)$.

If f is decreasing, then, by Jensen’s inequality

$$D_f(P_K, Q_K) = \int_{\partial K} f\left(\frac{p_K}{q_K}\right) q_K d\mu_K \geq f\left(\int_{\partial K} p_K d\mu_K\right) \geq f(1).$$

The last inequality holds as $\int_{\partial K} p_K d\mu_K \leq 1$ and as f is decreasing.

Equality holds in Jensen's inequality iff either f is linear or $\frac{p_K}{q_K}$ is constant. Indeed, if $f(t) = at + b$, then

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(P_K, Q_K) &= \int_{\{p_K > 0\}} \left(a \frac{p_K}{q_K} + b \right) q_K d\mu_K + f(0) Q_K(\{p_K = 0\}) \\ &= aP_K(\{p_K > 0\}) + f(0). \end{aligned}$$

If f is not linear, equality holds iff $\frac{p_K}{q_K} = c$, c a constant. As by assumption $\mu_K(\{p_K > 0\}) > 0$, $c \neq 0$. By a theorem of Petty [40], this holds iff K is an ellipsoid.

The next proposition can be found in [17] in a different setting. Again, we include a proof for completeness.

Proposition 3.6. *Let K be a convex body in \mathcal{K}_0 and let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Then*

$$D_f(P_K, Q_K) \leq f(0) + f^*(0) + f(1) \left[Q_K(\{0 < p_K \leq q_K\}) + P_K(\{0 < q_K \leq p_K\}) \right]$$

and

$$D_f(Q_K, P_K) \leq f(0) + f^*(0) + f(1) \left[Q_K(\{0 < p_K \leq q_K\}) + P_K(\{0 < q_K \leq p_K\}) \right].$$

If f is decreasing, the inequalities reduce to $D_f(P_K, Q_K) \leq f(0)$ respectively, $D_f(Q_K, P_K) \leq f^*(0)$.

Proof. It is enough to prove the first inequality. The second one follows immediately from the first by (4).

$$\begin{aligned}
D_f(P_K, Q_K) &= \int_{\partial K} f\left(\frac{p_K}{q_K}\right) q_K d\mu \\
&= \int_{\{p_K > 0\}} f\left(\frac{p_K}{q_K}\right) q_K d\mu + f(0) Q_K(\{p_K = 0\}) \\
&= f(0) Q_K(\{p_K = 0\}) + \int_{\{0 < p_K\} \cap \{f' \geq 0\}} f\left(\frac{p_K}{q_K}\right) q_K d\mu \\
&\quad + \int_{\{0 < p_K\} \cap \{f' \leq 0\}} f\left(\frac{p_K}{q_K}\right) q_K d\mu \\
&\leq f(0) \left[Q_K(\{p_K = 0\}) + Q_K(\{p_K > 0\} \cap \{f' \leq 0\}) \right] \\
&+ \int_{\{0 < p_K \leq q_K\} \cap \{f' \geq 0\}} f\left(\frac{p_K}{q_K}\right) q_K d\mu + \int_{\{0 < q_K \leq p_K\} \cap \{f' \geq 0\}} f\left(\frac{p_K}{q_K}\right) q_K d\mu \\
&\leq f(0) + f(1) Q_K(\{0 < p_K \leq q_K\} \cap \{f' \geq 0\}) \\
&+ \int_{\{0 < q_K \leq p_K\} \cap \{f' \geq 0\}} f^*\left(\frac{q_K}{p_K}\right) p_K d\mu \\
&= f(0) + f(1) Q_K(\{0 < p_K \leq q_K\} \cap \{f' \geq 0\}) \\
&+ \int_{\{0 < q_K \leq p_K\} \cap \{f' \geq 0\} \cap \{(f^*)' \geq 0\}} f^*\left(\frac{q_K}{p_K}\right) p_K d\mu \\
&+ \int_{\{0 < q_K \leq p_K\} \cap \{f' \geq 0\} \cap \{(f^*)' \leq 0\}} f^*\left(\frac{q_K}{p_K}\right) p_K d\mu \\
&\leq f(0) + f(1) Q_K(\{0 < p_K \leq q_K\} \cap \{f' \geq 0\}) \\
&+ f^*(1) P_K(\{0 < q_K \leq p_K\} \cap \{f' \geq 0\} \cap \{(f^*)' \geq 0\}) \\
&+ f^*(0) P_K(\{0 < q_K \leq p_K\} \cap \{f' \geq 0\} \cap \{(f^*)' \leq 0\}) \\
&\leq f(0) + f^*(0) P_K(\{0 < q_K \leq p_K\} \cap \{f' \geq 0\}) \\
&+ f(1) \left[Q_K(\{0 < p_K \leq q_K\} \cap \{f' \geq 0\}) + P_K(\{0 < q_K \leq p_K\} \cap \{f' \geq 0\}) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the last expression that, if f is decreasing, the inequality reduces to $D_f(P_K, Q_K) \leq f(0)$.

The next proposition shows that f -divergences are $GL(n)$ invariant and that non-normalized f -divergences are $SL(n)$ invariant valuations. For functions in $Conv(0, \infty)$, this was proved by Ludwig [21].

For functions in $Conv(0, \infty)$ the expressions are also lower semicontinuous, as it was shown in [21]. However, this need not be the case anymore

if we assume just convexity of f . Indeed, let $f(t) = t^2$ and let $K = B_2^n$ be the Euclidean unit ball. Let $(K_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of polytopes that converges to B_2^n . As observed above, $D_f(P_{K_j}, Q_{K_j}) = f(0) = 0$ for all j . But $D_f(P_{B_2^n}, Q_{B_2^n}) = f(1) = 1$.

Let $\tilde{P}_K = \frac{\kappa_K \mu_K}{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^n}$ and $\tilde{Q}_K = \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle \mu_K$. Then we will denote by $D_f(\tilde{P}_K, \tilde{Q}_K)$ and $D_f(\tilde{Q}_K, \tilde{P}_K)$ the non-normalized f -divergences. We will also use the following lemma from [47] for the proof of Proposition 3.8.

Lemma 3.7. *Let K be a convex body in \mathcal{K}_0 . Let $h : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable function, and $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ an invertible, linear map. Then*

$$\int_{\partial K} h(x) d\mu_K = |\det(T)|^{-1} \int_{\partial T(K)} \frac{f(T^{-1}(y))}{\|T^{-1}t(N_K(T^{-1}(y)))\|} d\mu_{T(K)}.$$

Proposition 3.8. *Let K be a convex body in \mathcal{K}_0 and let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Then $D_f(P_K, Q_K)$ and $D_f(Q_K, P_K)$ are $GL(n)$ invariant and $D_f(\tilde{P}_K, \tilde{Q}_K)$ and $D_f(\tilde{Q}_K, \tilde{P}_K)$ are $SL(n)$ invariant valuations.*

Proof. We use (e.g. [47]) that

$$\langle T(x), N_{T(K)}(T(x)) \rangle = \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{\|T^{-1}t(N_K(x))\|},$$

and

$$\kappa_K(x) = \|T^{-1}t(N_K(x))\|^{n+1} \det(T)^2 \kappa_{T(K)}(T(x))$$

and Lemma 3.7 to get that

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(P_K, Q_K) &= \int_{\partial K} f\left(\frac{p_K(x)}{q_K(x)}\right) q_K(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\det(T)|} \int_{\partial T(K)} \frac{f\left(\frac{p_K(T^{-1}(y))}{q_K(T^{-1}(y))}\right) q_K(T^{-1}(y)) d\mu_{T(K)}}{\|T^{-1}t(N_K(T^{-1}(y)))\|} \\ &= D_f(P_{T(K)}, Q_{T(K)}). \end{aligned}$$

The formula for $D_f(Q_K, P_K)$ follows immediately from this one and (4). The $SL(n)$ invariance for the non-normalized f -divergences is shown in the same way.

Now we show that $D_f(\tilde{P}_K, \tilde{Q}_K)$ and $D_f(\tilde{Q}_K, \tilde{P}_K)$ are valuations, i.e. for convex bodies K and L in \mathcal{K}_0 such that $K \cup L \in \mathcal{K}_0$,

$$D_f(\tilde{P}_{K \cup L}, \tilde{Q}_{K \cup L}) + D_f(\tilde{P}_{K \cap L}, \tilde{Q}_{K \cap L}) = D_f(\tilde{P}_K, \tilde{Q}_K) + D_f(\tilde{P}_L, \tilde{Q}_L). \quad (28)$$

Again, it is enough to prove this formula and the one for $D_f(\tilde{Q}_K, \tilde{P}_K)$ follows with (4). To prove (28), we proceed as in Schütt [44]. For completeness, we include the argument. We decompose

$$\begin{aligned}\partial(K \cup L) &= (\partial K \cap \partial L) \cup (\partial K \cap L^c) \cup (K^c \cap \partial L), \\ \partial(K \cap L) &= (\partial K \cap \partial L) \cup (\partial K \cap \text{int}L) \cup (\text{int}K \cap \partial L), \\ \partial K &= (\partial K \cap \partial L) \cup (\partial K \cap L^c) \cup (\partial K \cap \text{int}L), \\ \partial L &= (\partial K \cap \partial L) \cup (\partial K^c \cap \partial L) \cup (\text{int}K \cap \partial L),\end{aligned}$$

where all unions on the right hand side are disjoint. Note that for x such that the curvatures $\kappa_K(x)$, $\kappa_L(x)$, $\kappa_{K \cup L}(x)$ and $\kappa_{K \cap L}(x)$ exist,

$$\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle = \langle x, N_L(x) \rangle = \langle x, N_{K \cap L}(x) \rangle = \langle x, N_{K \cup L}(x) \rangle \quad (29)$$

and

$$\kappa_{K \cup L}(x) = \min\{\kappa_K(x), \kappa_L(x)\}, \quad \kappa_{K \cap L}(x) = \max\{\kappa_K(x), \kappa_L(x)\}. \quad (30)$$

To prove (28), we split the involved integral using the above decompositions and (29) and (30).

4 Geometric characterization of f -divergences.

In [52], geometric characterizations were proved for Rényi divergences. Now, we want to establish such geometric characterizations for f -divergences as well. We use the *surface body* [47] but the *illumination surface body* [54] or the *mean width body* [13] can also be used.

Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $g : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative, integrable, function. Let $s \geq 0$.

The *surface body* $K_{g,s}$, introduced in [47], is the intersection of all closed half-spaces H^+ whose defining hyperplanes H cut off a set of $f\mu_K$ -measure less than or equal to s from ∂K . More precisely,

$$K_{g,s} = \bigcap_{\int_{\partial K \cap H^-} g d\mu_K \leq s} H^+.$$

For $x \in \partial K$ and $s > 0$

$$x_s = [0, x] \cap \partial K_{g,s}.$$

The minimal function $M_g : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$M_g(x) = \inf_{0 < s} \frac{\int_{\partial K \cap H^-(x_s, N_{K_{g,s}}(x_s))} g \, d\mu_K}{\text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial K \cap H^-(x_s, N_{K_{g,s}}(x_s)))} \quad (31)$$

was introduced in [47]. $H(x, \xi)$ is the hyperplane through x and orthogonal to ξ . $H^-(x, \xi)$ is the closed halfspace containing the point $x + \xi$, $H^+(x, \xi)$ the other halfspace.

For $x \in \partial K$, we define $r(x)$ as the maximum of all real numbers ρ so that $B_2^n(x - \rho N_K(x), \rho) \subseteq K$. Then we formulate an integrability condition for the minimal function

$$\int_{\partial K} \frac{d\mu_K(x)}{(M_g(x))^{\frac{2}{n-1}} r(x)} < \infty. \quad (32)$$

The following theorem was proved in [47].

Theorem 4.1. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that $f : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an integrable, almost everywhere strictly positive function that satisfies the integrability condition (32). Then*

$$c_n \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{|K| - |K_{g,s}|}{s^{\frac{2}{n-1}}} = \int_{\partial K} \frac{\kappa_K^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{g^{\frac{2}{n-1}}} d\mu_K,$$

where $c_n = 2|B_2^{n-1}|^{\frac{2}{n-1}}$.

Theorem 4.1 was used in [47] to give geometric interpretations of L_p affine surface area and in [52] to give geometric interpretations of Rényi divergences. Now we use this theorem to give geometric interpretations of f -divergence for cone measures of convex bodies.

For a convex function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $g_f, h_f : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$g_f(x) = \left[n|K^\circ|n^n|K|^n \frac{p_K q_K}{\left(f\left(\frac{p_K}{q_K}\right)\right)^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (33)$$

and

$$h_f(x) = g_{f^*}(x) = \left[n|K^\circ|n^n|K|^n \frac{q_K^n/p_K^{n-2}}{\left(f\left(\frac{p_K}{q_K}\right)\right)^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (34)$$

Corollary 4.2. *Let K be a convex body in \mathcal{K}_0 and let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex. Let $g_f, h_f : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as in (33) and (34). If g_f and h_f are integrable, almost everywhere strictly positive functions that satisfy the integrability condition (32), then*

$$c_n \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{|K| - |K_{g_f, s}|}{s^{\frac{2}{n-1}}} = D_f(P_K, Q_K)$$

and

$$c_n \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{|K| - |K_{h_f, s}|}{s^{\frac{2}{n-1}}} = D_f(Q_K, P_K)$$

Proof. The proof of the corollary follows immediately from Theorem 4.1.

References

- [1] M. S. ALI AND D. SILVEY, *A general class of coefficients of divergence of one distribution from another*, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B **28** (1966), 131-142.
- [2] I. CSISZÁR, *Eine informationstheoretische Ungleichung und ihre Anwendung auf den Beweis der Ergodizität von Markoffschen Ketten*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci. ser. A **8** (1963), 84-108.
- [3] T. COVER AND J. THOMAS, *Elements of information theory*, second ed., Wiley-Interscience, (John Wiley and Sons), Hoboken, NJ, (2006).
- [4] R. J. GARDNER, *A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in three dimensions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **140** (1994), 435-47.
- [5] R. J. GARDNER, *The dual Brunn-Minkowski theory for bounded Borel sets: Dual affine quermassintegrals and inequalities*, Adv. Math. **216** (2007), 358-386.
- [6] R. J. GARDNER, A. KOLDOBSKY, AND T. SCHLUMPRECHT, *An analytical solution to the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies*, Ann. of Math. (2) **149** (1999), 691-703.
- [7] R. J. GARDNER AND G. ZHANG, *Affine inequalities and radial mean bodies*. Amer. J. Math. **120**, no.3, (1998), 505-528.
- [8] E. GRINBERG AND G. ZHANG, *Convolutions, transforms, and convex bodies*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **78** (1999), 77-115.
- [9] C. HABERL, *Blaschke valuations*, Amer. J. of Math., in press
- [10] C. HABERL AND F. SCHUSTER, *General L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities*. J. Differential Geometry **83** (2009), 1-26.
- [11] C. HABERL, E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *The even Orlicz Minkowski problem*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), 2485-2510
- [12] D. HUG, *Curvature Relations and Affine Surface Area for a General Convex Body and its Polar*. Results in Mathematics **V. 29** 233-248, (1996).
- [13] J. JENKINSON AND E. WERNER *Relative entropies for convex bodies*, to appear in Transactions of the AMS.

- [14] D. KLAIN, *Star valuations and dual mixed volumes*, Adv. Math. **121** (1996), 80-101.
- [15] D. KLAIN, *Invariant valuations on star-shaped sets*, Adv. Math. **125** (1997), 95-113.
- [16] F. LIESE AND I. VAJDA, *Convex Statistical Distances*, Leipzig, Germany, Teubner, (1987).
- [17] F. LIESE AND I. VAJDA, *On Divergences and Information in Statistics and Information Theory*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **52** (2006), 4394-4412.
- [18] J. LINDENSTRAUSS, L. TZAFRIRI, *Classical Banach spaces. I. Sequence spaces*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Vol. 92. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, (1977).
- [19] M. LUDWIG, *Ellipsoids and matrix valued valuations*, Duke Math. J. **119** (2003), 159-188.
- [20] M. LUDWIG, *Minkowski areas and valuations*, J. Differential Geometry, **86** (2010), 133-162.
- [21] M. LUDWIG, *General affine surface areas*, Adv. Math., **224** (2010), 2346-2360.
- [22] M. LUDWIG AND M. REITZNER, *A Characterization of Affine Surface Area*, Adv. Math. **147** (1999), 138-172.
- [23] M. LUDWIG AND M. REITZNER, *A classification of $SL(n)$ invariant valuations*. Ann. of Math. **172** (2010), 1223-1271.
- [24] E. LUTWAK, *Dual mixed volumes*, Pacific J. Math. **58** (1975) 531-538.
- [25] E. LUTWAK, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory I : Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Geom. **38** (1993), 131-150.
- [26] E. LUTWAK, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory II : Affine and geominimal surface areas*, Adv. Math. **118** (1996), 244-294.
- [27] E. LUTWAK, *Intersection bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Adv. Math. **71** (1988), 232-261.
- [28] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *A new ellipsoid associated with convex bodies*, Duke Math. J. **104** (2000), 375-390.

- [29] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *Sharp Affine L_p Sobolev inequalities*, J. Differential Geometry **62** (2002), 17-38.
- [30] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *The Cramer–Rao inequality for star bodies*, Duke Math. J. **112** (2002), 59-81.
- [31] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *Volume inequalities for subspaces of L_p* , J. Differential Geometry **68** (2004), 159-184.
- [32] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *Moment-entropy inequalities*, Ann. Probab. **32** (2004), 757-774.
- [33] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *Cramer-Rao and moment-entropy inequalities for Renyi entropy and generalized Fisher information*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **51** (2005), 473-478.
- [34] E. LUTWAK AND G. ZHANG, *Blaschke-Santaló inequalities*, J. Differential Geom. **47** (1997), 1-16.
- [35] M. MEYER AND E. WERNER, *The Santaló-regions of a convex body*, Transactions of the AMS **350**, no.11 (1998), 4569-4591.
- [36] M. MEYER AND E. WERNER, *On the p -affine surface area*, Adv. Math. **152** (2000), 288-313.
- [37] T. MORIMOTO, *Markov processes and the H-theorem*, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. **18** (1963), 328-331.
- [38] F. NAZAROV, F. PETROV, D. RYABOGIN AND A. ZVAVITCH, *A remark on the Mahler conjecture: local minimality of the unit cube*, Duke Math. J. **154** (2010), 419-430.
- [39] G. PAOURIS AND E. WERNER, *Relative entropy of cone measures and L_p -centroid bodies*, Proc. London Math. Soc. DOI 10.1112/plms/pdr030 (2011).
- [40] C. PETTY, *Affine isoperimetric problems*, Discrete Geometry and Convexity. Ann. New York Acad. Sci. **441** (1985), 113-127.
- [41] A. RÉNYI, *On measures of entropy and information*, Proceedings of the 4th Berkeley Symposium on Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics, vol.1 (1961), 547-561.

- [42] B. RUBIN AND G. ZHANG, *Generalizations of the Busemann-Petty problem for sections of convex bodies*, J. Funct. Anal. **213** (2004), 473-501.
- [43] F. SCHUSTER, *Crofton measures and Minkowski valuations*, Duke Math. J. **154** (2010), 1-30.
- [44] C. SCHÜTT, *On the affine surface area*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1990), 275-290.
- [45] C. SCHÜTT AND E. WERNER, *The convex floating body*. Math. Scand. **66** 275-290, (1990).
- [46] C. SCHÜTT AND E. WERNER, *Random polytopes of points chosen from the boundary of a convex body*. GAFA Seminar Notes, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1807**, Springer-Verlag (2002), 241-422.
- [47] C. SCHÜTT AND E. WERNER, *Surface bodies and p -affine surface area*. Adv. Math. **187** (2004), 98-145.
- [48] A. STANCU, *The Discrete Planar L_0 -Minkowski Problem*. Adv. Math. **167** (2002), 160-174.
- [49] A. STANCU, *On the number of solutions to the discrete two-dimensional L_0 -Minkowski problem*. Adv. Math. **180** (2003), 290-323.
- [50] E. WERNER, *Illumination bodies and affine surface area*, Studia Math. **110** (1994), 257-269.
- [51] E. WERNER, *On L_p -affine surface areas*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** No. 5 (2007), 2305-2324.
- [52] E. WERNER, *Rényi Divergence and L_p -affine surface area for convex bodies* Adv. Math. **230** (2012), 1040-1059.
- [53] E. WERNER AND D. YE, *New L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities*, Adv. Math. **218** (2008), no. 3, 762-780.
- [54] E. WERNER AND D. YE, *Inequalities for mixed p -affine surface area*, Math. Ann. **347** (2010), 703-737
- [55] D. YE, *Inequalities for general mixed affine surface areas*, J. London Math. Soc. **85** (2012), 101-120.

- [56] G. ZHANG, *Intersection bodies and Busemann-Petty inequalities in \mathbb{R}^4* , Ann. of Math. **140** (1994), 331-346.
- [57] G. ZHANG, *A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in four dimensions*, Ann. of Math. **149** (1999), 535-543.
- [58] G. ZHANG, *New Affine Isoperimetric Inequalities*, ICCM 2007, Vol. II, 239-267.

Elisabeth Werner
Department of Mathematics
Case Western Reserve University
Cleveland, Ohio 44106, U. S. A.
elisabeth.werner@case.edu

Université de Lille 1
UFR de Mathématique
59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France