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**Scholarship in Teaching Award Application**

**2018**

Please list the information for each author, add additional slots for more authors, if needed.

**Name and degree (Corresponding Author):**

**Department:**

**Institution:**

**e-mail address:**

**Name and degree (author #2):**

**Department (author #2):**

**Institution (author #2):**

**Name and degree (author #3):**

**Department (author #3):**

**Institution (author #3):**

**Title of Submission (no more than 135 characters including spaces):**

**A one sentence synopsis of the project (different from the title):**

**Approximate date the project began:**

**Has this project already received a Scholarship in Teaching Award?** |\_\_|Yes |\_\_|No

**If “Yes”, please describe how the current projects substantially differs from the earlier one.**

**Has this project been submitted before, but not received an award?** |\_\_|Yes |\_\_|No

**If “Yes”, what year was it submitted? \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

1. **Project Description**

The outline follows scholarship in teaching as described by Glassick.[[1]](#footnote-1) **The following template lays out these criteria** (fill in text after each section). Please combine all information into a single .pdf file.

* 1. Goals and objectives – what were you attempting to accomplish? **Please make sure these are clear** – a list is preferred.
  2. Preparation – **Where does your project fit in the educational literature?  What are you adding?**  What kind of effort/resources did you bring to the task, including research, faculty development courses, etc.? Describe the literature you used to support your work.
  3. Methods and concise narrative – **describe what you did and how you did it.**
  4. Measures of quality/effectiveness – **how do you know if you accomplished your objectives?**  If outcome data are not yet available, please provide a clear description of what you have planned and the outcomes you will be measuring.
  5. Dissemination – **Did you make the process/results available to colleagues**?  How? Did you share your project with a local group of colleagues or did you extend your dissemination to a more regional or national audience?  What are your plans for future dissemination?
  6. Reflective critique – **How did you reevaluate/modify your educational contribution based on feedback and reviews?** What will you do differently with your project in the future?  Please be specific.

1. **Departmental support**

In our efforts to maximize the impact of this award, we ask you to include *with your submission* a brief letter of support from your Department Chair, Division Chief, Program Director, Society Dean, or other key administrative supervisor who has the most immediate impact on your professional role and career development. This letter should be based on a review of your submission.

*Note*: if you have submitted a version of this proposal in the past three years, a letter from your Department Chair is not required; we will include the earlier letter.

1. **Description of each author’s role** in one or more of the following project activities: Planning, development, implementation, evaluation. General or unclear statements regarding member participation will impact the evaluation of the submission as a whole.
2. **Development and Goals** **as an educator**

Describe participation in programs/activities during the project period which developed/enhanced your educational skills and goals for your continued development as an educator - new projects or courses, plans to attend faculty development courses, etc.

1. Fincher RE et al. Scholarship in Teaching: An Imperative for the 21st Century. Acad Medicine 2000; 75:887-94. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)