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INTRODUCTION
Narrative medicine has emerged as a growing field in both medical practice and medical education as a means of increasing empathy and reflection, in order to “improve the health care that [future physicians] are able to provide to patients.” In a 2019 systematic review of narrative medicine classroom interventions, Mitta et al. reviewed 36 narrative medicine courses or seminars in medical education and concluded that these interventions had a “positive, measurable, and replicable effect on medical students” and that narrative medicine could “constitute a meaningful tool to stimulate medical students’ professional and personal development.” As modern healthcare becomes increasingly team-based, there is another important trend in healthcare education driven by the increasing recognition of the value of collaborative practice. In order to develop effective practice, the World Health Organization (WHO) published a landmark report in 2010 calling for interprofessional education as an “innovative strategy that will play an important role in mitigating the global health workforce crisis.”

Insofar as narrative medicine can increase identification with peers, develop a deeper appreciation for life’s intersubjective domain, and strengthen relational norms, we sought to understand whether narrative medicine applied to an interprofessional healthcare educational setting—what we call interprofessional narrative practice (IPNP)—has the potential to help students from various health professional disciplines to not only better understand themselves and the people they will serve, but also to better understand each other.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Our study consisted of a pilot course in interprofessional narrative practice in which students from multiple health professional programs (social work, medicine, physician assistant studies, dentistry, and nursing) at a single university in Northeast Ohio were recruited to participate in eight weekly 2-hour sessions. There was a statistically significant difference between participants and controls in the following IPAS subscales: Teamwork, Roles, and Responsibilities (mean 4.5, control mean 4.0, p = 0.00418), Patient-Centeredness (mean 5.0, control mean 4.9, p = 0.004786), and Diversity & Ethics (mean 4.9, control mean 4.7, p = 0.02474) (Table 1).

RESULTS

In total, seven first-year health professional students registered to take the course across three programs: 3 medical students, 2 physician assistant students, and 2 social work students. Six of the seven students participated in at least half of the eight 2-hour sessions. There was a statistically significant difference between participants and controls in the following IPAS subscales: Teamwork, Roles, and Responsibilities (mean 4.5, control mean 4.0, p = 0.00418), Patient-Centeredness (mean 5.0, control mean 4.9, p = 0.004786), and Diversity & Ethics (mean 4.9, control mean 4.7, p = 0.02474) (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

In addition to being applied to individual disciplines outside of medicine, there is some recognition now that narrative medicine interventions may have value in interprofessional education too. In 2015, Taiwan’s largest teaching hospital developed a narrative medicine course for an interprofessional cohort of physicians, traditional Chinese physicians, dentists, nurses, pharmacists, medical technologists, physical therapists, respiratory therapists, and nutritionists. Although Chen et al. did not study the effects of this intervention on trust or teamwork across the professions, they did find statistically significant increases in empathy that were sustained even during a follow-up 1.5 years later. More recently, Gowda et al. implemented 30-minute narrative medicine sessions during monthly required interprofessional team meetings for New York Presbyterian Hospital staff including attending physicians, resident physicians, nurses, medical assistants, social workers, administrators, and many other clinic personnel. Using observation notes, longitudinal semi-structured interviews, and participant questionnaires, they found that 90% of questionnaire respondents (49/56) rated the program’s ability to facilitate interprofessional dialogue as good, very good, or excellent. Many participants were also struck by “communication that opened up across professions and levels of hierarchy that had not occurred with other prior team-building activities at the clinic.”

Our study had several limitations. First, our sample was relatively small given the challenge of recruiting students for an elective for which formal credit was not given. Second, the students who elected to participate in the course may represent a sample of students more inherently invested in interprofessional collaboration. Further studies that randomize or require participation may help better illuminate the true effects of this educational intervention.

CONCLUSION

Our study found that students who participated in the pilot course in Interprofessional Narrative Practice scored significantly higher on the IPAS subscales for Teamwork, Roles, and Responsibilities, Patient-Centeredness, and Diversity & Ethics. In addition, students reflected that they not only subjectively enjoyed the course, but also that the course helped them “recognize the humanity of the work they were doing,” “facilitate interprofessional relationships,” and “create a shared sense of purpose.” Given the positive impact of this pilot course, further study is warranted to determine if these results can be seen when applied to a broader population, and whether the effects of this educational intervention persist over time.
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Table 1. Interprofessional Attitudes Scale (IPAS) Scores of First-Year Health Professional Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Participants (n = 7)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 4)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teamwork, Roles, &amp; Responsibilities</td>
<td>4.5 (1.66)</td>
<td>4.0 (1.56)</td>
<td>0.00418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient-Centeredness</td>
<td>5.0 (0)</td>
<td>4.9 (0.26)</td>
<td>0.004786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity, Ethics</td>
<td>4.9 (1.15)</td>
<td>4.7 (0.34)</td>
<td>0.02474</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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