Course:	Block 6 - Group 1
Responsible Faculty:	Dr. Maureen McEnery

Department: FMH

Responses / Expected: 45 / 45 (100%)

Survey Period/Site: End of Block 6 (BLK-EOB 6) / Case Western Reserve University (Case)

Inte	ntegration of Block Concepts and Longitudinal Themes		Block 6: BLK-EOB-6/Case										
	ck 6 Neurology/Neuroscience		Section										
		Ρ	F	Α	VG	Е	Ν	Mean					
Q1	Rate the overall quality of neurology/neuroscience section of the Block.	2.2%	4.4%	20%	48.9%	24.4%	45	3.9					
Q2	What was the educational effectiveness of neurology/neuroscience IQ cases?	0	0	17.8%	48.9%	33.3%	45	4.2					
Q3	What was the educational effectiveness of the Physical Diagnosis/Neurological Exam Workshop?	2.2%	4.4%	42.2%	40%	11.1%	45	3.5					
Q4	What was the educational effectiveness of the Brain Stem MSG?	4.4%	8.9%	15.6%	40%	31.1%	45	3.8					
Q5	What was the educational effectiveness of the Neurotransmitter MSG?	8.9%	17.8%	37.8%	24.4%	11.1%	45	3.1					
Q6	What was the educational effectiveness of the Clinical Cases on Stroke MSG?	2.2%	8.9%	6.7%	51.1%	31.1%	45	4.0					

Responses: [P] Poor=1 [F] Fair=2 [A] Average=3 [VG] Very Good=4 [E] Excellent=5

Integration of Block Concepts and Longitudinal Themes	Block 6: BLK-EOB-6/Case										
Block 6 Neurology/Neuroscience		Re		Section							
	Ρ	F	Α	VG	Е	Ν	Mean	N/A			
Q7 What was the educational effectiveness of neurology/neuroscience lectures?	0	4%	40%	40%	16%	25	3.7	20			
Q8 What was the educational effectiveness of including residents in IQ?	2.7%	2.7%	8.1%	10.8%	75.7%	37	4.5	8			

Responses: [P] Poor=1 [F] Fair=2 [A] Average=3 [VG] Very Good=4 [E] Excellent=5

Block 6: BLK-EOB-6/Case											
Responses (%)											
F	Α	VG	Е	Ν	Mean						
6.7%	22.2%	48.9%	20%	45	3.8						
8.9%	22.2%	51.1%	13.3%	45	3.6						
11.1%	31.1%	40%	8.9%	45	3.3						
8.9%	37.8%	40%	4.4%	45	3.2						
6.7%	37.8%	42.2%	4.4%	45	3.3						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Re F 6.7% 8.9% 11.1% 8.9%	Response F A 6 6.7% 22.2% 6 8.9% 22.2% 6 11.1% 31.1% 6 8.9% 37.8%	Responses (%) F A VG 6 6.7% 22.2% 48.9% 6 8.9% 22.2% 51.1% 6 11.1% 31.1% 40% 6 8.9% 37.8% 40%	Responses (%) F A VG E 6 6.7% 22.2% 48.9% 20% 6 8.9% 22.2% 51.1% 13.3% 6 11.1% 31.1% 40% 8.9% 6 8.9% 37.8% 40% 4.4%	F A VG E N 5 6.7% 22.2% 48.9% 20% 45 5 8.9% 22.2% 51.1% 13.3% 45 5 11.1% 31.1% 40% 8.9% 45 5 8.9% 37.8% 40% 4.4% 45						

Responses: [P] Poor=1 [F] Fair=2 [A] Average=3 [VG] Very Good=4 [E] Excellent=5

Integration of Block Concepts and Longitudinal Themes	Block 6: BLK-EOB-6/Case											
Block 6 Psychiatry				Section								
	Р	F	Α	VG	Е	Ν	Mean	N/A				
Q14 What was the educational effectiveness of psychiatry lectures?	0	13%	17.4%	47.8%	21.7%	23	3.8	22				

Responses: [P] Poor=1 [F] Fair=2 [A] Average=3 [VG] Very Good=4 [E] Excellent=5

Integration of Block Concepts and Longitudinal Themes Block 6 Musculoskeletal		Block 6: BLK-EOB-6/Case										
		Responses (%)										
	Ρ	F	Α	VG	Е	Ν	Mean					
Q15 Rate the overall quality of musculoskeletal section of the Block.	0	2.2%	48.9%	40%	8.9%	45	3.6					
Q16 What was the educational effectiveness of musculoskeletal IQ cases?	0	4.4%	31.1%	57.8%	6.7%	45	3.7					

Integration of Block Concepts and Longitudinal Themes	Block 6: BLK-EOB-6/Case											
Block 6 Musculoskeletal				Section								
	Р	F	Α	VG	Е	Ν	Mean	N/A				
Q17 What was the educational effectiveness of musculoskeletal lectures?	0	3.6%	35.7%	42.9%	17.9%	28	3.8	17				

Responses: [P] Poor=1 [F] Fair=2 [A] Average=3 [VG] Very Good=4 [E] Excellent=5

Integration of Block Concepts and Longitudinal Themes		Block 6: BLK-EOB-6/Case											
Block 6 Addiction Medicine			Section										
	Р	F	Α	VG	Е	Ν	Mean						
Q18 Rate the overall quality of addiction medicine section of the Block.	2.2%	8.9%	13.3%	62.2%	13.3%	45	3.8						
Q19 What was the educational effectiveness of addiction medicine IQ case?	4.4%	6.7%	17.8%	51.1%	20%	45	3.8						

Responses: [P] Poor=1 [F] Fair=2 [A] Average=3 [VG] Very Good=4 [E] Excellent=5

Integration of Block Concepts and Longitudinal Themes	Block 6: BLK-EOB-6/Case											
Block 6 Addiction Medicine			Respo)	Section							
	Ρ	F	Α	VG	Е	Ν	Mean	N/A				
Q20 What was the educational effectiveness of addiction medicine lectures?	0	0	36.4%	40.9%	22.7%	22	3.9	23				

Responses: [P] Poor=1 [F] Fair=2 [A] Average=3 [VG] Very Good=4 [E] Excellent=5

Category Instructions: Rate the extent to which the following concepts were integrated in this Block and enriched your understanding:

Integration of Block Concepts and Longitudinal Themes		Block 6: BLK-EOB-6/Case											
Longtitudinal Themes		Responses (%)											
	Р	F	Α	VG	Е	Ν	Mean						
Q21 Gross Anatomy	0	15.6%	20%	40%	24.4%	45	3.7						
Q22 Histopathology	4.4%	4.4%	20%	53.3%	17.8%	45	3.8						
Q23 Bioethics	0	8.9%	24.4%	48.9%	17.8%	45	3.8						

Responses: [P] Poor=1 [F] Fair=2 [A] Average=3 [VG] Very Good=4 [E] Excellent=5

	Block 6: BLK-EOB-6/Case										
Overall Block Questions		S	ection								
	Ρ	F	Α	VG	Е	Ν	Mean				
Q24 Overall quality of this Block	0	4.4%	20%	55.6%	20%	45	3.9				

Responses: [P] Poor=1 [F] Fair=2 [A] Average=3 [VG] Very Good=4 [E] Excellent=5

Category Instructions: Rate the extent to which Tuesday Seminars accomplished the following:

Foi	undations of Clinical Medicine	Block 6: BLK-EOB-6/Case									
Tue	esday Seminars			Se	ction						
		Ρ	F	Α	VG	Е	Ν	Mean			
Q1	Rate the educational effectiveness of Tuesday Seminars on issues of professionalism and transition for the clerkships.	2.2%	2.2%	22.2%	42.2%	31.1%	45	4.0			
Q2	Rate the educational effectiveness of the Communications Workshop (Shared Decisions Making and Giving Bad News) in giving you greater confidence in participating in the shared decision making process.	0	2.2%	20%	51.1%	26.7%	45	4.0			
Q3	Rate the educational effectiveness of the Communications Workshop (Shared Decisions Making and Giving Bad News) in giving you greater confidence in disclosing a difficult diagnosis.	2.2%	4.4%	17.8%	48.9%	26.7%	45	3.9			
Q4	Rate the educational value of DocCom modules for learning the skills of doctor patient communication.	17.8%	24.4%	31.1%	20%	6.7%	45	2.7			

Responses: [P] Poor=1 [F] Fair=2 [A] Average=3 [VG] Very Good=4 [E] Excellent=5

Category Instructions: How well did the following programs help you give an oral presentation by putting together history taking and physical exam skills

Foundations of Clinical Medicine Programs	Block 6: BLK-EOB-6/Case						
	Responses (%)					Section	
	Р	F	Α	VG	Е	Ν	Mean
Q5 PD3	0	2.2%	4.4%	22.2%	71.1%	45	4.6
Q6 CPCP	2.2%	4.4%	17.8%	26.7%	48.9%	45	4.2
Q7 CSE2B	2.2%	0	17.8%	44.4%	35.6%	45	4.1

Responses: [P] Poor=1 [F] Fair=2 [A] Average=3 [VG] Very Good=4 [E] Excellent=5