

AY 2023-24 Clerkship Annual Report

Clerkship	CORE 3402 Emergency Medicine Integrated Discipline	
Timeframe under review	AY 2023-2024	
Length of clerkship	2 weeks	
	Venkatesh Kambhampati, MD - CC	
Clerkship Directors	Malcolm Hoshi, MD - MH	
	Andrew Golden, MD - UH	

Sections highlighted in blue require the Clerkship Director to complete related to the relevant site, and the data highlighted in red indicate areas below the set benchmarks. Sections highlighted in green are to be completed working together with CDs from other sites at the Annual Fall Retreat when individual site reports will be combined into a comprehensive report for each discipline.

Section A: Instructional methodology

Explain where & how learning opportunities, events and teaching resources are created and mapped in the MD curriculum to achieve LOs.

1) Please provide the percentage of time that medical students spend in inpatient and ambulatory settings in each required clinical clerkship. Please also indicate the total number of didactic hours that students are required to attend.

Site	Clinical Experience - Ambulatory (% of Total Clerkship Time)	Clinical Experience -Inpatient (% of Total Clerkship Time)	Student Didactics (Total Hours)
CCF	100%	0	3
МНМС	100%	0	2
UH	100%	0	11

- % and # of students |% and # of students who % and # of Site/#of Conditions students who did who completed on completed using alternative students patients methods not complete Abdominal Pain All 8 clinical encounters or skills using patients or alternate methods less than 20% Acute Pain Management of cases in aggregate or at a particular site. Airway Management Chest Pain CCF: All core clinical conditions are met through clinical encounters, although an Dyspnea Eye/ENT emergency lecture is given to cover that condition. ENT/Eye Emergency Fluid Resuscitation/Shock Trauma/MSK Emergency
- 2) Please include a summary of all the Required Clinical Experiences.

3) Please describe how faculty and Residents/fellows teaching and supervising medical students at each site were prepared for teaching and assessment roles (e.g., the learning objectives, system of student assessment, and required clinical encounters). Also describe how site leadership and faculty receive information about student performance and satisfaction. This narrative description may include major activities such as preparation meetings, debriefs, and monthly meetings.

Site	Participants (individual/group)	Торіс	When/Frequency	Activity/Outcomes
	Residents	Teaching/assessment	Yearly	Residents go through a program at MetroHealth since it is a combined program.
		Performance/satisfaction	Yearly	Clerkship annual report Direct feedback from students
CCF	Faculty	Teaching/assessment	Yearly	Yearly presentation about the medical student rotations available and their respective objectives. We also delineate the responsibilities of each level of medical students (M3 vs M4/5 or AI). They fill out evals on CAS. Faculty are used to this system as it has been used for several years.
		Performance/satisfaction	Yearly	Feedback from evals is shared along with yearly metrics
	Other clerkship directors, clinical assistant deans, or chairs and site leadership	Student performance and satisfaction	N/A	N/A
	Residents	Teaching/assessment	Weekly Weekly Monthly Every 1-2 months	Foundations of EM Curriculum Weekly Educational Conference Follow up Cases Resident Case Presentations
		Performance/satisfaction	Annually PRN (2-3x/week)	Clerkship annual report Direct feedback from students
мнмс	Foculty	Teaching/assessment	Faculty development	Monthly sessions
	Faculty	Performance/satisfaction	Annually PRN	Clerkship annual report Direct feedback from students
	Other clerkship directors, clinical assistant deans, or chairs and site leadership	Student performance and satisfaction	Education retreats, Clerkship annual report	Faculty development seminars

	Residents	Teaching/assessment	Annually	RAFT curriculum; presentation at weekly education conference
		Performance/satisfaction	Annually	Presentation at weekly education conference
		Teaching/assessment	Annually	Presentation at monthly faculty meeting
UH/VA	Faculty	Performance/satisfaction	Annually	Presentation at monthly faculty meeting
	Other clerkship directors, clinical assistant deans, or chairs and site leadership	Student performance and satisfaction	Bi-annually	Email, education retreat

Section B: Assessment and Evaluation Methodology

Describe assessment/evaluation tools and indicate how each tool aligns with LOs (if applicable). For each tool, clarify how data were collected and analyzed, and explain how reliability and validity evidence has been sought.

ΤοοΙ	Description/Mapping	Data collection & analysis	Purpose (S/F)
EOB Clinical	Assessment tool which assesses 8	Completed by CDs/designated	Summative
Performance	competencies, comment boxes for each	preceptors at the end of the clerkship	
Rating	competency, final discipline decision, and the overall content box	via CAS	
Case log	A record of patient encounters that include conditions and procedures	Documented by student about the types of patient encounters and what the level of participation was involved with each encounter. OCA keeping track of the completion in CAS	Summative
Formative	Log-based assessment assessing patient care,	Completed by preceptors during the	Formative
/Cumulative	knowledge, communication, professionalism,	block via CAS and reviewed by	
Assessment	teamwork, SBP, and Reflective practice. The form includes comment boxes for each question as well an overall comment box.	CDs/designated preceptors	
Student Evaluation of Clerkship	An evaluation survey eliciting student feedback on the quality of their experience with a focus on content delivery, required observations, workload, the learning environment, and strengths and areas for improvement	Completed by students at the end of each rotation (delivered in Qualtrics)	Summative
Student	An evaluation survey requesting global ratings	Complete by students at the end of each	
Evaluation of Clinical Faculty	and comments for improvement for faculty preceptors	rotation; the number of required faculty evaluations varies by clerkship (student expectation in CAS)	Summative

Section C: Student Performance

Illustrate data collected clearly & concisely (presentation of data) and include a narrative and table/figure with averages, percentages, and/or inferential statistics as appropriate to the tool.

1) Please complete the data for assessment methods for each site where students complete a rotation for the clerkship. You can find specific information in the Appendix A.

	Site	# of student	% Meets expectations		
	CCF	43	100%		
Clinical rating	MHMC	59	100%		
Clinical rating	UH/VA	76	100%		
	Metro LIC	4	100%		
	CCLC	31	100%		
Provide comparability analysis and summarize patterns of strengths/area for improvement:					

MHMC: Through the course of the year, 100% of students were able to meet expectations for the rotation as outlined in the Learning Objectives and Core Clinical Conditions. **UH/VA:** All sites have comparable

summative assessment of students in this rotation.

2) Please provide the average and the minimum/maximum number of weeks it took for students to receive final grades in LMS during the timeframe under review for each site.

Site	Minimum	Maximum	Average	EOR posted in LMS within 6 weeks (%)
CCF	4.5	5	4.63	100%
МНМС	4.5	4.5	4.5	100%
UH/VA	4.5	4.5	4.5	100%

Section D: Evaluation Outcomes

Reflect on the aggregated quantitative and qualitative data from the End of Rotation Survey results (Appendix B) during the prior academic year. Quantitative data are provided in the table below indicating Good/Excellent or Agree/Strongly Agree. Reflect and summarize student feedback on the strengths and areas of improvement for each clerkship site.

		RR 100%	100%	100%	100%
		Overall	CCF (LAB)	МНМС	UH
The overa	Il quality of your educational experience during	93	81	97	92
this clerks	hip (Good or Excellent).				
The clerks	hip was well organized.	96	93	93	99
The clerks	hip director clearly explained the expectations for	98	96	97	99
medical st	udents.				
The clerks	hip provided me with sufficient opportunities to	98	97	100	96
achieve th	e stated goals and objectives.				
I was satis	fied with the clinical skills instruction I received	97	93	98	96
during the	clerkship.				
Grading p	rocedures were clear.	98	89	97	99
I was satis	fied with the amount of formative feedback (e.g.,	91	85	88	93
mid-clerks	ship) I received during the clerkship.				
I was satis	fied with the quality of formative feedback (e.g.,	92	89	92	92
mid-clerks	ship) I received during the clerkship.				
Faculty pr	ovided effective teaching.	93	97	95	92
Residents	and Fellows provided effective teaching.	96	98	95	97
Please sur	nmarize and discuss the students' narrative comme	ents related to	o the Strengt	ths of the o	clerkship:
CCF	The overall educational experience was 81.1% ba	ased on the d	ata given. Th	e number	above is
	inaccurate. Clerkship organization question also	did not differ	entiate ED ve	s other asp	ects of
	LAB. So I am unsure how that rating was obtained	d.			
	Strengths: excellent variety of patients, ability to	-	firsthand and	d work the	em up.
	Excellent teaching (resident mentioned). Great v	-			
MHMC	Students felt the clerkship offered exposure to a		-	•	
	the variety of shifts offered (Trauma, Main Camp		-		
	provide learning opportunities. Opportunities for		-		
	enthusiasm in teaching were pointed out as stren	ngths. Some s	students note	ed the cler	kship had
	a clear structure and expectations.				
UH/VA					
	instruction for our students and, therefore, are not surprised our residents are perceived as				
	providing more effective teaching to students. We will continue to assess opportunities to				
	increase opportunities for formative feedback wi	ithin the rota	tion.		
	Narrative comments describe appreciation for th	o variety of a	acac procont	ing to the	ED
	Narrative comments describe appreciation for the variety of cases presenting to the ED. Many comments describe effective teaching by both faculty and residents, although this can				
	be of significant variability. They describe the rotation as being well organized and allowing				
	for supervised autonomy of clinical practice with hands-on learning. Additionally, students				
			-	•	
	appreciated the opportunity to evaluate undifferentiated patients in the ED setting.				

Please sum	marize and discuss the students' narrative comments related to the Areas for Improvement:
CCF	Main concern in the ED is that it is "hit and miss." Essentially some residents are more
	engaged rather than others (seems to have to do with ability of residents to teach and
	multitask). One complaint about ED shifts on the weekends. However this is needed
	secondary to scheduling needs.
MHMC	Student feedback requested increased structure. Some students were not comfortable with
	the shift-based nature and variable start time of shifts. The RN/medic shift (used to perform
	IVs, EKGs) was noted to occasionally not have sufficient volume. Some students felt the
	administrative/documentation requirements could be clarified.
UH/VA	Students describe a lack of clarity related to their role when residents are not present in the
	ED. Students also describe wanting a longer rotation in the ED, more than 2 weeks, with
	consideration for ability to work night shifts. There is also variability in how residents and
	faculty teach and establish appropriate expectations on shift.

Section E: Action Plan I – Implementation of Past Improvements

Site	AY2022-23 Planned Change	Accomplished? (Yes/No)	Outcomes or Reason not accomplished
CCF	Can consider a little more faculty engagement and perhaps faculty development specific to medical students.	YES	
МНМС	Place students on "attending only" shifts more often when able	Yes	Increased number of attending only shifts, particularly in community EDs
МНМС	Continue to work on switching to online forms only	No	Consensus is that paper forms have a higher completion rate and are preferred
МНМС	Reeducate nurses / medics on the expectations of their shift with medical students	Yes	RN manager contacted and education provided
UH	Identifying out how to balance orientation and didactics within the changing Core curriculum schedule	Yes	
UH	Resident and faculty development related to bedside teaching skills	Yes	Continues to be an issue
UH	Remove nurse/medic shift	Yes	
UH	Integrate more explicit expectations into orientation	Yes	

List planned actions from previous cycle, status & outcomes of the implementation

Section F: Action Plan II – Use of Results for Future Program Improvements

Strategies planned for program improvement; actions designed to improve instruction & curriculum; rationale for action is based on data & analysis of results.

Site	Proposed action	Responsible party
	Continue to inform and engage the faculty, using a yearly faculty	Venk
CCF	development model. Revamp the didactics lectures. Address the	Kambhampati
CCI	requirements of observed H&P directly and explain student	
	responsibilities in that regard.	
мнмс	Reeducate nurses / medics on the expectations of their shift with	CD / RN manager
withivite	medical students	
мнмс	Update course materials to clearly delineate documentation	CD
within	requirements	CD
UH	Remove M3 shifts during EM resident didactics	CD
	Continued faculty development related to bedside teaching and	CD, Education
UH	formative feedback	Division
UH	Update shift assessment form	CD
All	Implementation of new H&P direct observation form	CD, Director of
All		Assessment

Appendix A: End of Block Student Evaluation of Clerkship