

AY 2023-24 Clerkship Annual Report

Clerkship	CORE 3101 Family Medicine
Timeframe under review	AY 2023-2024
Length of clerkship	3 weeks
	Robert Cain, MD – CC
Clerkship Directors	Samina Yunus, MD - CC
Clerkship Directors	Rebecca Schroeder, MD - MHMC
	Jason Chao, MD - UH/VA

Sections highlighted in blue require the Clerkship Director to complete site-specific information, and data highlighted in red indicate areas below the set benchmarks. Sections highlighted in green are to be completed working together with CDs from other sites at the Annual Fall Retreat when individual site reports will be combined into a comprehensive report for each discipline.

Section A: Instructional methodology

Explain where & how learning opportunities, events and teaching resources are created and mapped in the MD curriculum to achieve LOs.

1) Please provide the percentage of time that medical students spend in inpatient and ambulatory settings in each required clinical clerkship. Please also indicate the total number of didactic hours that students are required to attend.

Site	•	Clinical Experience -Inpatient (% of Total Clerkship Time)	Student Didactics (Total Hours)
CC	100%	0	44
МНМС	100	0	42
UH/VA	98-100%	0-2% (one site includes some inpt work)	40

2) Please include a summary of all the Required Clinical Experiences.

Conditions	Site/#of students	% and # of students who completed on patients	% and # of students who completed using alternative methods	% and # of students who did not complete
Abdominal Pain	All 28 clinical encounters or skills using patients or alternate			
Advanced Directives/End of Life/Palliative	methods le	ess than 20% of	cases in aggregate or at	a particular site
Care				
Altered Mental Status/Delirium				
Anemia				

Atherosclerosis (CAD, PVD, Cerebrovascular	
disease, Acute Coronary Syndrome)	
Chest Pain	
CHF	
COPD/Asthma	
Cough/URI/Viral syndromes/Pneumonia	
Depression	
Diabetes	
Dyslipidemia	
Dyspnea	
Dysuria/Hematuria/Stones/UTI	
Fever	
Geriatric Syndromes (cognitive impairment,	
falls, incontinence, polypharmacy)	
Hypertension	
Musculoskeletal Pain (back, shoulder, knee,	
hip)	
Nausea/Vomiting, Diarrhea/Constipation,	
Gastroenteritis	
Obesity	
Preventive Care/Health Promotion	
Renal Failure/Acid-Base	
Disorder/Electrolyte Disorder	
Skin Problems (cellulitis, rash)	
Smoking Cessation/Tobacco Use/Substance	
Use Disorder	
Thyroid Disease	
Transitions of Care	
Unintended Weight Loss	
Venous Thromboembolism	

3) Please describe how faculty and Residents/fellows teaching and supervising medical students at each site were prepared for teaching and assessment roles (e.g., the learning objectives, system of student assessment, and required clinical encounters). Also describe how site leadership and faculty receive information about student performance and satisfaction. This narrative description may include major activities such as preparation meetings, debriefs, and monthly meetings.

Site	Participants (individual/group)	Торіс	When/Frequency	Activity/Outcomes
CCF	Residents	Teaching/assessment	Residents as Teachers training is part of the residency program didactic training.	Monitored by the Program Directors
		Performance/satisfaction	Residents are evaluated by students at the	Any issues of concern are reported to the residency program directors. Outstanding performers are contacted by

			completion of the	the Discipline Leaders to
			rotation	congratulate them.
	Faculty	Teaching/assessment	Each clinical	
	raculty	reaching/assessment		
			faculty preceptor receives a	
			welcome email in	
			advance of their	
			upcoming	
			student's start	
			date. This email	
			reviews the	
			teaching and	
			assessment roles,	
			expectations for	
			students and	
			faculty, and links	
			to the Clinical	
			Assessment	
			System (CAS)	
			which has	
			tutorials on best	
			use of the	
			assessments for	
			preceptors.	
		Performance/satisfaction		Faculty receive a summary of
				their student evaluations at
				their Annual Professional
				Review (APR) with Department
				or Institute leadership.
	Other clerkship	Student performance and	Reviewed every 4	
	directors, clinical	satisfaction	weeks by the LAB	
	assistant deans, or		Advisors	
	chairs and site		Committee	
	leadership		meeting	
МНМС	Residents	Teaching/assessment	Residents as	
			teacher training is	
			a mandatory part	
			of the Family	
			Medicine	
			Residency	
			Didactics program	
			sponsored by the	
			Family Medicine	
			residency	
			program and	
			monitored by the	
			Residency	
	1	1	Residency	
			Program	

r	I	1		I
			Directors. The	
			AAFP Residents as	
			teachers module	
			is used in training	
			the residents.	
		Performance/satisfaction		
	Faculty	Teaching/assessment	The Clerkship	
			Director orients	
			each individual	
			Attending before	
			they begin	
			precepting	
			medical students.	
			The CORE goals &	
			objectives are	
			reviewed, as is the	
			CAS evaluation	
			and grading rubric	
			used citywide.	
		Performance/satisfaction	Faculty review	
			their personal	
			student feedback	
			during their	
			annual review	
			with the Family	
			Medicine	
			Department chair.	
	Other clerkship	Student performance and		
	directors, clinical	satisfaction		
	assistant deans, or			
	chairs and site			
	leadership			
UH	Residents	Teaching/assessment	Yearly	Institution provides mandatory "resident as teacher"
				education. We provide an
				additional session that includes
				role play.
		Performance/satisfaction	Twice yearly	Individual feedback to
			, ,	residents on their teaching and
				student comments
	Faculty	Teaching/assessment	Yearly with full-	Learning objectives,
			time faculty	assessment systems and
				required clinical encounters are
			Every 1-2 year site	reviewed when new faculty are
			visits to	recruited. New community
			community sites	faculty recruits are given an
			community sites	orientation that includes roles
				orientation that includes roles

			in office teaching and assessment. E-mail and periodic site visits are used to communicate with community faculty.
	Performance/satisfaction	Yearly with full- time faculty Every 1-2 year site visits to community sites	E-mail and periodic site visits to community offices are used to inform faculty of student performance and satisfaction.
Other clerkship directors, clinical assistant deans, or chairs and site leadership	Student performance and satisfaction	Quarterly meetings	Zoom conference calls

Section B: Assessment and Evaluation Methodology

Describe assessment/evaluation tools and indicate how each tool aligns with LOs (if applicable). For each tool, clarify how data were collected and analyzed, and explain how reliability and validity evidence has been sought.

Tool	Description/Mapping	Data collection & analysis	Purpose (S/F)
NBME Subject	Standardized, externally validated MCQ tests developed by NBME content experts to assess medical knowledge and patient care	NBME provided year-end reports, score reports, and content area IA/summary report if there are 6 or more test takers	Summative
EOB Clinical Performance Rating	Assessment tool which assesses 8 competencies, comment boxes for each competency, final discipline decision, and the overall content box	Completed by CDs/designated preceptors at the end of the clerkship via CAS	Summative
Case log	A record of patient encounters that include conditions and procedures	Documented by student about the types of patient encounters and what the level of participation was involved with each encounter. OCA keeping track of the completion in CAS	Summative
Preventive Care Counseling	Required observation during ambulatory part of clerkship	https://portal.cclcm.ccf.org/cclcm/eport folio/a_c2_assess.aspx?formid=263	Summative
Focused Physical Exam	Required observation during ambulatory part of clerkship	Documented by student in CAS	Summative
Formative/ Cumulative Assessment	Log-based assessment assessing patient care, knowledge, communication, professionalism, teamwork, SBP, and Reflective practice. The form includes comment boxes for each question as well an overall comment box.	Completed by preceptors during the block via CAS and reviewed by CDs/designated preceptors	Formative
Self Assessment	Four personal reflective questions regarding meeting requirements, strengths, areas for improvement, and additional comments.	Completed by students at the middle of the clerkship via CAS and reviewed by CDs/designated preceptors	Formative
Mid-clerkship Assessment	Three major questions including summary, satisfactory/unsatisfactory, and comments as well as students' self assessment	Completed by CDs at the middle of the clerkship via CAS	Formative
Online modules	Online Aquifer modules completion	Completed by students during the block and monitored by CDs	Formative
Student Evaluation of Clerkship	An evaluation survey eliciting student feedback on the quality of their experience with a focus on content delivery, required observations, workload, the learning environment, and strengths and areas for improvement	Completed by students at the end of each rotation (delivered in Qualtrics)	Summative
Student Evaluation of Clinical Faculty	An evaluation survey requesting global ratings and comments for improvement for faculty preceptors	Complete by students at the end of each rotation; the number of required faculty evaluations varies by clerkship (student expectation in CAS)	Summative

Section C: Student Performance

Illustrate data collected clearly & concisely (presentation of data) and include a narrative and table/figure with averages, percentages, and/or inferential statistics as appropriate to the tool.

1) Regarding student mid-clerkship feedback, please indicate who is responsible and the method used to meet with students from each site during the rotation.

Site	% of completion (from CAS)	Person/title who communicated with students (e.g., clerkship director, designate preceptors, etc.)	Approach that communication was completed (e.g., in person, phone, video conference)
CCF	95%	Completed by the student's assigned LAB Advisor at weeks 4 and 8 of the rotation	Done in-person, via video conference, or by telephone.
мнмс	100%	Clerkship director	The clerkship coordinator sets a schedule of mid-rotation evaluations and instructs the students to fill out the form in CAS. The clerkship director then calls by phone to discuss the mid- rotation CAS form & clarify any questions or doubts.
UH/VA	100%	Clerkship director	Response via CAS, sometimes additional video conference at student request

2) Please provide the average and the minimum/maximum number of weeks it took for students to receive final grades in LMS during the timeframe under review for each site.

Site	Minimum	Maximum	Average	EOR posted in LMS within 6 weeks (%)
CCF	4.4	4.4	4.4	100%
МНМС	4.4	4.4	4.4	100%
UH/VA	4.4	5.0	4.6	100%

Section D: Evaluation Outcomes

Reflect on the aggregated quantitative and qualitative data from the End of Rotation Survey results (Appendix B) during the prior academic year. Quantitative data are provided in the table below indicating Good/Excellent or Agree/Strongly Agree. Reflect and summarize student feedback on the strengths and areas of improvement for each clerkship site.

		RR 100%	100%	100%	100%		
		Overall	CCF (LAB)	MHMC	UH		
	I quality of your educational experience during this Good or Excellent).	79	95	85	77		
	hip was well organized.	74	93	65	78		
	hip director clearly explained the expectations for	85	96	76	89		
	hip provided me with sufficient opportunities to estated goals and objectives.	90	97	92	88		
	fied with the clinical skills instruction I received	86	93	92	82		
Grading pr	ocedures were clear.	78	89	76	80		
	fied with the amount of formative feedback (e.g., hip) I received during the clerkship.	70	85	78	65		
l was satis	fied with the quality of formative feedback (e.g., mid- I received during the clerkship.	77	89	84	73		
	ovided effective teaching.	88	99	94	83		
Residents	and Fellows provided effective teaching.	95	97	96	91		
Please sun	nmarize and discuss the students' narrative comments	s related to t	he Strengths	of the cle	rkship:		
CCF	The high rating by students at CCF in each category r clinical learning opportunity	reflects their	perceived or	utstanding			
МНМС	The faculty preceptors were well received with favorable comments provided. Overall, the students expressed satisfaction with the level of effective teaching provided and the opportunities to achieve the stated goals and objectives of the clerkship. They appreciated the balance of autonomy & teaching and expressed feeling empowered to formulate diagnostic and therapeutic plans independently.						
UH Patient diversity and wide variety of problems encountered. Autonomy - students are given ownership of their patients and opportunity to reason through the care plan. Volume of patients adequate to see many conditions. Ability to see impact of social determinants of health. Chance to see the role of family medicine in the healthcare system. Good teaching by residents and faculty. Those in community sites working one on one with faculty appreciated the continuity. Those in the residency practice working with multiple residents and faculty liked the variety of perspectives with different doctors. Didactics were interactive and useful.							
Please sun	nmarize and discuss the students' narrative comments						
CCF	Some students are concerned with the driving distances between various preceptor's sites. This is kept in mind when scheduling sites, but the limited availability of preceptors sometimes provides little room to minimize this. All sites are less than a 45 minute drive from the CCF Main Campus and often driving distances are much shorter than that.						

	Some students have expressed dissatisfaction with the number of online cases required for family medicine. This is reviewed annually but has been consistent for some time. The course content of the required courses is reviewed annually and updated as needed. Some students felt it was excessive to need to log every patient seen and provide observations, learning points, etc. in their patient logs.
МНМС	The students expressed a desire for more continuity among faculty members and clinic site assignments.
UH	Most dissatisfaction was around resident clinic at CMC. It was too busy at times. Some students felt they were being used just to write notes. Clinical schedules sometimes changed at the last minute leading to disorganization. Some students were exposed to poor resident professionalism outside of direct patient care - this is in the context of UH announcing they were going to phase out the residency program. Some students thought didactics / reflections were too similar in content to Tuesday Seminars in M1 and M2. A number of students thought the rotation was too short.
	We plan to reinforce the grading rubric which is now in Elentra. This should improve student understanding of expectations and clarity of grading procedures. Some of the organization issues are due to fluxes in faculty leadership on Aging and availability for Aging clinics, which the students group together with FM. Some of the feedback dissatisfaction at UH is due to the phase out of the residency program.

Section E: Action Plan I – Implementation of Past Improvements

List planned actions from previous cycle, status & outcomes of the implementation

Site	AY2022-23	Accomplished?	Outcomes or Reason
Sile	Planned Change	(Yes/No)	not accomplished
CCF	Review negative feedback on specific preceptors to see if discussion with them and/or recommendation for additional preceptor training would be appropriate. With regards to preceptors, who manifest with a report of explicit bias, mistreatment or neglect will go through remediation. Repeated, egregious behavior will be removed from the pool of preceptors.	yes	No egregious behaviors were reported. Some preceptors were removed from the polls when other options were available.
CCF	Review positive feedback on individual preceptors. Send encouragement to these fine teachers and share the positive feedback with our Department Chairman to be included in APRs.	yes	Quarterly reports of preceptor performance are reviewed by the CDs and summary of the outstanding performances sent to the Department Chairman
CCF	Changed end of rotation exam to NBME shelf exam instead of Aquifer Review didactic curriculum for areas of change and improvement aligned among our sites.	yes	The pass/honors cutoff point is monitored and adjusted if needed annually. Didactic topics are reviewed annually by the CDs
МНМС	Use preference list including specialty clinics including the homeless van/shelter/clinic, DAWN van for needle exchange & HIV/hepC screening, HIV clinic, PRIDE clinic, school health, urban experience with nursing home visits, WIC, food as medicine in scheduling students	Yes	
МНМС	Schedule with a focus on continuity of Attendings & sites	No	The faculty members are not 40 hour employees and have responsibilities outside of clinic, which remove them from the clinic. The faculty work one week quarterly on inpatient or have time to work on QI project or need to present at a conference or are a site manager or residency program directors with meetingsetc. Also the addition of specialty

			clinics has added to the types of experiences, but also caused further disruption to continuity.
МНМС	Schedule with a focus on language preference with assigning Cantonese & Spanish speakers to the appropriate clinic Review didactic curriculum for areas of change and improvement aligned among our sites.	Yes	
UH/VA	Continue to evaluate usefulness of Calibrate formative exams. Format of exam is fixed, but result reporting has been improved based on pilot data.	Yes	Changed Calibrate exam to optional in response to student feedback.
UH/VA	Continue site recruitment efforts and faculty development.	Yes	Additional 2 sites recruited, but 1 site retired.
UH/VA	Review didactic curriculum for areas of change and improvement aligned among our sites	Yes	Adjusted orientation, and using guideline tool developed by MHMC

Section F: Action Plan II – Use of Results for Future Program Improvements

Strategies planned for program improvement; actions designed to improve instruction & curriculum; rationale for action is based on data & analysis of results.

Site	Proposed action	Responsible party
	Continue to monitor results of NBME scores to make sure Honors and Pass score cutoffs are appropriate. For Ay 2023-24, Case SOM scores were at or above comparison scores in family medicine.	SOM leadership, CDs
CCF	Continue to promote universal faculty appointments for all clinical teachers. Recent changes to this process have hindered this slightly compared to previous application processes	SOM faculty appointment committee
МНМС	Strive to improve the continuity within the students' schedules, while maintaining the versatility of the preference list for specialty clinic experiences including the homeless van/shelter/clinic, DAWN van for needle exchange, HIV/HepC clinic, PRIDE clinic, school health & WIC	Rebecca Schroeder, MD FAAFP
UH/VA	 Most dissatisfaction was with the resident practice. Plan for more faculty development with residents and attendings to standardize expectations. Continue to recruit additional community sites. Review didactics / reflections for areas of change and improvement. 	Clerkship director

Appendix A: NBME Subject Exam Year-End Report Appendix B: End of Block Student Evaluation of Clerkship