

### AY 2023-24 Clerkship Annual Report

| Clerkship              | CORE 3102 Internal Medicine                                 |  |  |
|------------------------|---|--|--|
| Timeframe under review | AY 2023-2024  |  |  |
| Length of clerkship    | 8 weeks or 6 weeks inpatient Internal Medicine (CCF 1/2-day |  |  |
| Length of Clerkship    | clinic/week for 12 weeks outpatient Internal Medicine)      |  |  |
|                        | David Gugliotti, MD – CC (CD)                               |  |  |
|                        | Calen Frolkis, MD – MHMC (CD)                               |  |  |
|                        | Alex Sapick, MD -MHMC (ACD)                                 |  |  |
| Clerkship Directors    | Debra Leizman, MD – UH (CD)                                 |  |  |
|                        | Aaron Kistemaker, MD – UH (ACD)                             |  |  |
|                        | Shikhil Kharotia, MD – VA (CD)                              |  |  |
|                        | Ronda Mourad, MD – VA (ACD)                                 |  |  |

Sections highlighted in blue require the Clerkship Director to complete related to the relevant site, and data highlighted in Red are below set benchmarks. Sections highlighted in green are to be completed working together with CDs from other sites at the Annual Fall Retreat when individual site reports will be combined into a comprehensive report for each discipline.

### Section A: Instructional methodology

Explain where & how learning opportunities, events and teaching resources are created and mapped in the MD curriculum to achieve LOs.

1) Please provide the percentage of time that medical students spend in inpatient and ambulatory settings in each required clinical clerkship. Please also indicate the total number of didactic hours that students are required to attend.

| Site | Clinical Experience - Ambulatory<br>(% of Total Clerkship Time) | Clinical Experience -Inpatient (% of Total Clerkship Time) | Student Didactics (Total Hours) |
|------|---|--|---------------------------------|
| CCF  | 25%   | 75%  | 8.5 (LAB) 9 (TBC-1)             |
| MHMC | 0%  | 100%   | 44h                             |
| UH   | 1 Week – 12.5% (36 Hours)                                       | 7 Weeks – 87.5% (280 Hours)                                | 34 Hours                        |
| VA   | 13%   | 87%  | Approx. 60 hours                |

2) Please include a summary of all the Required Clinical Experiences.

| Ī | Conditions | Site/#of | % and # of   | % and # of students | % and # of |
|---|------------|----------|--------------|---------------------|------------|
|   | Conditions | students | students who | who completed       | students   |

|  |              | completed on      | using alternative        | who did not     |
|--|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
|  |              | patients          | methods                  | complete        |
| Abdominal Pain                               | All 28 clini | cal encounters of | or skills using patients | or alternate    |
| Advanced Directives/End of Life/Palliative   | methods I    | ess than 20% of   | cases in aggregate or a  | at a particular |
| Care   | site         |                   |                          |                 |
| Altered Mental Status/Delirium               |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Anemia                                       |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Atherosclerosis                              |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Chest Pain                                   |              |                   |                          |                 |
| CHF  |              |                   |                          |                 |
| COPD/Asthma                                  |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Cough/URI/Viral syndromes/Pneumonia          |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Depression                                   |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Diabetes                                     |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Dyslipidemia                                 |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Dyspnea                                      |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Dysuria/Hematuria/Stones/UTI                 |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Fever  |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Geriatric Syndromes                          |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Hypertension                                 |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Musculoskeletal Pain                         |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Nausea/Vomiting, Diarrhea/Constipation,      |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Gastroenteritis                              |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Obesity                                      |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Preventive Care/Health Promotion             |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Renal Failure/Acid-Base Disorder/Electrolyte |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Disorder                                     |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Skin Problems (cellulitis, rash)             |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Smoking Cessation/Tobacco Use/Substance      |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Use Disorder                                 |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Thyroid Disease                              |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Transitions of Care                          |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Unintended Weight Loss                       |              |                   |                          |                 |
| Venous Thromboembolism                       |              |                   |                          |                 |

3) Please describe how faculty and Residents/fellows teaching and supervising medical students at each site were prepared for **teaching and assessment roles** (e.g., the learning objectives, system of student assessment, and required clinical encounters). Also describe how site leadership and faculty receive information about **student performance and satisfaction**. This narrative description may include major activities such as preparation meetings, debriefs, and monthly meetings.

| Site | Participants (individual/group) | Topic         | When/Frequency         | Activity/Outcomes                    |
|------|---------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|      | Residents                       | Teaching/asse | Prior to each rotation | The Clerkship Director provides      |
| CCF  |                                 | ssment        | and yearly at          | information at Intern orientation    |
|      |                                 |               | orientation            | about working with medical students. |

|         | Performance/satisfaction  Teaching/asse | <ul> <li>Twice yearly through IMRP advising program</li> <li>As needed/desired by resident through MedHub</li> </ul> | • | This includes the approach to effective teaching interactions with students, understanding and setting expectations, assessment, and feedback.  Email is sent to residents detailing the requirement that students are expected to meet during the rotation and their role in helping students to grow on the rotation.  Residents have a resident as teacher program through the Internal Medicine Residency Program—this is a session where volunteer students and faculty work with residents simulating a patient-based teaching encounter—observation and feedback about the encounter is provided to each resident to improve their skills.  Role modeling and direct teaching from attending physicians about resident roles in education is a key component of resident education about teaching medical students.  Some residents take advantage of online resources and seminars to work on improvement in teaching skills (not required)  The Clinical Educator Track within the IMRP interfaces with residents about improving teaching for residents, and the CET residents in particular are involved in learning about education and teaching medical students.  Topics related to education are part of resident conferences throughout the academic year  Student feedback to residents is available through MedHub evaluations—this is reviewed at resident performance reviews and as needed by the residents for self-reflection.  Faculty are sent the goals and |
|---------|---|--|---|---|
| Faculty | ssment                                  | Prior to each rotation   |   | objectives and description of their roles at the beginning of each  |

|                              |   | <ul> <li>inpatient rotation and at the beginning of working with a student in the outpatient clinic for the 12 week LAB portion of the rotation</li> <li>Email communications are sent to faculty about student requirements for formative and summative assessments for the inpatient rotations and the outpatient rotations.</li> <li>Reminders about student logs and assessment are sent to faculty precepting students if assessments have not been filled out or if more information about a student is needed during the rotation or afterwards.</li> <li>During faculty development sessions or Department meetings addressing education of medical students, the expectations for preceptors in teaching medical students are a point for discussion.</li> <li>Email communication outlines expectations for the number of patients a student is expected to see in the outpatient clinic or follow on the inpatient rotation</li> </ul> |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| Performance/<br>satisfaction | At least yearly. Feedback from students reviewed at annual professional review, and as needed by the faculty preceptors. CD or ACD may contact about any concerns related to faculty performance. | <ul> <li>General themes of student feedback and performance on the IM rotation are shared with faculty preceptors.</li> <li>Feedback from students about the rotation are shared with faculty preceptors as part of the Annual Professional Review process.</li> <li>Comments from students are available to faculty through CAS in the areas of communications/teaching skills, feedback, supervision, and professionalism.</li> <li>Student performance is monitored by the Clerkship Director or Associate Clerkship Director both in the inpatient and outpatient setting; if there is concern about a student's performance, the CD or ACD contacts</li> </ul>   |

|      |  |   |   | the preceptor with information about the concern and what the preceptor's role can be in improving this student's performance  • Clerkship feedback from students is   |
|------|--|---|---|--|
|      | Other clerkship<br>directors, clinical<br>assistant deans, or<br>chairs and site<br>leadership | Student<br>performance<br>and<br>satisfaction | At least quarterly  | sent to CD after each rotation and information is reviewed for performance improvement  Clinical Assistant Dean provides feedback to CD and ACD on as needed basis about student concerns and feedback about rotation. |
|      | Residents  | Teaching/asse ssment                          | Biannually  | Noon conference/Firm lunches   |
|      |  | Performance/<br>satisfaction                  | Annually and quarterly  | Graduation award/coffee cards for highlighted teachers   |
|      | Faculty  | Teaching/asse ssment                          | Annually  | Sent emails with their evaluations   |
| МНМС | ,  | Performance/<br>satisfaction                  | Annually  | Given Letters with highlights re teaching abilities  |
|      | Other clerkship<br>directors, clinical<br>assistant deans, or<br>chairs and site<br>leadership | Student<br>performance<br>and<br>satisfaction | N/A   | N/A  |
|      | Residents  | Teaching/asse<br>ssment                       | Residents receive their teaching and assessment roles in two ways.  |  |
| UH   |  |   | 1. An email sent out<br>before each new<br>rotation, introducing<br>them to the new<br>students                   |  |
|      |  |   | 2. At their orientation when they begin at UH   |  |
|      |  |   | 3. The GME department at UHCMC requires all incoming residents to complete module about teaching medical students |  |

|    |  | Performance/<br>satisfaction                  | Residents receive any satisfactory comments made about them via email.  We also have our "Coffee Kudos," where we have each student write a thank you note to one resident and we give them the letter and a small gift each quarter. One or two senior residents are recognized at the end of the year as best teachers based on data collected from the students. |   |
|----|--|---|---|---|
|    |  | Teaching/asse ssment                          | This is one area we can improve upon, and we touch on this later.   |   |
|    | Faculty  | Performance/<br>satisfaction                  | Faculty receive any satisfactory comments made about them via email. One faculty member is recognized at end of academic year as best teacher based on student data.  |   |
|    | Other clerkship<br>directors, clinical<br>assistant deans, or<br>chairs and site<br>leadership | Student<br>performance<br>and<br>satisfaction |   |   |
|    | Residents  | Teaching/asse ssment                          | Yearly for boot camp<br>Ward orientation done<br>multiple times per year  | Boot Camp/orientation  Welcome to wards orientation |
| VA |  | Performance/<br>satisfaction                  | Yearly for boot camp  | Boot Camp/orientation Welcome to wards orientation  |
|    | Faculty  | Teaching/asse ssment                          | 1-2 times/year  | Faculty and departmental meeting                    |
|    | i acuity   | Performance/<br>satisfaction                  | 1-2 times/year  | Faculty and departmental meeting                    |

| assistant deans, or chairs and site | 1-2 times/year | ors, clinical ant deans, or and site | Faculty and departmental meeting |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|

# **Section B: Assessment and Evaluation Methodology**

Describe assessment/evaluation tools and indicate how each tool aligns with LOs (if applicable). For each tool, clarify how data were collected and analyzed, and explain how reliability and validity evidence has been sought.

| Tool  | Description/Mapping   | Data collection & analysis   | Purpose (S/F) |
|---|---|--|---------------|
| NBME<br>Subject                                 | Standardized, externally validated MCQ tests developed by NBME content experts to assess medical knowledge and patient care   | NBME provided year-end reports, score reports, and content area IA/summary report if there are 6 or more test takers   | Summative     |
| EOB Clinical<br>Performance<br>Rating           | Assessment tool which assesses 8 competencies, comment boxes for each competency, final discipline decision, and the overall content box  | Completed by CDs/designated preceptors at the end of the clerkship via CAS   | Summative     |
| Case log  | A record of patient encounters that include conditions and procedures   | Documented by student about the types of patient encounters and what the level of participation was involved with each encounter. OCA keeping track of the completion in CAS | Summative     |
| Oral<br>Presentation                            | Required observation during ambulatory part of clerkship  | https://portal.cclcm.ccf.org/cclcm/eport<br>folio/a_c2_assess.aspx?formid=262  | Summative     |
| Patient-<br>centered<br>Interview               | Required observation during ambulatory part of clerkship  | https://portal.cclcm.ccf.org/cclcm/eport<br>folio/a_c2_assess.aspx?formid=261  | Summative     |
| Formative/<br>cumulative<br>Assessment          | Log-based assessment assessing patient care (3Qs), knowledge (1Q), communication (2Qs), professionalism (2Qs), teamwork (1Q), SBP (1Q), and Reflective practice (1Q). The form includes comment boxes for each question as well an overall comment box. | Completed by preceptors during the block via CAS and reviewed by CDs/designated preceptors   | Formative     |
| Self<br>Assessment                              | Four personal reflective questions regarding meeting requirements, strengths, areas for improvement, and additional comments.   | Completed by students at the middle of the clerkship via CAS and reviewed by CDs/designated preceptors   | Formative     |
| Mid-clerkship<br>Assessment                     | Three major questions including summary, satisfactory/unsatisfactory, and comments as well as students' self assessment   | Completed by CDs at the middle of the clerkship via CAS  | Formative     |
| Online<br>modules                               | Online Aquifer modules completion   | Completed by students during the block   | Formative     |
| Student<br>Evaluation<br>of Clerkship           | An evaluation survey eliciting student feedback on the quality of their experience with a focus on content delivery, required observations, workload, the learning environment, and strengths and areas for improvement                                 | Completed by students at the end of each rotation (delivered in Qualtrics)   | Summative     |
| Student<br>Evaluation of<br>Clinical<br>Faculty | An evaluation survey requesting global ratings and comments for improvement for faculty preceptors  | Complete by students at the end of each rotation; the number of required faculty evaluations varies by clerkship (student expectation in CAS)                                | Summative     |

### **Section C: Student Performance**

Illustrate data collected clearly & concisely (presentation of data) and include a narrative and table/figure with averages, percentages, and/or inferential statistics as appropriate to the tool.

1) Regarding student mid-clerkship feedback, please indicate who is responsible and the method used to meet with students from each site during the rotation.

| Site | % of completion (from CAS) | Person/title who communicated with students (e.g., clerkship director, designate preceptors, etc.)   | Approach that communication was completed (e.g., in person, phone, video conference)   |
|------|----------------------------|--|--|
| CCF  | 100%                       | CD or ACD for TBC-1 portion of rotation. ACD for LAB portion of rotation or Clinical Assistant Dean. | Meetings at mid-clerkship are held in-<br>person, over videoconference, or on the<br>telephone depending on student<br>preference and timing/convenience to<br>arrange the meeting for the student and<br>preceptor. |
| МНМС | 100%                       | CD or ACD  | All mid-clerkship meetings are done in person.   |
| UH   | 100%                       | Clerkship Director   | Individual In Person Meetings  |
| VA   | 100%                       | Clerkship Director   | In person  |

2) Please provide the average and the minimum/maximum number of weeks it took for students to receive final grades in LMS during the timeframe under review for each site.

| Site  | Minimum | Maximum | Average | EOR posted in LMS within 6 weeks (%) |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------------------------|
| CCF   | 4.4     | 4.4     | 4.4     | 100%                                 |
| MHMC  | 4.4     | 4.4     | 4.4     | 100%                                 |
| UH/VA | 4.4     | 4.4     | 4.4     | 100%                                 |

#### **Section D: Evaluation Outcomes**

Reflect on the aggregated quantitative and qualitative data from the End of Rotation Survey results (Appendix B) during the prior academic year. Quantitative data are provided in the table below indicating Good/Excellent or Agree/Strongly Agree. Reflect and summarize student feedback on the strengths and areas of improvement for each clerkship site.

|  |  | RR 100%      | 100%      | 100%     | 100%       | 100%   |
|--|--|--------------|-----------|----------|------------|--------|
|  |  | Overall      | CCF       | МНМС     | UH         | VA     |
| The overal   | I quality of your educational experience during  | 96           | 96        | 98       | 98         | 93     |
| this clerksh   | nip (Good or Excellent).   |              |           |          |            |        |
| The clerksh  | nip was well organized.  | 94           | 99        | 90       | 93         | 91     |
| The clerkship director clearly explained the expectations  |  | 97           | 99        | 94       | 98         | 98     |
| for medical students.  |  |              |           |          |            |        |
| The clerksh  | nip provided me with sufficient opportunities to   | 98           | 96        | 98       | 100        | 98     |
| achieve the  |  |              |           |          |            |        |
| I was satisfied with the clinical skills instruction I received  |  | 96           | 93        | 96       | 100        | 95     |
| during the clerkship.  |  |              |           |          |            |        |
| Grading procedures were clear.   |  | 94           | 93        | 92       | 98         | 93     |
| I was satisfied with the amount of formative feedback (e.g.,   |  | 90           | 85        | 88       | 89         | 95     |
| mid-clerkship) I received during the clerkship.  |  |              |           |          |            |        |
| I was satisfied with the quality of formative feedback (e.g.,  |  | 88           | 86        | 85       | 93         | 95     |
| mid-clerkship) I received during the clerkship.  |  |              |           |          |            |        |
| Faculty provided effective teaching.   |  | 98           | 96        | 98       | 98         | 100    |
| Residents and Fellows provided effective teaching. 99 97 98 100 1  |  |              |           |          | 100        |        |
| Please summarize and discuss the students' narrative comments related to the Strengths of the clerkship: |  |              |           |          |            |        |
| CCF  | TBC-1:   |              |           |          |            |        |
|  | <ul> <li>Overall students were happy with the organization their goals, and feedback.</li> </ul> | ation of the | clerkship | opportun | ities to a | chieve |

- Autonomy and ownership for their patients was appreciated by the students.
- Excellent clinical exposure to variety of cases and complexity.
- Supportive teams and clinical environment.
- Well-organized clerkship overall was mentioned by some students.
- Good opportunities to deliver presentations and work on improvements is presentations.

#### LAB:

- Variety and breadth of outpatient experience
- Organized rotation, despite its complexity and moving pieces
- Longitudinal aspect allows students to get to know preceptors better.
- One on one time with preceptors and mentorship.

### **MHMC**

Good didactics, especially the TBLs. The topics were relevant and helpful. Well organized clerkship. Preceptors on the wards were accessible and effective teachers. Residents and faculty included med students and were engaged in their learning. Independence. Variety of experiences.

UH

This clerkship received overwhelmingly positive feedback, with students praising its wellorganized structure, supportive faculty, and enthusiastic residents. The learning environment was described as welcoming, with faculty, residents, and interns deeply invested in teaching and providing timely feedback. Students appreciated the variety of learning opportunities, including clinical reasoning sessions, night shifts, and interactions with both inpatient and outpatient teams. The hands-on experience, autonomy in patient care, and structured feedback systems helped students grow in their medical knowledge and skills. The integration into clinical teams and the strong communication with the clerkship coordinators further enhanced the educational experience.

VA

Strengths include exposure to a wide range of medical problems and diverse patient population, great educational experience provided by residents and attendings, and the right balance of independence and supervision.

#### Please summarize and discuss the students' narrative comments related to the Areas for Improvement:

CCF

#### TBC-1:

- Satisfaction with the amount and quality of formative feedback (by percentage) was overall good, but about 12-14% disagreed or strongly disagreed with the statement about satisfaction with this.
- Students worried about sending too many assessments to residents or faculty—suggested bundling assessments as a way to increase the amount of feedback given.
- Some mentions about services having too many learners on the services.
- Need clearer expectations about the number of patients that should be seen per week.
- Sometimes limited exposure to some conditions based on what is seen on the service. Some services had more variety than others.
- Rounding styles and structure affect the student's ability to personally see a lot of patients—
  for example, a student mentioned that when table rounds were done, the student did not get
  to see the variety of patients and pathology on the service.
- Some concerns that the IM inpatient rotation is too short; some other schools are longer duration.
- Need more secure space for belongings.
- Call schedule; consider once per week instead of current structure of every 4<sup>th</sup> evening.
- Concerns about taking call when students are worried about studying for the shelf examination.
- 4 students reported experiencing neglect on the rotation.
- 1 student reported personally experiencing mistreatment on the rotation.

#### LAB:

- Driving from clinic to clinic not optimal in some cases
- Sometimes preceptor schedules were very busy which made the workday more stressful for some students
- Need clearer expectations about assessments for the students and feedback for the preceptors.
- Improved orientation about each discipline at the start of the rotation recommended.
- Consider more subspecialty experiences for IM and make more flexible.
- Organization of geriatrics portion of rotation may need improvement.
- Challenging to adjust to the varied uses and templates in the EMR at each site (and specialty).
- 1 student reported neglect—not included in some conversations about patients
- 2 students reported mistreatment—no details given.

MHMC

Last minute emails. Some disorganization reported. Wish there were electives. Wanted more feedback and for attendings to fill out evals more readily.

| UH | Students noted that while the clerkship was well-organized, improvements could be made in balancing clinical and non-clinical activities. Several felt that didactic sessions and noon conferences took away from valuable clinical time, with some sessions not being particularly relevant. Ambulatory experiences were sometimes less engaging, with students feeling more like observers. Feedback processes could be streamlined, and communication regarding assignments and schedule changes improved. Additionally, some students expressed frustration with grading criteria, busywork, and the need for more autonomy during clinical duties. Despite these challenges, students appreciated the dedicated teaching time and supportive faculty. |
|----|--|
| VA | Areas of improvement include streamlined onboarding, more exposure to specialty services and better feedback.  |

# Section E: Action Plan I – Implementation of Past Improvements

List planned actions from previous cycle, status & outcomes of the implementation

| Site | AY2022-23   | Accomplished? | Outcomes or Reason   |
|------|---|---------------|--|
|      | Planned Change  | (Yes/No)      | not accomplished   |
| CCF  | Review LAB-IM and TBC-1 IM didactics to look for redundancy and ways to maximize learning experience Review asynchronous learning / online learning options for LAB-IM and TBC-IM— what makes most sense for didactics and covering materialconsider podcasts, Aquifer, and other options | Yes and No    | <ul> <li>Restarted Citywide Didactics this academic year.</li> <li>Redundancy was not a concern</li> <li>Considering recording didactics, but not done yet</li> <li>Continued to encourage Aquifer cases to supplement learning.</li> </ul>  |
| CCF  | Subspecialty experiences—consider options for subspecialty rotations in Solid Tumor Oncology and Hepatology   | No            | <ul> <li>The addition of students to this service during the academic year was not feasible. There is an elective rotation for students and need to make sure additional learners can be added.</li> <li>Faculty engagement to make the rotation most successful needed to be explored further.</li> </ul> |
| CCF  | TBC-IM: Consider change to weekend rounding student responsibilitiesdiscuss with ACD's, clinical Dean, and other stakeholders, but for reasons expressed above not inclined to make changes   | Yes and No    | <ul> <li>Rationale and value of weekend<br/>rounding was emphasized with<br/>students to promote better<br/>understanding of goals and<br/>responsibilities</li> <li>No changes made to weekend<br/>rounding</li> </ul>  |
| CCF  | Review the number, goals, and experience of evening calls for students on TBC-1 IMgoal to maximize learning experience and give exposure to the field   | Yes           | <ul> <li>Continued to seek input from students and discussed with ACD's and select faculty about evening calls.</li> <li>There continues to be value in evening calls</li> <li>Worked to help students better understand the rationale and value of calls to enhance their education.</li> </ul>           |
| CCF  | Review materials given to students and preceptors about expectations in LAB and TBC parts of the rotation   | Yes           | <ul> <li>Orientation materials are reviewed at least quarterly and updated with key information needed for students.</li> <li>Worked to make expectations clearer in orientation</li> <li>Increased communication from CD during TBC-1 rotation.</li> </ul>  |

|      |  |        | Increased subspecialty topics instead                   |
|------|--|--------|---|
| МСМН | Embed a clinical reasoning curriculum in   | No     | given feedback on wanting more                          |
|      | place of four didactics ***  |        | subspecialty exposure                                   |
|      | Physical exam review and practice in place   | No     | Same as above – still interesting to us                 |
| MCMH | of 4 student rounds ***  |        | though. May do.   |
|      |  |        | We have made many adjustments to the                    |
|      |  |        | calendar, by simplifying it and making it               |
|      |  |        | easier to understand when students are                  |
|      |  |        | required to show up. We took into                       |
|      |  |        | consideration their feedback about                      |
|      | <ul> <li>Improve strategy for students to<br/>record when they achieve learning</li> </ul> |        | being called away too often, so we                      |
|      |  |        | reduced it down to 1-2 times a week and                 |
|      | objectives and clarifying that achieving   |        | the feedback surrounding this change                    |
|      | these objections are the base of our   |        | has been very positive. Lastly, Elentra                 |
|      | expectations not the top of our  |        | has made it easier for students to                      |
|      | expectations.  |        | receive information and know exactly                    |
| UH   | Making adjustments to clerkship  | Yes    | what is expected of them and when.                      |
|      | calendar and how the students are  |        |   |
|      | receiving their information about what   |        | We have done better about highlighting                  |
|      | is required of them.   |        | the learning objectives for our residents,              |
|      | Highlight learning objectives for  |        | but could improve on highlighting them for our faculty. |
|      | faculty and residents regarding the clerkship and didactics                                |        | Tor our faculty.  |
|      | cici kinp and diddeties  |        | We have started to use an aligning                      |
|      |  |        | expectations handout for the beginning                  |
|      |  |        | of the inpatient rotation for a student to              |
|      |  |        | complete with a resident to be certain                  |
|      |  |        | their goals are in alignment.                           |
|      | Streamline/increase the number of  |        |   |
|      | CAS evaluations and feedback for   | No     |   |
|      | students with oral input and in person   |        | This ended up not being necessary                       |
| UH   | contacts.  |        | because we have had no issues getting                   |
|      | Biweekly resident check-ins via  |        | evaluations returned to us this year.                   |
|      | Morning Report   |        | ,   |
|      | Stop-in to team rooms  Inscription and patients  |        |   |
|      | Incentivizing evaluations  |        |   |
| VA   | Reduce teaching attending     sessions to one per week to                                  |        | Sessions have been shortened and                        |
|      | increase time with team for  | No     | students have appreciated each and                      |
|      | students   |        | every attending session                                 |
|      | 2. Improve efficiency of student   |        | Most students are able to have access at                |
| VA   | access to EMR (PIV cards)  | Unsure | the start of rotation                                   |
|      | 3. Arrange for a faculty orientation   |        |   |
| VA   | session on CAS, student learning   | Yes    | Discussed during faculty/ departmental                  |
| ** - | objectives, grading, etc.  |        | meeting   |
| L    | ,  |        | 1   |

# Section F: Action Plan II – Use of Results for Future Program Improvements

Strategies planned for program improvement; actions designed to improve instruction & curriculum; rationale for action is based on data & analysis of results.

| Site | Proposed action  | Responsible party  |
|------|--|--|
| CCF  | <ul> <li>Review didactics and correlation with core conditions and clinical experiences to make sure MK goals are appropriate.</li> <li>Subspecialty experiences—consider options for subspecialty rotations in areas other than GIM and Cardiology.</li> <li>Review the number, goals, and experience of evening calls for students on TBC-1 IM—seek data about how often students get admissions and feedback from the evening call experience.</li> <li>Record some or all didactics and make available asynchronously for students who missed sessions; consider having Friday AM available for staying on the clinical service.</li> <li>Explore expansion of shared Citywide Didactics—see how this is done at other schools with multiple sites.</li> <li>Review materials given to students and preceptors about expectations in LAB and TBC parts of the rotation—emphasis on Formative Assessment</li> </ul> | CD and ACD's<br>Citywide CD<br>CD and ACD's  |
| МНМС | Like the idea of reviewing Physical Diagnosis and Physical exam maneuvers including LRs/Sensi and specificity of certain findings.  Need to hire/identify a new coordinator and am working on replacing the ACD.   | CD   |
| UH   | <ul> <li>Include Faculty on the introductory emails we send out at the beginning of each rotation.</li> <li>Record 2-3 of the required lectures so that students may complete these asynchronously</li> <li>Print learning objectives and hang them in the team rooms so all parties can see them at any time</li> </ul>   | Clerkship Coordinator  |
| VA   | <ul> <li>Make sure each student is getting verbal feedback from attending/resident at the end of each week.</li> <li>Discussed feedback Thursdays at faculty meetings</li> <li>Students are reminded to ask for feedback on Thursdays</li> <li>Prioritize student onboarding and start the process earlier to ensure each student has access at the beginning of rotation.</li> <li>Working with VA onboarding team</li> </ul>   | Clerkship Director to work on these issues.  Needs assistance from medical school to get student list earlier to ensure onboarding process starts earlier. |

Appendix A: NBME Subject Exam Year-End Report

Appendix B: End of Block Student Evaluation of Clerkship