

Introduction

• People living with HIV (PLWH) have increasingly longer life spans and by 2015 50% of all PLWH will be over 50 years.

•This age group may face different challenges to selfmanagement, including increased stress and social isolation, which directly impact their ability to conduct important daily selfmanagement behaviors, including physical activity

•Little is known about the relationship between stress and isolation in older adults living with HIV, compared to younger adults living with HIV

• To fill this gap, in this study, our aims were to: [1] Describe and compare levels of stress and social isolation between older PLWH (>51 years of age) and younger HIV-infected adults (18-50 years); and [2] Describe and compare differences in levels of stress, social isolation, and physical activity between men and women living with HIV

Materials and Methods

- We used a cross sectional cohort study
- The study had a purposive sample of 102 PLWH who were:
 - Adults (>18 years)
 - Prescribed anti-retroviral medication
 - Not diagnosed with diabetes or have a cardiac pacemaker ____
- Participants complete one study visit to obtain descriptive data on levels of stress, isolation, and physical activity using:
 - Holter cardiac monitoring assessing heart rate variability
 - Perceived Stress Scale (range:0-40)
 - One item Stress Visual Analog Scale (range: 0-10)
 - Hawthorne Friendship Scale (range:0-24)
 - Exercise Diary documenting 7 day exercise bouts, intensity, and duration
 - HIV Stigma Scale (range: 13-52)

Exploring differences in stress, isolation, and physical activity in older and younger adults living with HIV

Allison R. Webel, PhD, RN; Christopher T. Longenecker, MD; Jan E. Hanson BS; Amanda Hayes BS; Robert A. Salata MD.



6

46

Paid employment

lave permanent Housing

ts							
al	e (n=54)	Female (n=48)					
	Standard Deviation	Mean or	Standard				
	or %	Frequency	Deviation or %				
	8.61	48.46	8.98				
	80.77	43	87.76				
	0.00	2	4.08				
	1.92	0	0.00				
	13.46	3	6.12				
	3.85	7	14.29				
	78.85	29	59.18				
	1.92	1	2.04				
	5.77	10	20.41				
	9.62	0	0.00				
	15.38	16	32.65				
	30.77	12	24.49				
	32.69	16	32.65				
	23.08	4	8.16				
	17.31	8	16.33				
	11.54	1	2.04				
	5.77	6	12.24				
	32.69	23	46.94				
	17.31	2	4.08				
	17.31	8	16.33				
	90.38	48	97.96				
	28.85	41	83.67				
	11.11	8	16.33				
	85.19	44	89.80				

Results

Table 2: Baseline Outcome Outcome

Physiological Stress: Heart Rat Variabil

> **Perceived Stress Scal Stress Visual Analog Scal**

Isolati

Physical Activity (hrs/week

Table 3: Multivariable Models Predicting Stress								
	Model 1: Heart Rate Variability		Model 2: Perceived Stress Scale		Model 3: Stress Visual Analog Scale			
	Estimate (CI)	P Value	Estimate (CI)	P Value	Estimate (CI)	P Value		
Age (years)	-1.3 (-2.0 -0.6)	0.001	-0.10 (-0.25, 0.05)	0.207	-0.05 (-0.12, 0.02)	0.146		
Gender: Female	4.6 (-9.9 <i>,</i> 19.1)	0.529	1.00 (-1.95, 3.94)	0.503	1.44 (0.07, 2.81)	0.039		
BMI	-0.9 (-1.8, -0.1)	0.032	0.02 (-0.16, 0.19)	0.857	0.01 (-0.07, 0.09)	0.803		
Income>\$800/month	8.2 (-7.0 <i>,</i> 23.5)	0.287	0.38 (-2.71, 3.48)	0.805	0.38 (-1.07, 1.82)	0.605		
Education <u>></u> High School	-15.1 (-30.7 <i>,</i> 0.4)	0.056	-2.15 (-5.33, 1.04)	0.184	0.19 (-1.30, 1.67)	0.802		
Years since HIV Diagnosis	00.2 (-1.3, 1.1)	0.685	0.04 (-0.18, 0.26)	0.751	-0.02 (-0.13, 0.10)	0.776		
Isolation	0.50 (-1.3, 2.2)	0.582	-0.40 (-0.76, -0.04)	0.029	-0.07 (-0.24, 0.09)	0.380		
Stigma	0.3 (-0.7-1.3)	0.561	0.16 (-0.04, 0.36)	0.119	0.03 (-0.07, 0.12)	0.590		
Exercise (hrs/week)	-0.1 (-1.3, 1.1)	0.859	0.08 (-0.17, 0.33)	0.537	.05 (-0.05, 0.15)	0.354		

Conclusions

Future Directions

- PLWH

s by Gender										
	Men (n=54)		Women (n=48)		Total (n=102)					
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation				
9										
y	66.4	28.36	65.7	34.70	66.1	31.40				
9	17.7	6.40	17.5	7.30	17.3	6.91				
9	3.01	2.62	3.96	2.81	3.42	2.74				
1	16.6	5.04	17.25	4.52	17.02	4.80				
)	5.11	5.68	4.12	5.16	4.61	5.43				

• As expected, age was a strong predictor of physiological stress, but, surprisingly, not of psychosocial stress

Gender does not appear to exert significant influence on either physiological or perceived stress, however women did report significantly higher stress levels on the visual analog scale Among all the hypothesized predictors, isolation exerted the most influenced on psychosocial stress

• Examine the predictors of isolation and its relationship to stress and additional self-management behaviors

Examine more upstream biological markers of stress to describe the relationship between physiological and psychosocial stress in